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Regardless of the fact that they can be considered dogmatic philosophies and  
have things in common, like materiality of the soul, the objective here is to  
check the differences between Stoicism and Epicureanism, the last one  
considered a non-Socratic school. The main goal in this task is to understand  
the nature of “the good”: while for Stoicism virtue is the good, for  
Epicureanism pleasure is the good (and the pain is bad). It is important say  
that the comparison is done by the stoic point of view, the Epictetus'  
Criticisms of Epicureanism.  
  
Epictetus complains that Epicureans didn’t understand the pleasure of the  
soul, in other words, they didn’t understand that the mental pleasure is  
better than the physical one (on the edge, the pleasure of the mind comes from  
the pleasure of the body…). Even though, for the Epicureanism, reading a book  
is better than drugs and sex, they consider that the pleasure is the absence  
of pain. Epictetus believes that Epicurus didn’t understand the relation  
between pleasure and good. If for Epicureanism the good is pleasure and  
pleasant is a useful thing, Stoicism says that good is more than that. A stoic  
philosophy quotes that doing virtue things is good \_so\_ we have pleasure, that  
is, firstly by existing good and then the pleasure come: things are not good  
because we felling pleasure.  
  
Let’s see the two last points Sadler quoted about Epictetus´s critic. First  
one, he said Epicureans believes that we receive measures and standards from  
the nature to discover the true. This means that taking pleasure or pain can  
lead us to learn how human beings work and our relation to the reality aiming  
avoid pain. However Epictetus says they didn’t going far enough to figure out  
other principles (God?) to guide our life.  
  
Last but not least, it is assumed that Epicureans do political and social  
actions as much as possible. For example, if you can avoid get married and  
have kids to preserve your own pleasure you should do so, but if we not  
reproduce, no more humans! More than that, we have an \_inclination\_ to love  
and take care of others and live together making the society continues.  
Finally, Epictetus says Epicurus instrumentalized the goods in the matter that  
they thought you can commit an injustice being sure you will not be punished  
meanwhile for Epictetus injustice is bad by itself.  
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