

- (1) If κ , λ , and μ are cardinals, then $\kappa^{\lambda+\mu} = \kappa^\lambda \cdot \kappa^\mu$.

Proof. Given $\bar{\bar{K}} = \kappa$, $\bar{\bar{L}} = \lambda$, $\bar{\bar{M}} = \mu$, and $L \cap M = \emptyset$. Given a function $f : L \cup M \mapsto K$. Construct $g : L \mapsto K = f \upharpoonright L$ and $h : M \mapsto K = f \upharpoonright M$. So $\kappa^{\lambda+\mu} \leq \kappa^\lambda \cdot \kappa^\mu$.

Given a pair of functions $g : L \mapsto K$ and $h : M \mapsto K$. Construct $f : L \cup M \mapsto K = g \cup h$. g and h have disjoint domains, so f is a function. Therefore $\kappa^\lambda \cdot \kappa^\mu \leq \kappa^{\lambda+\mu}$, and therefore they are equal. \square

- (2) $2^{\aleph_0} \neq \aleph_{\omega_1 \cdot 49 + \omega}$

Proof. Let $\beta = \omega_1 \cdot 49 + \omega$. β is a limit ordinal, so $\text{cf } \aleph_\beta = \text{cf } \beta = \aleph_0$ (by Enderton's Theorem 9N). However, by König's theorem, $\aleph_0 < \text{cf } 2^{\aleph_0}$. \square

- (3) If A is a set well-ordered by $(<)$ and $f : A \mapsto A$ satisfies $x < y \Rightarrow f(x) < f(y)$, then for all $x \in A$, $x \leq f(x)$.

Proof. If not, then there is a least x such that $f(x) < x$. Construct by recursion $R : \omega \mapsto A$ where $R(0) = x$; $R(a+1) = f(R(a))$. $R(1) < R(0)$, and given $R(n+1) < R(n)$, $f(R(n+1)) < f(R(n))$, so $R(n+2) < R(n+1)$. Therefore R is an infinitely descending sequence in A , a contradiction. \square

- (4) There exists a sequence of sets $A_0 \supseteq A_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq A_\alpha \supseteq \cdots$ ($\alpha < \omega_1$) with $\bigcap_{\alpha} A_\alpha = \emptyset$, and all A_α uncountable.

Proof. Namely, let $A_\alpha = \omega_1 - \alpha$. Every $\alpha < \omega_1$ is countable, so subtracting it from uncountable ω_1 leaves an uncountable set. Also, any ordinal $\alpha \notin A_{\alpha+1}$, so the intersection is empty. \square

- (5) For λ an infinite cardinal, $2^\lambda = \lambda^\lambda$.

Proof. By the Schröder-Bernstein theorem, $2^\lambda \leq \lambda^\lambda \leq (2^\lambda)^\lambda \leq 2^{\lambda \cdot \lambda} \leq 2^\lambda$. \square

- (7) Given a set P of \aleph_0 -many people. There is an infinite subset of that set such that all people have met each other or all people have not met each other.

Proof. Let $M \cup \tilde{M} = P^{(2)}$, where $P^{(2)}$ is the set of 2-element subsets of P . M represents the set of pairs of people who have met, and \tilde{M} represents the set of pairs of people who have not. Then by Ramsey's theorem, there exists a $P' \subseteq P$ where $\bar{P}' = \aleph_0$ and either $P'^{(2)} \subseteq M$ or $P'^{(2)} \subseteq \tilde{M}$. \square

- (8ai) There is a countable subset of $[0, 1]$ isomorphic to ω^2 under the usual ($<$) of the real line.

Proof. Define $v : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ by $v(a, b, n) = b - 2^{-n}(b - a)$. Now define $w : \omega^2 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ by $w(\omega \cdot c + d) = v(1 - 2^{-c}, 1 - 2^{-(c+1)}, d)$. The set $W = \text{rng } w$ is a subset of $[0, 1]$ and isomorphic to ω^2 . Since the domain of w is a set of ordinals, if w is monotonically increasing then W is well-ordered. Notice that $v(a, b, n) \in [a, b)$ for any n and it is monotonically increasing in n . Then it is easy to see that w is monotonically increasing. \square

- (8aii) There is no $X \subseteq [0, 1]$ with X well-ordered by the usual ($<$) of the real line and X uncountable.

Proof. Assume that there is. Let $f : \bar{X} \mapsto X$ be the function that well-orders X (for example, $f(0)$ = the smallest element in X , etc.). Let $q : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{Q}$ be a function that selects a rational between its two arguments. Let $g : \bar{X} \xrightarrow{1-1} \mathbb{Q}$, by induction: $g(0) = q(f(0), f(1))$; $g(\alpha + 1) = q(f(\alpha + 1), f(\alpha + 2))$; $g(\lambda) = q(f(\lambda), f(\lambda + 1))$. We have just shown that $\bar{X} \leq \mathbb{Q}$, contradicting that X is uncountable. \square

- (9) $\aleph_\omega^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_\omega$.

Proof. Let $\bar{I} = \aleph_0$, and let A_i for $i \in I$ be a bunch of disjoint sets each with cardinality \aleph_ω . By a theorem due to König, $\aleph_\omega^{\aleph_0} = \text{card} \bigotimes_i A_i > \text{card} \bigcup_i A_i = \aleph_\omega \cdot \aleph_\omega = \aleph_\omega$. \square