

The methodology of School 21 makes sense only if peer-to-peer reviews are done seriously. Please read all guidelines carefully before starting the review.

- Please, stay courteous, polite, respectful and constructive in all communications during this review.
- Highlight possible malfunctions of the work done by the person and take the time to discuss and debate it.
- Keep in mind that sometimes there can be differences in interpretation of the tasks and the scope of features. Please, stay open-minded to the vision of the other.
- If you have not finished the project yet, it is compulsory to read the entire instruction before starting the review.

## Guidelines

- Evaluate only the files that are in src folder on the GIT repository of the student or group.
- Ensure to start reviewing a group project only when the team is present in full.
- Use special flags in the checklist to report, for example, an "empty work" if repository does not contain the work of the student (or group) in the src folder of the develop branch, or "cheat" in case of cheating or if the student (or group) are unable to explain their work at any time during review as well as if one of the points below is not met. However, except for cheating cases, you are encouraged to continue reviewing the project to identify the problems that caused the situation in order to avoid them at the next review.
- Doublecheck that the GIT repository is the one corresponding to the student or the group.
- Meticulously check that nothing malicious has been used to mislead you.
- In controversial cases, remember that the checklist determines only the general order of the check. The final decision on project evaluation remains with the reviewer.

## MAIN PART



### Exercise 00 - Fool Me Once

Check that "credentials.py" is present

Check that the webserver is started on port 8888 when script is launched

Check that the webserver uses WSGI interface (function with two arguments) returning an iterator over response body

Check that response has "Content-Type" set to "application/json"

Check that HTTP server returns appropriate credentials for the species from the known list

Check that for known species server HTTP response status code is 200

Check that HTTP server returns `{"credentials": "Unknown"}` for the species not in the known list

Check that for unknown species server HTTP response status code is 404

☐ No

☐ Yes

### Exercise 01 - Screwdriver Song

Check that ``README`` file is present and contains the command on how to run the test HTTP server

Check that both server code (may contain multiple files) and client script ``screwdriver.py`` are present

Check that webserver listens on port 8888

Check that the webserver allows uploading files using a browser through the main page

Check that the webpage shows the list of all the audio files already uploaded

Check that the webpage shows an error "Non-audio file detected" when uploaded an image (like JPG), text or other non-audio content

Check that non-audio files are discarded (not saved on disk) and not shown in a list of uploaded files

Check that the client script allows uploading file to the server via HTTP using syntax like ``python screwdriver.py upload /path/to/file.mp3``

Check that the client requests a list of uploaded files from the server and prints it when called like ``python screwdriver.py list``

☐ No☐ Yes

### Exercise 02 - Good Timing

Check that "doctors.py" script is present

Check that the script actually uses threading (either library ``threading`` or ``concurrent.futures``) and threads are competing for the resources while determining the order

Check that the script launched several times produces an output like `` `` Doctor 11: BLAST! Doctor 9: BLAST! Doctor 12: BLAST! Doctor 10: BLAST! Doctor 13: BLAST! `` `` where the order of lines might be different, but there should be exactly five of them every time with no doubles.

☐ No☐ Yes

## BONUS PART



### Bonus section for EX01

Check that uploaded audio files can actually be played in the browser from the main webpa