

Lu Sun 228002519

8.30: $f(x|\theta) = \frac{\theta}{\pi} \frac{1}{\theta^2 + x^2}$, $-\infty < x < \infty$, $\theta > 0$. Cauchy scale pdf

(a) show that MLR doesn't exist

(b) $|x| \sim f(x|\theta)$. show $|x|$ is suff for θ and dist of $|x|$ does have MLR.

proof (a) $\frac{f(x|\theta_2)}{f(x|\theta_1)} = \frac{\theta_2(\theta_1^2 + x^2)}{\theta_1(\theta_2^2 + x^2)}$, $\theta_2 > \theta_1$

$\frac{d}{dx} \frac{f(x|\theta_2)}{f(x|\theta_1)} = \frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1} \frac{\theta_2^2 - \theta_1^2}{(\theta_2^2 + x^2)^2}$ \times , when $x \in (-\infty, 0)$ $\frac{f(x|\theta_2)}{f(x|\theta_1)}$ monotone decreases
 $x \in (0, \infty)$ $\frac{f(x|\theta_2)}{f(x|\theta_1)}$ monotone increases
 \Rightarrow MLR doesn't exist

(b) $f(x|\theta) = g(|x||\theta) = \frac{\theta}{\pi} \frac{1}{\theta^2 + |x|^2}$ by factorization theorem, $|x|$ is sufficient for θ

$f_{|x|}(y|\theta) = \frac{2\theta}{\pi} \frac{1}{\theta^2 + y^2}$ $y \in [0, +\infty)$, $\theta > 0$

$\frac{d}{dy} \frac{f(y|\theta_2)}{f(y|\theta_1)} = \frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1} \frac{\theta_2^2 - \theta_1^2}{(\theta_2^2 + y^2)^2}$ y , $\theta_2 > \theta_1$, $\theta_2^2 - \theta_1^2 \geq 0$, $y \geq 0 \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dy} \frac{f(y|\theta_2)}{f(y|\theta_1)} \geq 0$

$\Rightarrow \frac{f(y|\theta_2)}{f(y|\theta_1)}$ monotone increases $\forall y \geq 0$ $\theta_2 > \theta_1$

$\Rightarrow |x|$ does have MLR.

8.33 $\{X_i\} \sim U(\theta, \theta+1)$. $H_0: \theta=0$, $H_1: \theta>0$, reject H_0 if $Y_n \geq 1$ or $Y_1 \geq k$, $Y_1 = X_{(1)}$, $Y_n = X_{(n)}$

(a) Determine k s.t. test has size α

(b) power function in (a)

(c) prove that the test is UMP size α

(d) Find n, k s.t. UMP 0.1 level test has power at least .8 if $\theta > 1$

(a) under H_0 , $P(Y_n \geq 1) = 0$, $\Rightarrow \alpha = P(Y_1 \geq k | \theta=0) = (1-k)^n$

$$\Rightarrow k = 1 - \alpha^{1/n}$$

(b) $\Rightarrow f_{Y_1, Y_n}(y, x | \theta) = n(n-1)(x-y)^{n-2}$, $\theta < y < x < \theta+1$, $f_{Y_1}(y | \theta) = n(1-(y-\theta))^{n-1}$, $0 < y < \theta+1$
 when $\theta \leq k-1$, $\beta(\theta) = 0$,

$$\text{when } k-1 < \theta \leq 0, \beta(\theta) = \int_k^{\theta+1} n(1-(y-\theta))^{n-1} dy = (1-k+\theta)^n$$

$$\text{when } 0 < \theta \leq k, \beta(\theta) = \int_k^{\theta+1} n(1-(y-\theta))^{n-1} dy + \int_{\theta}^k \int_1^{\theta+1} n(n-1)(x-y)^{n-2} dx dy = \alpha + 1 - (1-\theta)^n$$

$$\text{when } \theta > k, \beta(\theta) = 1$$

$$(c) \frac{f(y, x | \theta)}{f(y, x | 0)} = \begin{cases} \begin{cases} 0 & , 0 < y_1 \leq \theta, y_1 < y_n < 1 \\ 1 & , 0 < y_1 < y_n < 1 \\ \infty & , 1 \leq y_n < \theta+1, \theta < y_1 < y_n \end{cases} & , 0 < \theta < 1 \\ \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{if } y_1 < y_n < 1 \\ \infty & , \text{if } \theta < y_1 < y_n < \theta+1 \end{cases} & \theta \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

① when $0 < \theta < k$, $R = \{Y_n \geq 1, Y_1 \geq k\} \subset \{Y_n \geq 1, Y_1 > \theta\}$

$$\frac{f(y, x | \theta)}{f(y, x | 0)} = \infty \quad \forall \theta \in (0, \infty), \forall \{y, x\} \in R$$

set: reject if $\frac{f(y, x | \theta)}{f(y, x | 0)} > 1$ and accepts if $\frac{f(y, x | \theta)}{f(y, x | 0)} < 1$

By Neyman-Pearson Lemma, the test is UMP size α .

② when $\theta \geq k$, $R = \{Y_n \geq 1, Y_1 \geq k\} \supset \{Y_n \geq 1, Y_1 > \theta\}$

$$\frac{f(y, x | \theta)}{f(y, x | 0)} \geq 0, \quad \forall \theta \in (0, \infty), \forall \{y, x\} \in R$$

set: reject if $\frac{f(y, x | \theta)}{f(y, x | 0)} > 0$, and accepts if $\frac{f(y, x | \theta)}{f(y, x | 0)} < 0$

By Neyman-Pearson Lemma, the test is UMP size α

$$(d) k = 1 - \alpha^{1/n} = 1 - (0.1)^{1/n} \leq 1$$

$$\text{in (b)}, \theta > 1 \geq k \Rightarrow \beta(\theta) = 1 > 0.8$$

\Rightarrow for any n, k , UMP 0.1 level test has power at least 0.8 if $\theta > 1$

$$3. \textcircled{1} \Lambda_k \leq \gamma_0 \text{ Accept } H_0 \Rightarrow f(x_1, \dots, x_k; p_0) > 1-\alpha.$$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_k; p_1) \leq 1-\beta$$

$$\Lambda_k < \frac{1-\beta}{1-\alpha}, \quad \sup \Lambda_k = \frac{1-\beta}{1-\alpha} \leq \gamma_0.$$

$$\textcircled{2} \Lambda_k \geq \gamma_1 \text{ reject } H_0 \Rightarrow f(x_1, \dots, x_k; p_0) \leq \alpha$$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_k; p_1) > \beta$$

$$\Lambda_k > \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \quad \inf \Lambda_k = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \geq \gamma_1,$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_1 \leq \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \quad \gamma_0 \geq \frac{1-\beta}{1-\alpha}.$$