

## Lab 10 solutions

- Below, the BinarySearch and Recursive Fibonacci algorithms are shown. In each case, what are the subproblems? Why do we say that the subproblems of BinarySearch *do not overlap* and the subproblems of Recursive Fibonacci *overlap*? Explain.

**Algorithm** binSearch(A, x, lower, upper)  
*Input:* Already sorted array A of size n, value x to be searched for in array section A[lower]..A[upper]  
*Output:* true or false

```

if lower > upper then return false
mid ← (upper + lower)/2
if x = A[mid] then return true
if x < A[mid] then
    return binSearch(A, x, lower, mid - 1)
else
    return binSearch(A, x, mid + 1, upper)

```

**Algorithm** fib(n)  
*Input:* a natural number n  
*Output:* F(n)

```

if (n = 0 || n = 1) then return n
return fib(n-1) + fib(n-2)

```

**Solution:** Subproblems for binSearch involve checking middle value in smaller and smaller sections of the input array. Subproblems in fib are computations of fib(k) for inputs  $k < n$ . In binSearch, each self call examines a middle value that is either to the left or to the right of the middle value examined in the previous call, so there is no overlap. In fib, calls fib(n-1) and fib(n-2) will both need to call all of the following: fib(n-3), fib(n-4), . . . , fib(1), fib(0), so there is substantial overlap of the subproblems.

- Consider the following instance of the Edit Distance problem: EditDistance(“maple”, “kale”). Taking the iterative dynamic programming approach to solve this problem, fill out the values in the table.

D	“”	“k”	“ka”	“kal”	“kale”
“”	0	1	2	3	4
“m”	1	1	2	3	4
“ma”	2	2	1	2	3
“map”	3	3	2	2	3
“mapl”	4	4	3	2	3
“maple”	5	5	4	3	2

3. Devise a dynamic programming solution for the following problem:  
Given two strings, find the length of longest subsequence that they share in common.

Different between substring and subsequence:

Substring: the characters in a substring of S must occur contiguously in S.

Subsequence: the characters can be interspersed with gaps.

For example: Given two Strings - “regular” and “ruler”, you algorithm should output 4.

#### Recursive Brute Force Solution:

define the prefixes  $S_i = a_1 \dots a_i$  and  $T_j = b_1 \dots b_j$

**Algorithm**  $LCS(S_i, T_j)$

**Input** String  $S_i$  and  $T_j$  with length  $i$  and  $j$ , respectively

**Output** Length of the LCS of  $S_i$  and  $T_j$

if  $i = 0$  ||  $j = 0$  then

return 0

else if  $S[i] = T[j]$  then

return  $LCS(S_{i-1}, T_{j-1}) + 1$

else

return  $\max \{ LCS(S_{i-1}, T_j), LCS(S_i, T_{j-1}) \}$

### Dynamic Programming Solution:

Let  $L_{ij}$  be the length of the LCS for  $S_i$  and  $T_j$ ,  $L_{ij} = \text{LCS}(S_i, T_j)$

If ( $S[i]=T[j]$ )

$$L_{i,j} = L_{i-1,j-1} + 1$$

else

$$L_{i,j} = \max(L_{i-1,j}, L_{i,j-1})$$

#### Algorithm **LCS**(X, Y):

**Input:** Strings **X** and **Y** with **m** and **n** elements, respectively

**Output:** L is an  $(m+1) \times (n+1)$  array such that  $L[i, j]$  contains the length of the LCS of  $X[1..i]$  and  $Y[1..j]$

$m \leftarrow X.\text{length}$

$n \leftarrow Y.\text{length}$

for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $m$  do

$L[i, 0] \leftarrow 0$

for  $j \leftarrow 0$  to  $n$  do

$L[0, j] \leftarrow 0$

for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $m$  do

    for  $j \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$  do

        if  $X[i] = Y[j]$  then

$L[i, j] \leftarrow L[i-1, j-1] + 1$

        else

$L[i, j] \leftarrow \max\{L[i-1, j], L[i, j-1]\}$

return L

4. (Interview Question) Devise a dynamic programming solution for the following problem:

Given a positive integer  $n$ , find the least number of perfect square numbers which sum to  $n$ .

(Perfect square numbers are 1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, 49, ...)

For example, given  $n = 12$ , return 3; ( $12 = 4 + 4 + 4$ )

Given  $n = 13$ , return 2; ( $13 = 4 + 9$ )

Given  $n = 67$  return 3; ( $67 = 49 + 9 + 9$ )

**Solution** - main idea:

$$\text{dp}[n] = \min\{\text{dp}[n-i*i]\} + 1$$

where  $n-i*i \geq 0$  and  $i \geq 1$