

A metric to measure ecosystems: the Viralization, Microbialization dichotomy

Sergio Cobo-Lopez, Heather Maughan, Breeann Kirby, and Forest L. Rohwer

Abstract

We propose a binary metric to classify ecosystems into two different categories: viralized or microbialized ecosystems. An ecosystem is viralized when virions are more abundant than bacteria, and it is microbialized when microbes are more abundant. Our metric stems from the fact that bacteria and bacteriophages are the most abundant biological entities on earth and they can shape their environment by controlling the dominant metabolism. This metric can be easily measured in the field and in the lab. Quantitative metrics are critical for the practice of science, because they allow us to test hypotheses and rigorously classify entities in categories. Classifications in biological sciences are often subjective precisely because they are not based on objective metrics. Our metric stems from the fact that bacteria and bacteriophages are the most abundant biological entities on earth and they can shape their environment by controlling the dominant metabolism.

Introduction

Hypothesis and background

Our hypothesis is that all ecosystems can be classified into two categories: viralized or microbialized ecosystems. Unlike other ecosystem classifications, this viral-bacterial dichotomy is an objective metric based on the relative abundance of viruses and microbes in a given ecosystem. Microbes (bacteria, particularly) and viruses are the most abundant biological entities on earth and they have a big impact on upper levels of the trophic chain and also on abiotic factors, effectively shaping entire ecosystems.

Knowledge gap

Unlike commonly called hard sciences, there is a lack of objective metrics in biology. The very concept of species, for instance, was already questioned by Darwin in *The Origin of Species* (Darwin, 1859). However, there have been several models that are based on objective classifications. The best known example is probably the Daisyworld (WATSON & LOVELOCK, 1983). However, the Daisyworld model is based on a fictitious world. More recently, and specifically to viruses, other models have been proposed such as the Kill-the-Winner/Piggyback-the-Winner dynamics (Knowles et al., 2016). This model in particular draws an objective measurable dichotomy between lytic and temperate behaviors of bacteriophages.

How to fill the knowledge gap

Here, we propose viralized-microbialized dichotomy: an objective, scale-free and binary metric to classify ecosystems. In our classification, viralized ecosystems are characterized by the dominance of bacteriophages with lytic activity and a catabolic metabolism, whereas in microbialized systems, phages are temperate, microbes are thus dominant, and there is an anaerobic metabolism. Whether an ecosystem belongs to one category or the other can be determined by sampling the ecosystem and determining the Virus to Microbe Ratio (VMR), for instance.

Methods

Results

Discussion

Conclusions

We have proposed a metric to classify ecosystems based on microbiological factors.

Caveats

Binary classifications are easily interpretable, but they are also arbitrary in where to put the line. Also, they could miss intermediate classifications.

We have not considered other factors that could influence ecosystems. In other words, two ecosystems that are equally viralized or microbialized can be very different from each other due to multitude of biotic or abiotic factors.

DARWIN, 1.-1., Charles 1859. *On the origin of species by means of natural selection, or preservation of favoured races in the struggle for life* [online]. B.m.: London : John Murray, 1859. Dostupné na: <https://search.library.wisc.edu/catalog/9934839413602122>

KNOWLES, B., C.B. SILVEIRA, B.A. BAILEY, K. BAROTT, V.A. CANTU, A.G. COBIÁN-GÜEMES, F.H. COUTINHO, E.A. DINSDALE, B. FELTS, K.A. FURBY, E.E. GEORGE, K.T. GREEN, G.B. GREGORACCI, A.F. HAAS, J.M. HAGGERTY, E.R. HESTER, N. HISAKAWA, L.W. KELLY, Y.W. LIM, M. LITTLE, A. LUQUE, T. MCDOLE-SOMERA, K. MCNAIR, L.S. DE OLIVEIRA, S.D. QUISTAD, N.L. ROBINETT, E. SALA, P. SALAMON, S.E. SANCHEZ, S. SANDIN, G.G.Z. SILVA, J. SMITH, C. SULLIVAN, C. THOMPSON, M.J.A. VERMEIJ, M. YOULE, C. YOUNG, B. ZGLICZYNSKI, R. BRAINARD, R.A. EDWARDS, J. NULTON, F. THOMPSON a F. ROHWER 2016. Lytic to temperate switching of viral communities. *Nature* [online]. 01.3., roč. 531, č. 7595, s. 466–470. ISSN 1476-4687. Dostupné na: [doi:10.1038/nature17193](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17193)

WATSON, A.J. a J.E. LOVELOCK 1983. Biological homeostasis of the global environment: the parable of Daisyworld. *Tellus B* [online]. roč. 35B, č. 4, s. 284–289. Dostupné na: [doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0889.1983.tb00031.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0889.1983.tb00031.x)