

# Article Title

JOHN SMITH\*

University of California

john@smith.com

## Abstract

*Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.*

## I. INTRODUCTION

**L**orem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer

non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

## II. RELATED WORK

Quisque ullamcorper placerat ipsum. Cras nibh. Morbi vel justo vitae lacus tincidunt ultrices. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Integer tempus convallis augue. Etiam facilisis. Nunc elementum fermentum wisi. Aenean placerat. Ut imperdiet, enim sed gravida sollicitudin, felis odio placerat quam, ac pulvinar elit purus eget enim. Nunc vitae tortor. Proin tempus nibh sit amet nisl. Vivamus quis tortor vitae risus porta vehicula.

## III. MODEL

### I. Symbol definition

This article considers a shared memory system. The system is modeled as  $m$  processors and a task set  $\Gamma = \{\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}\}$ .

---

\*A thank you or further information

Each task  $\tau_i$  is characterized by its worst-case execution time  $C_i$  and its period  $T_i$ , both of which are assumed to be integer multiples of a system unit time. We consider real-time tasks with implicit deadlines. That is,  $T_i$  is also the relative deadline of task  $\tau_i$ . The weight for task  $\tau_i$  is defined as  $W_i = \frac{C_i}{T_i}$ , and the system

utilization is  $U_\Gamma = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} W_i$ . We assume that  $0 < W_i < 1$ , and  $0 < U_\Gamma < m$ .

A job  $J_{i,j}$  is the  $j$ th task instance of task  $\tau_i$ . It arrives at time  $JStart_{i,j} = j \cdot T_i$  and need to complete its execution by its deadline at time  $JEnd_{i,j} = (j+1) \cdot T_i$ . Assuming that the first job of each task arrives at time 0. That is,  $\forall \tau_i \in \Gamma, JStart_{i,0} = 0$ .

The multiprocessor real-time scheduling problem is to construct a peroidc schedule for the above tasks, which allocates exactly  $C_i$  time units of a processor to task  $\tau_i$  within each interval  $[(k-1) \cdot T_i, k \cdot T_i)$  for all  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ , subject to the following constraints:

- C1: A processor can only be allocated to one task at any time, that is, processors cannot be shared concurrently;
- C2: A task can only be allocated at most one processor at any time, that is, tasks are not parallel and thus cannot occupy more than one processor at any time.

The least common multiple of all tasks' period is  $H_\Gamma$ . Because of the periodic property of the problem, we only consider the schedule from time 0 to time  $H_\Gamma$ . We split the time range  $[0, H_\Gamma)$  into  $znum$  zones with consecutive deadlines. Each zone  $Z_i$  start at time  $ZStart_i$  and end at time  $ZEnd_i$ .  $\forall c, \exists(i, j), ZStart_c = j \cdot T_i, ZStart_c < ZEnd_c$  and  $ZEnd_c = ZStart_{c+1} (c = 0, \dots, znum - 2)$ .

The scheduling during  $[0, H_\Gamma)$  is expressed by a matrix  $M$  with  $n$  rows and  $znum$  columns. Elements in  $M$  denoted as  $alloc_{i,j}$  represents the execution time units assigned to  $\tau_i$  in  $Z_j$ . The scheduling matrix only specifies the assigned units amount, without concerning the exact time and processor a task is to execute.

**Table 1:** Symbol table

$m$	number of processors in system
$n$	number of tasks in system
$znum$	number of zones in system
$\tau_i$	the $i$ th task
$C_i$	worst case execution time of $\tau_i$
$T_i$	period of $\tau_i$
$W_i$	weight of $\tau_i$
$\Gamma$	task set, $\{\tau_0, \dots, \tau_{n-1}\}$
$H_\Gamma$	hyperperiod of $\Gamma$
$U_\Gamma$	the system utilization
$J_{i,j}$	the $j$ th job of $\tau_i$
$JStart_{i,j}$	the start time of $J_{i,j}$
$JEnd_{i,j}$	the end time of $J_{i,j}$
$Z_i$	the $i$ th Zone
$ZStart_i$	the start time of $Z_i$
$ZEnd_i$	the end time of $Z_i$
$ZWidth_i$	the width of $Z_i$
$Rem_i$	unassigned time units in $Z_i$
$L_i$	laxity of $\tau_i$
$R_i$	remaining execution time of $\tau_i$
$alloc_{i,j}$	assigned time units for $\tau_i$ in $Z_j$

All the symbols are listed in 1.

## II. Overload effect

**Definition 1.** The minimum load of  $\tau_i$  in  $Z_k$  is the minimum units of execution time that must be assigned to  $\tau_i$  in  $Z_k$  to meet the deadline.

$$Lt_{i,k} = \text{MAX}(0, ZWidth_k - L_{i,k})$$

**Definition 2.** The maximum load of  $\tau_i$  in  $Z_k$  is the maximum units of execution time that can be assigned to  $\tau_i$  in  $Z_k$  considering the WCET of a job and the width of a Zone(to ensure a task can only be executed in a processor at a time).

$$Mt_{i,k} = \text{MIN}(R_{i,k}, ZWidth_k)$$

**Definition 3.** The execution assignment is *rational* in  $Z_k$  if execution time assigned to each task is between minimum load and maximum load, and the sum of execution time of tasks in  $\Gamma$  doesn't exceed the capacity of  $Z_k$ .

$$\forall i \in [0, n) : Lt_{i,k} \leq alloc_{i,k} \leq Mt_{i,k}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} alloc_{i,k} \leq m \cdot ZWidth_k$$

**Definition 4.** *Overload effect occurs if the total minimum load of tasks in  $\Gamma$  is more than the capacity of  $Z_k$ .*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} Lt_{i,k} > m \cdot ZWidth_k$$

#### IV. ALGORITHM

Suspendisse vel felis. Ut lorem lorem, interdum eu, tincidunt sit amet, laoreet vitae, arcu. Aenean faucibus pede eu ante. Praesent enim elit, rutrum at, molestie non, nonummy vel, nisl. Ut lectus eros, malesuada sit amet, fermentum eu, sodales cursus, magna. Donec eu purus. Quisque vehicula, urna sed ultricies auctor, pede lorem egestas dui, et convallis elit erat sed nulla. Donec luctus. Curabitur et nunc. Aliquam dolor odio, commodo pretium, ultricies non, pharetra in, velit. Integer arcu est, nonummy in, fermentum faucibus, egestas vel, odio.

Sed commodo posuere pede. Mauris ut est. Ut quis purus. Sed ac odio. Sed vehicula hendrerit sem. Duis non odio. Morbi ut dui. Sed accumsan risus eget odio. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Pellentesque non elit. Fusce sed justo eu urna porta tincidunt. Mauris felis odio, sollicitudin sed, volutpat a, ornare ac, erat. Morbi quis dolor. Donec pellentesque, erat ac sagittis semper, nunc dui lobortis purus, quis congue purus metus ultricies tellus. Proin et quam. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos. Praesent sapien turpis, fermentum vel, eleifend faucibus, vehicula eu, lacus.

#### V. CORRECTNESS OF ALGORITHM

Suspendisse vitae elit. Aliquam arcu neque, ornare in, ullamcorper quis, commodo eu, libero. Fusce sagittis erat at erat tristique mollis. Maecenas sapien libero, molestie et, lobortis in, sodales eget, dui. Morbi ultrices

rutrum lorem. Nam elementum ullamcorper leo. Morbi dui. Aliquam sagittis. Nunc placerat. Pellentesque tristique sodales est. Maecenas imperdiet lacinia velit. Cras non urna. Morbi eros pede, suscipit ac, varius vel, egestas non, eros. Praesent malesuada, diam id pretium elementum, eros sem dictum tortor, vel consectetur odio sem sed wisi.

### VI. ASSESSMENT

#### I. Complexity

Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Donec odio elit, dictum in, hendrerit sit amet, egestas sed, leo. Praesent feugiat sapien aliquet odio. Integer vitae justo. Aliquam vestibulum fringilla lorem. Sed neque lectus, consectetur at, consectetur sed, eleifend ac, lectus. Nulla facilisi. Pellentesque eget lectus. Proin eu metus. Sed porttitor. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Suspendisse eu lectus. Ut mi mi, lacinia sit amet, placerat et, mollis vitae, dui. Sed ante tellus, tristique ut, iaculis eu, malesuada ac, dui. Mauris nibh leo, facilisis non, adipiscing quis, ultrices a, dui.

#### II. Simulation

Morbi luctus, wisi viverra faucibus pretium, nibh est placerat odio, nec commodo wisi enim eget quam. Quisque libero justo, consectetur a, feugiat vitae, porttitor eu, libero. Suspendisse sed mauris vitae elit sollicitudin malesuada. Maecenas ultricies eros sit amet ante. Ut venenatis velit. Maecenas sed mi eget dui varius euismod. Phasellus aliquet volutpat odio. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Pellentesque sit amet pede ac sem eleifend consectetur. Nullam elementum, urna vel imperdiet sodales, elit ipsum pharetra ligula, ac pretium ante justo a nulla. Curabitur tristique arcu eu metus. Vestibulum lectus. Proin mauris. Proin eu nunc eu urna hendrerit faucibus. Aliquam auctor, pede consequat laoreet varius, eros tellus scelerisque quam, pellentesque hendrerit ipsum dolor sed augue. Nulla nec lacus.

## REFERENCES

- [Figueredo and Wolf, 2009] Figueredo, A. J. and Wolf, P. S. A. (2009). Assortative pairing and life history strategy - a cross-cultural study. *Human Nature*, 20:317–330.