

# More on UMVUE; Bayes Estimators

Tiandong Wang

Department of Statistics  
Texas A&M University

# Constructing UMVUE using Rao-Blackwell Method

Now we learn an important method of finding/constructing UMVUEs with the help of complete and sufficient statistics.

Review on conditional expectation:

- (1)  $E(X) = E[E(X|Y)]$ , for any  $X, Y$ .
- (2)  $Var(X) = Var[E(X|Y)] + E[Var(X|Y)]$ , for any  $X, Y$
- (3)  $E(g(X)|Y) = \int g(x)f_{x|y}(x|y)dx$ , and it is a function of  $Y$
- (4) If  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, then  $E(g(X)|Y) = E(g(X))$ .

# Constructing UMVUE using Rao-Blackwell Method: Example

Examples:

- $Cov(E(X|Y), Y) = Cov(X, Y)$ .
- If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  iid  $Pois(\lambda)$ , let  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , then  
 $X_1|Y \sim Bin(Y, \frac{1}{n})$ , and  
 $(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})|Y \sim Multinomial(Y; \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$

## Theorem

*Let  $W$  be unbiased for  $\tau(\theta)$  and  $T$  be a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . Define  $\phi(T) = E(W|T)$ . Then:*

- *(i)  $E_{\theta}\phi(T) = \tau(\theta)$*
- *(ii)  $\text{Var}_{\theta}\phi(T) \leq \text{Var}_{\theta}W$  for all  $\theta$ .*

*Thus,  $E(W|T)$  is a uniformly better unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  than  $W$ .*

- Remark:

Conditioning any unbiased estimator on a sufficient statistic will result in a uniform improvement, so we only consider statistics that are functions of a sufficient statistic for best unbiased estimators.

- Examples:

- For  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  iid Bernoulli( $p$ ), show  $X_1 X_2$  is unbiased for  $p^2$  but  $E(X_1 X_2 | \sum_i X_i)$  is uniformly better.
- Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid  $Unif(0, \theta)$ . Show  $Y = (n+1)X_{(1)}$  is unbiased for  $\theta$  and  $E(Y | X_{(n)})$  is uniformly better.

# Uniqueness of UMVUE

## Theorem

*If  $W$  is a best unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ , then  $W$  is unique.*

## Theorem

*If  $E_{\theta} W = \tau(\theta)$ ,  $W$  is the best unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  if and only if  $W$  is uncorrelated with all unbiased estimators of 0.*

# Uniqueness of UMVUE: Examples

Examples:

Let  $X$  be an observation from a  $Unif(\theta, \theta + 1)$ . Show that

- (i)  $X - 1/2$  is unbiased for  $\theta$
- (ii) Show unbiased estimators of zero are periodic functions with period 1. One example is  $h(X) = \sin(2\pi X)$ .
- (iii) Show  $X - 1/2$  and  $h(X)$  are correlated. So  $X - 1/2$  is not the best

# Uniqueness of UMVUE: Complete Statistics

## Theorem

*Let  $T$  be a complete sufficient statistic for a parameter  $\theta$ , and let  $\phi(T)$  be any estimator based on  $T$ . Then  $\phi(T)$  is the unique best unbiased estimator of its expected value.*



# Uniqueness of UMVUE: Examples

- Procedure to find the best unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ :
  - (i) Find a complete sufficient statistic  $T$  for a parameter  $\theta$
  - (ii) Guess a function  $\phi(T)$  such that  $E[\phi(T)] = \tau(\theta)$   
or construct  $\phi(T)$ : find an unbiased estimator  $h(X)$  of  $\tau(\theta)$ ,  
then  $\phi(T) = E(h(X)|T)$  is the best unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ .
- Example: Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are iid Bernoulli( $p$ ). Find the best unbiased estimator for  $p$  and  $p^2$ .

- Example: Suppose that the random variables  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  satisfy  $Y_i = \beta x_i + \epsilon_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are fixed constants, and  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$  are iid  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  with  $\sigma^2$  known. Find the MLE of  $\beta$  and show it is UMVUE.

Suppose  $T = T(\mathbf{X})$  is complete for  $\theta$ . Then

- 1 For any parameter  $\tau(\theta)$ , there is at most one unbiased estimator which is a function of  $T$ .
- 2 If  $S = S(\mathbf{X})$  is unbiased for  $\tau(\theta)$  and  $\text{Var}_\theta(S) < \infty$  for all  $\theta$ , then

$$S^*(\mathbf{X}) = S^* = \mathbb{E}(S|T)$$

is the UMVUE for  $\tau(\theta)$ .

- 3 An unbiased estimator with finite variance which is a function of  $T$  is the UMVUE.

# UMVUE: Examples

Example: Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are iid from  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , both  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  unknown.

- (i) Find the UMVUE for  $\mu$ .
- (ii) Find the UMVUE for  $\sigma^2$ .
- (iii) Find the UMVUE for  $\mu^2$ . Is Cramér-Rao bound attained here?

Estimating a parameter by UMVUE is one approach to estimation, but may not be very good.

- No unbiased estimator exists.
  - $X_1, \dots, X_n$  iid Poisson( $\lambda$ ). No unbiased estimator for  $1/\lambda$ .
- The only one that exists is bad.
  - $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are iid from  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , both  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  unknown. The UMVUE for  $\mu^2$  can be negative!

# Loss Function Optimality

Observations  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are iid with pdf  $f(x|\theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta$ . To evaluate the estimator  $\hat{\theta}(X)$ , various loss function can be used. The loss function measures the closeness of  $\theta$  and  $\hat{\theta}$ .

- squared error loss:  $L(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = (\theta - \hat{\theta})^2$
- absolute error loss:  $L(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = |\theta - \hat{\theta}|$
- a loss that penalizes overestimation more than underestimation is

$$L(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = (\theta - \hat{\theta})^2 I(\hat{\theta} < \theta) + 10(\theta - \hat{\theta})^2 I(\hat{\theta} \geq \theta)$$

- a loss that penalized more if  $\theta$  is near 0 than if  $|\theta|$  is large

$$L(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = \frac{(\theta - \hat{\theta})^2}{|\theta| + 1}$$

- To compare estimators, we use the expected loss, called the risk function,

$$R(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = E_{\theta} L(\theta, \hat{\theta}(X)).$$

- If  $R(\theta, \hat{\theta}_1) < R(\theta, \hat{\theta}_2)$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ , then  $\hat{\theta}_1$  is the preferred estimator because it performs better for all  $\theta$ .
- In particular, for the squared error loss, the risk function is the MSE.

# Loss Function Optimality

- Example: Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are iid from  $\text{Bin}(1, p)$ . Compare two estimators in terms of their MSE.
  - (1) MLE =  $\bar{X}$
  - (2) Bayes estimator: prior  $\pi(p) \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $\alpha = \beta = \sqrt{n/4}$ ,

$$\hat{p}_B = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i + \sqrt{n/4}}{n + \sqrt{n}}$$

- Example: Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are iid from  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Consider the estimators of the form  $\delta_b(\mathbf{X}) = bS^2$ .



# Inadmissibility

An estimator  $\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{X})$  is **inadmissible** wrt the loss function  $L(\theta, \hat{\theta})$  if there exists  $\tilde{\theta}(\mathbf{X})$  such that

- $R(\theta, \tilde{\theta}) \leq R(\theta, \hat{\theta})$  for all  $\theta$  and
- $R(\theta, \tilde{\theta}) < R(\theta, \hat{\theta})$  for some  $\theta$ .

In other words,  $\hat{\theta}$  is dominated by some other rule.

**Example:**  $X_i$  iid  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ :

- ① If  $\mu = 0$  known but  $\sigma^2$  unknown, then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \quad \text{is inadmissible.}$$

- ② If  $\mu, \sigma^2$  unknown, then

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \quad \text{is inadmissible.}$$

## Remarks:

- Admissibility is a critical property, if we believe our loss function.
- Not all admissible estimators are reasonable: in many models a constant estimator  $\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{X}) = 1$  (say) is admissible simply because it has zero risk at  $\theta = 1$ .
- Bayes estimators are admissible under some regularity conditions.

Let us first see what the Bayes risk is...

# Bayes Rule

- The Bayes risk is the average risk with respect to the prior

$\pi$

$$\int_{\Theta} R(\theta, \hat{\theta}) \pi(\theta) d\theta$$

- By definition, the Bayes risk can be written as

$$\int_{\Theta} R(\theta, \hat{\theta}) \pi(\theta) d\theta = \int_{\Theta} \left( \int_{\mathcal{X}} L(\theta, \hat{\theta}(x)) f(x|\theta) dx \right) \pi(\theta) d\theta$$

- Note  $f(x|\theta)\pi(\theta) = \pi(\theta|\mathbf{x})m(\mathbf{x})$ , where  $\pi(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$  is the posterior distribution of  $\theta$  and  $m(\mathbf{x})$  is the marginal distribution of  $\mathbf{X}$ , then the Bayes risk become

$$\int_{\Theta} R(\theta, \hat{\theta}) \pi(\theta) d\theta = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \left( \int_{\Theta} L(\theta, \hat{\theta}(x)) \pi(\theta|x) d\theta \right) m(\mathbf{x}) dx$$

- To minimize the Bayes risk, we only need to find  $\hat{\theta}$  to minimize the posterior expected loss for each  $\mathbf{x}$ .

- The Bayes rule with respect to a prior  $\pi$  is an estimator that yields the smallest value of the Bayes risk.
- Two Bayes rules:
  - (1) For squared error loss, the posterior expected loss is

$$\int_{\Theta} (\theta - \hat{\theta})^2 \pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) d\theta = E((\theta - \hat{\theta})^2|\mathbf{x})$$

therefore the Bayes rule is  $E(\theta|x)$ .

- (2) For absolute error loss, the posterior expected loss is  $E(|\theta - a||\mathbf{x})$ . The Bayes rule is the median of  $\pi(\theta|\mathbf{x})$ .

## Theorem

*For a parameter vector  $\theta$ , suppose  $\hat{\theta}_\pi$  is a Bayes estimator having finite Bayes risk:*

- 1 w.r.t. a prior pdf  $\pi$  that is positive for all  $\theta$ , and*
- 2 the risk function of every estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  is a continuous function of  $\theta$ .*

*Then  $\hat{\theta}_\pi$  is admissible.*