

Incremental MaxSAT benchmarks from dynamic discretizations of train scheduling problems

Bjørnar Luteberget

SINTEF Digital AS

Oslo, Norway

bjornar.luteberget@sintef.no

SAT and MaxSAT solvers are good choices for solving scheduling problems when there is a natural and coarse discretization of time, such as in academic timetabling [1]. In the railway domain, online/real-time scheduling applications have typically not been a good match for time discretization approaches (see [2]–[4]), because there is often no reasonable choice of coarseness: when the discretization is too fine, the problem instance becomes too large to solve in a reasonable amount of time, and when the discretization is too coarse, the approximate solutions may prove sub-optimal or even infeasible in the field.

Dynamic Discretization Discovery (DDD), recently introduced by [5], is a technique used to convert scheduling problems over continuous time into discrete variables in a way that mitigates these size and approximation issues. By solving a sequence of smaller problems, the discretization is built incrementally in a way that ensures convergence to an optimal solution of the complete continuous formulation.

In [6], the DDD technique was adapted to a the train dispatching problem, which is a type of railway scheduling problem that is solved in an online setting where some trains have become delayed and are no longer able to follow their original timetables. The objective of the train dispatching problem in [6] is to reschedule the trains to minimize the sum of delays. The measure of the delay may either be the continuous numerical value of the delay, or a step-wise function that defines constant costs for exceeding some defined delay thresholds. For example, if a train is delayed by 3 minutes it could incur a cost of 1, if it is more than 10 minutes delayed, a cost of 2, and so on.

The paper [6] compares two approaches for solving the train dispatching problem: the commercial mixed-integer programming solver Gurobi using continuous variables, and the dynamic discretization (DDD) solved using the RC2 MaxSAT algorithm [7]. On step-wise objective functions, the MaxSAT approach had better performance.

The IPAMIR Train Scheduling benchmark submitted to the MaxSAT Evaluation 2024 is a command-line application that solves the train scheduling problems from [6] with a step-wise objective function. The program uses incremental calls to a MaxSAT solver through the IPAMIR interface. It calls IPAMIR to add hard clauses and to set literal weights (but only on literals that previously did not have an assigned weight, i.e., the weights are non-decreasing). The benchmarking program

uses the *linear rounded* objective defined in [6], i.e. the cost is $c(d) = \lfloor d/Q \rfloor$, for each train's delay d , with $Q = 180$ sec.

The input files provided with the benchmarking program are 72 problem instances constructed from real-world railway data from two single-track railroad networks, named Line A and Line B, extracted from the real-time train information system of the Norwegian state railways. Line A is 124 km long, includes 30 stations and an average of 20 trains per problem instance. Line B is 115 km, includes 20 stations and an average of 11 trains per problem instance. There are 24 original instances from the information system, named in the style `origA01.txt`, where A is the railway line and 01 is the instance number. In addition, two types of modifications were made to create harder problem instances: instances named `track*.txt` have increased traveling time between stations, and instances named `station*.txt` have increased station dwelling times. See [6] for more details.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Asín Achá and R. Nieuwenhuis, “Curriculum-based course timetabling with SAT and MaxSAT,” *Annals of Operations Research*, vol. 218, pp. 71–91, 2014.
- [2] C. Mannino and A. Mascis, “Optimal real-time traffic control in metro stations,” *Operations Research*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 1026–1039, 2009.
- [3] S. Harrod, “Modeling network transition constraints with hypergraphs,” *Transportation Science*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 81–97, 2011.
- [4] N. L. Boland and M. W. Savelsbergh, “Perspectives on integer programming for time-dependent models,” *Top*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 147–173, 2019.
- [5] N. Boland, M. Hewitt, L. Marshall, and M. Savelsbergh, “The continuous-time service network design problem,” *Operations research*, vol. 65, no. 5, pp. 1303–1321, 2017.
- [6] A. L. Croella, B. Luteberget, C. Mannino, and P. Ventura, “A MaxSAT approach for solving a new Dynamic Discretization Discovery model for train rescheduling problems,” *Computers & Operations Research*, p. 106679, 2024.
- [7] A. Ignatiev, A. Morgado, and J. Marques-Silva, “RC2: an efficient MaxSAT solver,” *J. Satisf. Boolean Model. Comput.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 53–64, 2019. DOI: 10.3233/SAT190116. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3233/SAT190116>.