Assessment of Financial Resilience

Financial resilience describes the ability of the authority to remain viable, stable and effective in the medium to long term in the face of pressures from growing demand, tightening funding and an increasingly complex and unpredictable financial environment.

The following table sets out the key 'symptoms' of financial stress identified by CIPFA and assesses the current position of the County Council against each indicator. Overall, the prognosis is that there has been a recent deterioration in resilience which needs to be reversed in particular on the delivery of savings and managing spending within approved budgets.

Symptom	KCC Assessment						
Running down reserves/a rapid decline in reserves Score 6/10	Evidence The council maintained a relatively stable level of usable revenue reserves between April 2016 to March 2018 of approx. £0.2bn (excluding schools and capital reserves) with small net movements between years. This comprised general reserve of around £0.037bn (3% of net revenue) and earmarked reserves of between £0.159bn to £0.166bn						
Scope for Improvement - Moderate	Over the period April 2018 to March 2020 usable revenue reserves increased to £0.224bn at end of 2018-19 and £0.271bn end of 2019-20, although £0.037bn of the earmarked reserves in 2019-20 was the unspent balance of first tranche of Covid-19 emergency grant (general reserves remained around £0.037bn and all the increases were in earmarked reserves).						
	There was a more rapid increase in usable revenue reserves in 2020-21 (largely due to underspends during lockdown and timing differences between the receipt of Covid-19 grants and spending, and impact of business rates reliefs/compensation for local taxation losses coming through from collection authorities) Usable revenue reserves at the end of 2020-21 were £0.398bn (of which general remained £0.037bn, earmarked reserves increased to £0.272bn, and Covid-19 reserves were £0.088bn).						
	There was a further increase in total usable revenue reserves at end of 2021-22 up to £0.408bn. Most of the increase was in general reserve which was increased to £0.056bn (5% of net revenue) in line with agreed strategy to strengthen reserves due to heightened risks, with smaller increase in earmarked to £0.277bn, and small reduction in Covid-19 reserves to £0.075bn.						
	This pattern of stable then increasing reserves over the period 2016-22 was despite between £0.009bn and £0.022bn drawn down each year to smooth delivery of revenue budget savings (£0.074bn over 6 years).						
	In 2022-23 there was an overall reduction in usable revenue reserves to £0.391bn (£0.037bn general, £0.271bn earmarked, £0.047bn Covid-19 and £0.036bn in new partnership reserve from the excess safety valve contributions). The reductions included £0.047bn draw down from general reserves and earmarked reserves to balance 2022-23 outturn.						
	In 2023-24 there was a further reduction in total usable reserves to						

£0.358bn (£0.043bn general, £0.268bn earmarked, £0.0.10bn Covid-19 and £0.036bn Safety Valve partnership reserve). The small increase in the general reserve reflected the overall increase in 2023-24 budget to maintain the reserve as % of net revenue but did not include any movement to restore the reserve to 5% of net revenue following the draw down in 2022-23. 2023-24 included a review of reserves to ensure balances in individual categories remained appropriate. This included transfer of £0.048bn from other earmarked reserves into the smoothing category which was partially drawn on by £0.012bn to balance the 2023-24 outturn.

Quarter 1 monitoring for 2024-25 shows further forecast overspends which if not reduced or mitigated would require a third year of draw down. This would further reduce resilience from reserves.

Conclusions

Two successive years of drawdowns from reserves to balance overspends represents a reduction in financial resilience (with only a partial restoration of reserves included in future medium term financial plans).

The Council's reserves have been deemed as adequate in the short-term by S151 officer pending those restoration plans being delivered in future budgets. In particular, the general reserve needs to be restored to 5% of net revenue within the 2025-28 MTFP.

A small amount of smoothing within the annual revenue budget to reflect timing differences between spending and savings plans is considered acceptable provided these are replaced and replenished in future years through a balanced medium term financial plan.

A failure to plan and deliver savings in service provision to ensure the council lives within its resources

Score 4/10

Scope for Improvement - High

Evidence

The council has planned (and largely delivered) £0.883bn of savings and income since 2011-12 (up to 2023-24). The council has delivered a balanced outturn with a small surplus each year since 2000-01 up to 2021-22 (22 years) including throughout the years when government funding was reducing and spending demands were still increasing. This demonstrated that in the past savings were sustainable.

The approved budget for 2022-23 included £33.9m of savings and income (3% of net budget) in order to balance spending growth (£93.0m) with increase in funding from core grants and local taxation (£59.1m). Separate savings monitoring was re-introduced in 2022-23 following suspension of previous monitoring arrangements during Covid-19.

The 2022-23 outturn was the first year in 23 years that the authority ended the year with a significant overspend (£44.4m before rollover). This overspend was partly due to under delivery of savings but more materially was due to un-forecast increases in costs compared to when the budget was set particularly in adult social care, children in care and home to school transport. These unbudgeted costs increases have been a more material factor than under delivery of savings (although if they had been forecast would have increased the savings requirement which itself may not have been deliverable).

The approved budget for 2023-24 included £54.8m of savings and income (4.6% of net budget) to balance spending growth (£178.9m) and increase in funding (£124.1m). The higher spending growth included the full year effect of forecast overspend in 2022-23 and the impact of the rapid increase in inflation during 2022-23.

The 2023-24 outturn showed an overspend of £9.6m before rollover. This was significantly lower than had been forecast earlier in the year following agreement of revised strategic ambitions in Securing Kent's Future – Budget Recovery Strategy. These ambitions included reducing the 2023-24 overspend, focuses on ambitions for new models of care (addressing the unsustainable increases in sending in adults, children's and home to school transport), scope of the council's strategic ambitions and transforming the operating model of the council through Chief Executive model. Stringent spending controls were introduced in 2023-24 with the objective of reducing the overspend. As in 2023-24 the overspend arose from a combination of unbudgeted costs and under delivery/rephasing of savings.

The approved budget for 2024-25 includes £89.2m of savings and income (6.8% of net budget) to balance spending growth (£203.1m) and increased funding (£113.9m). The increased spending growth included revised approach to demand and cost drivers as well price uplifts (linked to inflation) and full year effect of 2023-24. Initial monitoring for 2024-25 shows further forecast underspends again from combination of unbudgeted spend and savings delivery. Under delivery of savings is now largest contributor to forecast overspends.

Savings planning and monitoring has been enhanced with greater emphasis on more detailed monitoring of progress on the most significant savings. Enhanced monitoring will not in itself ensure improved delivery performance, especially in the short-term.

Conclusions

The significant increase in the savings requirement over the last 3 years is cause for serious concern and is unsustainable. This savings requirement is driven by ever increasing gap between forecast spending growth and increase in available resources from core government grants and local taxation. This gap needs to be resolved either from reducing spending expectations and / or increased funding if resilience is to be improved.

The increased under delivery of savings indicates a lack of capacity within the organisation and that savings are put forward with over optimistic timescales (or inadequate resources to ensure delivery) and in some instances were not sustainable. This combination is weakening financial resilience.

As identified in Securing Kent's Future – Budget Recovery Strategy addressing these unsustainable growth increases that are leading to structural deficit are key to restoring financial resilience.

Shortening medium term financial planning horizons perhaps from three or four years to two or even one

Score 7/10

Scope for Improvement - Moderate

<u>Evidence</u>

The council has traditionally produced a three-year medium term financial plan (MTFP). This plan sets out forecast resources from central government and local taxation with spending forecasts balanced by savings, income generation and use of smoothing reserves.

Generally funding forecasts have been robust (other than in 2016-17 when changes in the distribution of core grants were made with no prior consultation or notification) and tax yields have remained buoyant (other than a dip in 2021-22 due to delays in housebuilding, earnings losses leading to higher council tax reduction discounts and collection losses during Covid-19 lockdowns).

Spending forecasts for later years of the plan have tended to be underestimated (albeit compensated through the inclusion of "emerging issues" contingency based on experience and risk assessment).

Up until 2017 the three-year MTFP was a separate publication from the annual budget (albeit produced alongside the annual budget). Since 2018 the plan has been produced as a single slimmed down document within a single publication with the annual budget.

A one-year plan was published in 2020-21 recognising the one-year settlement and the absence of spending plans following the December 2019 general election. The further one-year settlement for 2021-22 also impacted on the ability to produce a full three-year plan although a number of medium-term scenarios were set out based on the trajectory of the pandemic (similar to the trajectories used by Office for Budget Responsibility).

High-level three-year plans were produced in 2022-23, 2023-24 and 2024-25 although experience has proved that these have been less robust and susceptible to the un-forecast spending trends experienced in these years. Funding forecasts have continued to be speculative in the absence of multi-year settlements. Council tax base estimates have proved to be extremely reliable although business rates have been more volatile.

Conclusions

Medium term plans are still considered to be reasonable even if for forecasts for the later years are less reliable, as a broad indicator of direction of travel rather than a detailed plan. Plans should be less speculative if multi-year settlements are re-introduced.

Draft budget proposals need to be made available for scrutiny and savings planning earlier (even if these have to be based on less up to date forecasts). The preplanning of savings needs to recognise leadin times of 6 to 9 months from initial concept to final approval.

Medium term plans will need to consider alternative potential scenarios for future plans reflecting the volatile and uncertain circumstances.

A lack of firm objectives for savings – greater "still to be found" gaps in savings plans

Score 5/10

Scope for Improvement – Good It has been common that in later years of the plan there have been balancing "savings still to be found" and those savings that were identified have often lacked detailed plans, especially in later years and plans were held and maintained locally within directorates and services.

Even where plans are detailed there have been evidence that some savings have subsequently not been implemented following further scrutiny. Greater emphasis needs to be placed on identifying consequences, risks, sensitivities, opportunities and actions in the early planning stages before plans are presented for scrutiny.

Conclusions

Changes have been introduced to maintain a comprehensive central database of all savings plans over the three years which contain information about impacts, risks, dependencies, sensitivities as well as forecast financials, timescales and staffing. This database is backed up with detailed delivery plans.

A growing tendency for directorates to have unplanned overspends and/or carry forward undelivered savings into the following year

Score 4/10

Scope for Improvement - High

Evidence

The Covid-19 pandemic had a significant impact on budgets in 2020-21 with savings undeliverable in the immediate aftermath albeit offset by significant underspends due to impact of lockdowns.

2021-22 budget was delivered although there were early signs of underlying unbudgeted growth trends which were largely disguised by ongoing Covid-19 impacts and availability of additional Covid 19 grants.

Significant and material overspends were reported in 2022-23. These had been partly anticipated and mitigated through the creation of a budget risk reserve and strengthening of general reserves in 2021-22, and the transfer of insecure funding into reserves in 2022-23 budget. The enhanced risks following the Russian invasion of Ukraine after 2022-23 budget had been set were reported to Cabinet on 31st March together with further strengthening of reserves from final local government finance settlement and final notification of retained share of business rates.

The full consequences of global and national circumstances in 2022-23 could never have been fully foreseen when the budget was set, and it was acknowledged that reserves were only adequate and not as generous as other comparable councils. Initially work in 2022-23 focussed on verifying the forecasts rather than immediate remedial action on the basis that these were expected to be short-term temporary consequences.

The 2023-24 budget included unprecedented levels of growth including the full year impact of 2022-23 overspends, historically high levels of inflation and other cost driver growth as best could be forecast at the time. This still proved insufficient and further unplanned overspends were reported in 2023-24 due to a combination of unbudgeted growth and under delivery of savings.

"Securing Kent's Future – Budget Recovery Strategy" was agreed in October 2023. This strategy includes immediate actions with the objective of bringing spending into balance in 2023-24 through spending reductions across the whole council for the remainder of the year and actions

expected to have impacts in 2024-25 and over the medium term to reduce the structural deficits in the areas of overspend. The plan recognises it may take time to reduce spending in key areas in adults and children's and thus further savings from contracts coming up for renewal and other areas of activity outside adults and children's in the interim.

SKF and the imposition of spending controls on uncommitted spending resulted in a reduction in the overspend by year end 2023-24 although within this there were still significant overspends in Adult Social Care and Children and Young People due to combination of unbudgeted growth and under delivery of savings.

Early forecasts for 2024-25 identify overspends in Adult Social Care and Growth Environment and Transport Directorates. Again these arise from a combination of unbudgeted growth and increasingly under delivery or rephasing of savings. Some savings included in the budget have subsequently been challenged and not agreed following publication of detailed options (including withdrawing consultation. Budget plans did not include alternative mitigations or any contingency to allow for variations from the original plan.

Conclusions

Failure to deliver to budgets is becoming a significant concern. Failure to deliver budget has multiple impacts in that it either requires "right-sizing" in future budgets (increasing spending growth), roll forward of savings (increasing the in-year savings requirement in future years to an extent that there may be inadequate capacity) and is a drain on reserves.

Table: Usable Revenue Reserves Balances

	ACTUALS										
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24		
	£000s										
General	-36,404	-36,671	-36,903	-37,054	-37,183	-37,075	-56,188	-36,918	-43,030		
Earmarked*	-163,914	-159,357	-155,319	-180,424	-190,656	-261,165	-259,933	-254,219	-251,339		
Covid	0	0	0	0	-37,307	-88,209	-75,122	-47,100	-10,000		
Public Health	-1,988	-3,825	-3,634	-6,036	-5,877	-11,126	-16,817	-16,899	-16,984		
Safety Valve	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-36,263	-36,263		
Totals	-202,306	-199,852	-195,856	-223,514	-271,023	-397,575	-408,060	-391,398	-357,616		