$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{M}} \mid \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{U} \ \mathbf{N} \ \mathbf{I} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{E} \ \mathbf{R} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{I} \ \mathbf{T} \ \mathbf{Y}$



CSCE 633: Machine Learning

Lecture 10



Overview

- Information entropy
- Decision Trees
 - Terminology (e.g. nodes, etc) & intuition
 - Entropy node splitting criterion
 - Algorithm Outline
 - Pruning
 - Regression Trees
- Random Forests



Overview

- Information entropy
- Decision Trees
 - Terminology (e.g. nodes, etc) & intuition
 - Entropy node splitting criterion
 - Algorithm Outline
 - Pruning
 - Regression Trees
- Random Forests



Question Suppose that we have a random variable X that represents one's favorite season of eating watermelon. X takes four values {summer, fall, winter, spring}. The probability of eating watermelon for each of the four seasons is:

P(summer) = 0.75, P(winter) = 0.05, P(spring) = 0.1, P(fall) = 0.1 We randomly select one person and ask then what is their favorite season of eating watermelon.

- A) Suppose that they have answered summer. How surprised are you?
- B) Suppose that they have answered either summer, fall, winter, or spring. How surprised are you?
- C) Suppose that they have answered winter. How surprised are you?





Question Suppose that we have a random variable X that represents one's favorite season of eating watermelon. X takes four values {summer, fall, winter, spring}. The probability of eating watermelon for each of the four seasons is:

P(summer) = 0.75, P(winter) = 0.05, P(spring) = 0.1, P(fall) = 0.1 We randomly select one person and ask then what is their favorite season of eating watermelon.

- A) Suppose that they have answered summer. How surprised are you? Not much
- B) Suppose that they have answered either summer, fall, winter, or spring. How surprised are you? Not at all
- C) Suppose they have answered winter. How surprised are you? A lot





AAAAAAA AAABBCD AABBCCDD

Bucket 1

Bucket 2

Bucket 3

Suppose that we draw a letter from the above buckets.

On average how many questions do we need to ask to find out what letter it is?



AAAAAAA AAABBCD AABBCCDD

Bucket 1

Bucket 2

Bucket 3

For Bucket 1:

- We don't need to ask any questions, since it only contains the letter Α.
- Average Number of Questions = 0



AAAAAAA AAABBCD AABBCCDD

Bucket 1

Bucket 2

Bucket 3

For Buckets 2 and 3:

- Naively we could ask 4 questions
- Is the letter A? Is the letter B? Is the letter C? Is the letter D?
- Can we do better than that?



AAAAAAA

AAAABBCD

AABBCCDD

Bucket 1

Bucket 2

Bucket 3


For Bucket 2:

- We notice that 50% of letters are A
- We can take advantage of this by asking "Is the letter A" in our first question.
- If the answer to the first question is "Yes", then we will have found the letter in 1 question (instead of 4).



For Bucket 2:


- If the letter is A, we can find out in 1 question
- If the letter is B, we can find out in 2 questions
- If the letter is C, we can find out in 3 questions
- Average Number of Questions = $\frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 2 + \frac{1}{8} \cdot 3 + \frac{1}{8} \cdot 3 = 1.75$





For Bucket 3:

- We need 2 questions for any letter
- Average Number of Questions = $\frac{1}{4} \cdot 2 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 2 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 2 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 2 = 2$





AAAAAAA

AAAABBCD

AABBCCDD

Bucket 1

Bucket 2

Bucket 3

Avg No. Questions = 0

Avg No. Questions = 1.75

Avg No. Questions = 2

- If we want to find out a letter drawn out of a bucket, the average number of questions we must ask to find out what is this letter, is the entropy of the set (if we ask our questions in the smartest possible way).
- Entropy is a measure of uncertainty
- Entropy = $0 \rightarrow$ no uncertainty



Entropy for discrete distribution

Let X be a discrete random variable with $\{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ outcomes, each occurring with probability $p(x_1), \ldots, p(x_N)$.


The information content of outcome x_n is inversely proportional to its probability, $h(x_n) = \log \frac{1}{p(x_n)} = -\log p(x_n)$

The entropy of the random variable X is the average information content of the outcomes:

$$H(X) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} p(x_n) \log(\frac{1}{p(x_n)}) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} p(x_n) \log(p(x_n))$$

Example

no uncertainty H(X)=0



high uncertainty



[Watch this: https://www.khanacademy.org/computing/computer-science/informationtheory/



Entropy for continuous distribution

Let X be a continuous random variable with $x \in \Omega$. Its entropy is defined as follows:

$$H(X) = -\int_{x \in \Omega} p(x) \log(p(x)) dx$$

Example

If $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ its entropy is $H(X) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \log(2\pi\sigma^2))$.

The entropy depends on the variance of the Gaussian.

i.e. higher variance \rightarrow higher uncertainty, and vice-versa.



Gaussians with the same σ , therefore same entropy.



Conditional Entropy

We want to quantify how much uncertainty the realization of a random variable Y has if another random variable X is known. Let X take the values $\{x_1, \ldots, x_M\}$.

The conditional entropy is defined as:

$$H(Y|X) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} p_X(x_m) H_{Y|X=x_m}(Y|X=x_m)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} p_X(x_m) \left(-\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{Y|X}(y_n|x_m) \log(p_{Y|X}(y_n|x_m)) \right)$$

$$= -\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_X(x_m) p_{Y|X}(y_n|x_m) \log(p_{Y|X}(y_n|x_m))$$



Overview


- Information entropy
- Decision Trees
 - Terminology (e.g. nodes, etc) & intuition
 - Entropy node splitting criterion
 - Algorithm Outline
 - Pruning
 - Regression Trees
- Random Forests




Many decisions are tree-like structures

Medical treatment

Salary in a company








What is a decision tree


A hierarchical data structure implementing the divide-and-conquer strategy for decision making




Can be used for both classification & regression



A decision tree partitions the feature space





Three things to learn

- The tree structure (i.e. attributes and #branches for splitting)
- The threshold values (i.e. θ_i)
- The values of the leaves (i.e. A, B, \ldots)




Example

We want to find a decision process for choosing a restaurant

Example	Attributes									Target	
	Alt	Bar	Fri	Hun	Pat	Price	Rain	Res	Type	Est	WillWait
X_1	T	F	F	T	Some	\$\$\$	F	T	French	0–10	T
X_2	T	F	F	T	Full	\$	F	F	Thai	30–60	F
X_3	F	T	F	F	Some	\$	F	F	Burger	0–10	T
X_4	T	F	T	T	Full	\$	F	F	Thai	10–30	T
X_5	T	F	T	F	Full	\$\$\$	F	T	French	>60	F
X_6	F	T	F	T	Some	\$\$	T	T	Italian	0–10	T
X_7	F	T	F	F	None	\$	T	F	Burger	0–10	F
X_8	F	F	F	T	Some	\$\$	T	T	Thai	0–10	T
X_9	F	T	T	F	Full	\$	T	F	Burger	>60	F
X_{10}	<i>T</i>	T	T	T	Full	\$\$\$	F	T	Italian	10–30	F
X_{11}	F	F	F	F	None	\$	F	F	Thai	0–10	F
X_{12}	T	T	T	T	Full	\$	F	F	Burger	30–60	T



Example	Attributes										Target
Landing	Alt	Bar	Fri	Hun	Pat	Price	Rain	Res	Type	Est	WillWait
X_1	T	F	F	T	Some	\$\$\$	F	Т	French	0-10	T
X_2	T	F	F	T	Full	\$	F	F	Thai	30-60	F
X_3	F	T	F	F	Some	\$	F	F	Burger	0-10	T
X_4	T	F	T	T	Full	\$	F	F	Thai	10-30	T
X_5	T	F	T	F	Full	\$\$\$	F	T	French	>60	F
X_6	F	T	F	T	Some	55	T	T	Italian	0-10	T
X_7	F	T	F	F	None	\$	T	F	Burger	0-10	F
X_8	F	F	F	T	Some	55	T	T	Thai	0-10	T
X_9	F	T	T	F	Full	\$	T	F	Burger	>60	F
X_{10}	T	T	T	T	Full	555	F	T	Italian	10-30	F
X_{11}	F	F	F	F	None	\$	F	F	Thai	0-10	F
X_{12}	T	T	T	T	Full	\$	F	F	Burger	30-60	T



If we split the train samples with respect to the attribute "Patron", we will gain more information or minimize uncertainty regarding the outcome (i.e., "Patrons" can find the correct outcome with less questions).



How do we measure information gain?

- Intuitively, information gain tells us how important a given attribute is for predicting the outcome
- We will use it to decide the ordering of attributes in the nodes of a decision tree (i.e. tree structure)
- Main idea: Gaining information reduces uncertainty
- ullet From information theory, we have a measure of uncertainty ightarrow entropy



Example: Choosing a restaurant

Measuring the conditional entropy on each of the "Patrons" attributes

For "None" branch


$$-\left(\frac{0}{0+2}\log\frac{0}{0+2} + \frac{2}{0+2}\log\frac{2}{0+2}\right) = 0$$

For "Some" branch

$$-\left(\frac{4}{4+0}\log\frac{4}{4+0} + \frac{4}{4+0}\log\frac{4}{4+0}\right) = 0$$

For "Full" branch

$$-\left(\frac{2}{2+4}\log\frac{2}{2+4} + \frac{4}{2+4}\log\frac{4}{2+4}\right) \approx 0.9$$



 $H(Outcome|Patron) = \frac{2}{12} \times 0 + \frac{4}{12} \times 0 + \frac{6}{12} \times 0.9 = 0.45$

"How uncertain is the Outcome with respect to attribute Patrons"



Burger

Decision Trees

Example: Choosing a restaurant

Measuring the conditional entropy on each of the "Type" attributes

For "French" branch

$$-\left(\frac{1}{1+1}\log\frac{1}{1+1} + \frac{1}{1+1}\log\frac{1}{1+1}\right) = 1$$

For "Italian" branch

$$-\left(\frac{1}{1+1}\log\frac{1}{1+1} + \frac{1}{1+1}\log\frac{1}{1+1}\right) = 1$$

For "Thai" and "Burger" branches

$$-\left(\frac{2}{2+2}\log\frac{2}{2+2} + \frac{2}{2+2}\log\frac{2}{2+2}\right) = 1$$

For choosing "Type"

Measuring the conditional entropy on Type

$$H(Outcome|Type) = \frac{2}{12} \times 1 + \frac{2}{12} \times 1 + \frac{4}{12} \times 1 + \frac{4}{12} \times 1 = 1$$

"How uncertain is the Outcome with respect to attribute Type"



Example: Choosing a restaurant


- H(Outcome|Patron) < H(Outcome|Type),H(Outcome|Patron) < H(Outcome|Price), ...
- The entropy of the Outcome conditioned on Patron is the lowest
- So the first split is performed with respect to Patron
- We do not split the "None" and "Some" nodes, since their decision is deterministic from the train data
- Next split? We will look only at the 6 instances assigned to the node "Full"





Example: Choosing a restaurant

Greedily we build the tree and looks like this





Decision Trees: Algorithm Outline

GenerateTree(\mathcal{X}) (Input \mathcal{X} : training samples)

- $1 \; i := SplitAttribute(\mathcal{X})$ (find attribute with lowest uncertainty)
- 2 For each branch of x_i (for all values of the above attribute)
 - 2a Find \mathcal{X}_i falling in branch
 - 2b GenerateTree(\mathcal{X}_i)

SplitAttribute(\mathcal{X}) (Input \mathcal{X} : training samples)

- 1 MinEnt := MAX
- 2 For all attributes X_i , i = 1, ..., D
 - 2a Compute $H(Y|\mathcal{X}_i)$ (entropy of outcome conditioned on attribute X_i)
 - 2b If $MinEnt > H(Y|\mathcal{X}_i)$ (current attribute X_i with lowest conditional entropy so far)
 - 2b.i $MinEnt := H(Y|\mathcal{X}_i)$
 - 2b.ii *SplitAttr* := i
- 3 Return *SplitAttr*



Should we continue to split until every training sample is classified correctly?


- We should be very careful about the depth of the tree
- · Eventually, we can get all training examples right
 - Is this what we want?
- The maximum depth of the tree is a hyperparameter



Decision Trees: Pruning

Example: Choosing a restaurant

We should prune some of the leaves of the tree to get a smaller depth




- If we stop here, not all training samples are classified correctly
- How do we classify a new instance?
 - We label the leaves of this smaller tree with the label of the majority of training samples



Example: Choosing a restaurant

If we wanted to prune at this first node, we would take the following decisions





Decision Trees: Pruning

Pre-Pruning

- Stop growing the tree earlier, before it perfectly classifies the training set
- Use a pre-specified max depth

• Post-Pruning

- Grow the tree full until no training error
- Trim the nodes of the decision tree in a bottom-up fashion
- If generalization error improves after trimming, replace sub-tree by a leaf node
 - Class label of leaf node is determined from majority class of instances in the sub-tree



Decision Trees: Alternative splitting criteria

2-class problem

 \hat{p} , $1 - \hat{p}$: frequency of class 0 and 1

• Entropy:

$$\phi(\hat{p}) = -\hat{p}\log\hat{p} - (1-\hat{p})\log(1-\hat{p})$$

• Gini index:

$$\phi(\hat{p})=2\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})$$

C-class problem

 $\hat{p}_1,...,\hat{p}_C$: frequency of class 1,...,C

• Entropy:

$$\phi(\hat{p}_1,\ldots,\hat{p}_C) = -\sum_c \hat{p}_c \log \hat{p}_c$$

• Gini index:

$$\phi(\hat{p}_1,\ldots,\hat{p}_C) = \sum_c \hat{p}_c (1-\hat{p}_c)$$

Entropy and gini index usually provide similar results. Entropy is slightly more expensive to calculate.



Decision Trees: Continuous features

- For continuous features, we can try multiple splits
 - e.g., if we have feature values (10, 11, 13, 14), we can split at (10.5, 11.5, 13.5)
- Among the split positions that are possible, we select the one that minimizes the entropy or gini index



Regression Trees

- Similar to classification trees with some differences
- Split criterion
 - Mean square error between predicted and actual value of samples that have reached current node
- Leaf node value
 - Mean (or medium) of samples that have reached the node
 - Linear regression estimate on samples that have reached the node
 - Leaf node is created (splitting stops) if the current node has "acceptable" error



Advantages

- The models are transparent: easily interpretable by human (as long as the tree is not too big)
- It is compact, since we only need to store the splitting criteria and corresponding values
- Data can contain combination of continuous and discrete features
- Can handle missing data



Overview

- Information Entropy
- Decision Trees
 - Terminology (e.g. nodes, etc) & intuition
 - Entropy node splitting criterion
 - Algorithm Outline
 - Pruning
 - Regression Trees
- Random Forests



Random Forests

- We grow many classification trees through bagging & randomization
- Bagging (Bootstrap aggregating)
 - Generate independently bootstrap datasets from original data
 - Run a decision tree in each one of them
- Randomize over the set of attributes
 - Before growing a bootstrap decision tree
 - When splitting an interior node of the classification tree
- It is recommended to build small trees
- For each sample, each tree "votes" for a class and we perform majority voting for final decision



Random Forests

Advantages

- Very good performance in practice
- Runs efficiently on large data bases
- Runs efficiently on large feature sets
- Gives estimates of the most relevant variables for the problem



What have we learnt so far

Decision Trees

- Hierarchical (tree-like) structure to perform classification/regression
- Tree structure determined by splitting criterion
 - Entropy (measure of uncertainty), gini index, etc.
- Pruning
 - Prevent overfitting by limiting the depth of the tree
 - Avoids perfect performance on train set
 - Pre/Post-pruning
- Main advantage: interpretability

Random Forests

- Tree ensemble
- Bagging & Randomization
- Good peformance in practice

Readings: Alpaydin 9.1-9.4