

Luke Palmer
MATH 5000
2006-10-25

(Aa) There is no set of uncountably many nonintersecting circles in the plane with distinct centers.

Proof. Given a set of nonintersecting circles with distinct centers. There is a set of nonintersecting open sets around the centers of the circles. Pick a rational out of each such set, and you have a 1-1 map from circles to \mathbb{Q} . \square

(Ab) There is no set of uncountably many figure-8s in the plane.

Proof. Similar argument as **(Aa)**, using the crossing at the middle of the figure-8 instead of the center. \square

(B) ...

(C) The Sheffer Stroke ($p|q$ iff $\neg p \wedge \neg q$) is a complete logical connective.

Proof. $\neg p$ iff $p|p$. $p \wedge q$ iff $(\neg p)|(\neg q)$. \square

(D) Logical equivalence together with negation is not a complete set of logical connectives.

Proof. I will show that the expression $p \Rightarrow q$ is not representable by proving that every truth table in p and q with these connectives has an even number of “true” values. By induction on ϕ :

Trivial for $\phi = p$, $\phi = q$.

For $\phi = \neg\psi$, then the number of true values in ϕ is four minus the number of true values in ψ , still even.

For $\phi = \psi \Leftrightarrow \chi$, it suffices to show that the number of true values in ϕ is even when the number of true values in ψ and χ is exactly two (it is trivial for zero and four). Wlg assume that ψ 's truth table reads *TTFF* (we can assume negated p and q as appropriate). Then for each truth table of χ :

- $\chi = TTFF$ then $\phi = TTTT$.
- $\chi = TFTF$ then $\phi = TFFT$.
- $\chi = TFFT$ then $\phi = TFTF$.
- $\chi = FTTT$ then $\phi = FTFT$.
- $\chi = FTFT$ then $\phi = FTTF$.
- $\chi = FFTT$ then $\phi = FFFF$.

All of which have even numbers of “true” values. □

Ea

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall x \forall y \forall z (x + y) + z = x + (y + z) \\
& \wedge \forall x \forall y \forall z (xy)z = x(yz) \\
& \wedge \forall x \forall y x + y = y + x \\
& \wedge \forall x \forall y xy = yx \\
& \wedge \forall x \forall y \forall z a(b + c) = (ab) + (ac) \\
& \wedge \exists x \exists y (x \neq y) \\
& \quad \wedge \forall z x + z = z \\
& \quad \wedge \forall z yz = z \\
& \quad \wedge \forall z \exists w z + w = x \\
& \quad \wedge \forall z (z \neq x \Rightarrow \exists w zw = y)
\end{aligned}$$

Eb I’ll use symbols other than x, y, z, w as variables for readability.

Write $(x|y)$ as shorthand for $\exists z xz = y$. Write $P(x)$ as shorthand for $\forall y ((y|x) \Rightarrow (y = 1 \vee y = x))$ (which must only be used when the variable 1 is in scope). Write $x \leq y$ as shorthand for $\exists z x + z = y$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists 1 (\forall x 1x = x \\
& \quad \wedge \forall x \exists y (x \leq y \wedge P(y) \wedge P((y + 1) + 1)))
\end{aligned}$$