


- At a fundamental level compilers can be understood as processors that match AST patterns of the source language and translate them into patterns in the target language.
- Here we will look at a basic compiler that translates Cuppa1 programs into exp1bytecode.

```
program : stmt_list
stmt_list : stmt stmt_list
          empty
stmt : ID '=' exp opt_semi
       GET ID opt_semi
       PUT exp opt semi
       WHILE '(' exp ')' stmt
       IF '(' exp ')' stmt opt_else
       '{' stmt_list '}'
opt_else : ELSE stmt
         | empty
opt_semi : ';'
         empty
exp: exp PLUS exp
      exp MINUS exp
      exp TIMES exp
      exp DIVIDE exp
      exp EQ exp
      exp LE exp
      INTEGER
      ID
      '(' exp ')'
      MINUS exp %prec UMINUS
      NOT exp
```

```
prog : instr_list
instr_list : labeled_instr instr_list
             empty
labeled_instr : label_def instr
label_def : NAME ':'
            empty
instr : PRINT exp ';'
        INPUT NAME ';'
        STORE NAME exp ';'
        JUMPT exp label ';'
        JUMPF exp label ';'
        JUMP label ';'
        STOP ';'
        NOOP ';'
      '+' exp exp
      '-' exp exp
      '-' exp
      '*' exp exp
      '/' exp exp
      EQ exp exp
      LE exp exp
      '!' exp
      '(' exp ')'
      var
      NUMBER
label: NAME
var: NAME
```




- Consider for example the AST pattern for the assignment statement in Cuppa1,
 - ('assign', name, exp)
- We could easily envision translating this AST pattern into a pattern in Exp1bytecode as follows,
 - store <name> <exp>;
- where <name> and <exp> are the appropriate translations of the variable name and the assignment expression from Cuppa1 into Exp1bytecode.



- In our case it is not that difficult to come up with pattern translations for all the nonstructured statements and expressions in Cuppa1.
- For all the non-structured statements we have the pattern translations,
 - ('assign', name, exp) => store <name> <exp>;
 - ('put', exp) => print <exp>;
 - ('get', name) => input <name>;


- And for the expressions we have,
 - ('+', c1, c2) => ('+' < c1 > < c2 >)
 - ('-', c1, c2) => ('-' < c1 > < c2 >)
 - ('*', c1, c2) => ('*' <c1> <c2>)
 - ('/', c1, c2) => ('/' < c1 > < c2 >)
 - ('==', c1, c2) => ('==' < c1 > < c2 >)
 - ('<=', c1, c2) => ('<=' <c1> <c2>)
 - ('id', name) => <name>
 - ('integer', value) => <value>
 - ('uminus', value) => <value>
 - ('not', value) => ! <value>





 One way to translate the AST pattern for the while loop into a code pattern in Exp1bytecode is,

 Note that we have to "simulate" the behavior of the Cuppa1 "while" loop with jump statements in Exp1bytecode.



We can do something similar with if-then statements,

Finally, adding the else-statement to the if-then statement we have,






- One thing to keep in mind is the notion of target pattern compositionality.
- By that we mean that any target language patterns generated from the same class of AST patterns should be able to be composed,
 - Any one of the Exp1bytecode patterns due to statements in Cuppa1 should be able to be composed with any other Exp1bytecode pattern due to a statement without ever generating incorrect target code.
 - The same thing is true for Exp1bycode patterns generated from Cuppa1 expressions





- Our basic compiler consists of:
 - The Cuppa1 frontend
 - A code generation tree walker



Frontend Pattern Translation



Recall that the Cuppa1 frontend generates an AST for a source

program,

We can easily apply our pattern translations to generate Exp1bytecode:

```
('get', name) => input <name>;
('assign', name, exp) => store <name> <exp>;
('put', exp) => print <exp>;
```

Codegen Tree Walker




- The code generator for our compiler is a tree walker that walks the Cuppa1 AST and for each AST pattern that appears in a pattern translation rule it will generate the corresponding target code.
- Cuppa1 statement patterns will generate
 Exp1bytecode instructions on a *list* and Cuppa1
 expression patterns will generated
 Exp1bytecode expressions returned as *strings*.
 - The reason for this will become clear later when we look at optimizations in this compiler.

- Recall the pattern translation,
 - ('get', name) => input <name>;
- The codegen tree walker has a function for that,

```
def get_stmt(node):
    (GET, name) = node
    assert_match(GET, 'get')
    code = [('input', name)]
    return code
```

Even though the translation rule for the get statement demands that we also generate the semicolon as part of the translation, we delay this until we generate the actual machine instructions.

We use Python's ability to do pattern matching on tuples!



- Recall the pattern translation,
 - ('assign', name, exp) => store <name> <exp>;
- The codegen tree walker has a function for that,

```
def assign_stmt(node):
    (ASSIGN, name, exp) = node
    assert_match(ASSIGN, 'assign')
    exp_code = walk(exp)
    code = [('store', name, exp_code)]
    return code
```

Recall the pattern translation,

The codegen tree walker has a function for that,

```
def while_stmt(node):
    (WHILE, cond, body) = node
    assert_match(WHILE, 'while')

    top_label = label()
    bottom_label = label()
    cond_code = walk(cond)
    body_code = walk(body)

    code = [(top_label + ':',)]
    code += [('jumpF', cond_code, bottom_label)]
    code += body_code
    code += [('jump', top_label)]
    code += [(bottom_label + ':',)]
    code += [('noop',)]

    return code
```



Recall the pattern translation for binops,

```
('+', c1, c2) => ('+' <c1> <c2>)
('-', c1, c2) => ('-' <c1> <c2>)
('*', c1, c2) => ('*' <c1> <c2>)
('/', c1, c2) => ('/' <c1> <c2>)
('/-, c1, c2) => ('/- <c1> <c2>)
('==', c1, c2) => ('==' <c1> <c2>)
('<=', c1, c2) => ('<=' <c1> <c2>)
```

The codegen tree walker has a function for that,

```
def binop_exp(node):
    (OP, c1, c2) = node
    if OP not in ['+', '-', '*', '/', '==', '<=']:
        raise ValueError("pattern match failed on " + OP)

    lcode = walk(c1)
    rcode = walk(c2)

    code = '(' + OP + ' ' + lcode + ' ' + rcode + ')'
    return code</pre>
```



- What remains to be looked at is how the tree walker deals with Seq nodes since they act as the glue between the statements in the AST we saw above.
- Related to this is how the walker deals with Nil nodes in a statement sequence since Seq sequences are Nil terminated.

```
def seq(node):
    (SEQ, s1, s2) = node
    assert_match(SEQ, 'seq')

stmt = walk(s1)
    lst = walk(s2)

return stmt + lst
```

```
def nil(node):
    (NIL,) = node
    assert_match(NIL, 'nil')
    return []
```



```
# walk
def walk(node):
   node_type = node[0]
   if node_type in dispatch_dict:
      node_function = dispatch_dict[node_type]
      return node_function(node)
   else:
      raise ValueError("walk: unknown tree node type: " + node type)
# a dictionary to associate tree nodes with node functions
dispatch_dict = {
   'seg'
            : seq,
   'nil'
            : nil,
            : assign_stmt,
   'assign'
   'get'
            : get_stmt,
            : put_stmt,
   'put'
   'while'
            : while_stmt,
   'if'
            : if_stmt,
            : block_stmt,
   'block'
   'integer'
            : integer_exp,
   'id'
            : id_exp,
   'uminus'
            : uminus_exp,
   'not'
            : not_exp,
   'paren'
            : paren_exp,
   14.1
            : binop_exp,
   1 \pm 10
            : binop_exp,
   1 * 1
            : binop_exp,
   1/1
            : binop_exp,
            : binop exp,
   '<='
            : binop exp
}
```

Running Codegen



Consider our AST:

(seq
 |(get x)
 |(seq
 | (assign x
 | | (+
 | | | (id x)
 | | | (integer 1)))
 | (seq

Generated instruction list:

```
[('input', 'x'),
  ('store', 'x', '(+ x 1)'),
  ('print', 'x')]
```



Convert the instruction tuple list into a printable target program.

```
def label_def(instr_tuple):
    instr_name = instr_tuple[0]

    if instr_name[-1] == ':':
        return True
    else:
        return False
```

Running the Phases of the Compiler



```
from cuppal lex import lexer
In [17]:
          from cuppal frontend gram import parser
                                                                              Running the code generator,
          from cuppal state import state
          from grammar stuff import dump AST
                                                                    In [20]:
                                                                              instr tuples = codegen(state.AST)
          from cuppal cc codegen import walk as codegen
                                                                              pprint(instr tuples, width = 40)
          from cuppal cc output import output
          from pprint import pprint
                                                                              [('input', 'x'),
                                                                               ('store', 'x', '(+ x 1)'),
                                 Running the frontend,
                                                                               ('print', 'x')]
         program = \
                        In [19]: parser.parse(program, lexer=lexer)
In [18]:
                                 dump AST(state.AST)
          get x
          x = x + 1
                                 (seq
         put x
                                    (get x)
          1.1.1
                                                                            Running the output formatter,
                                    (seq
                                       (assign x
                                                                  In [21]:
                                                                            bytecode = output(instr tuples)
                                          (+
                                                                            print(bytecode)
                                             (id x)
                                             (integer 1)))
                                                                                    input x ;
                                       (seq
                                                                                    store x (+ x 1);
                                          (put
                                                                                    print x ;
                                             (id x)
                                          (nil))))
```

Running the Phases of the Compiler



```
from cuppal lex import lexer
In [17]:
         from cuppal frontend gram import parser
         from cuppal state import state
         from grammar stuff import dump AST
         from cuppal cc codegen import walk as codegen
         from cuppal cc output import output
         from pprint import pprint
                                               Running the frontend.
                                      In [23]: parser.parse(program, lexer=lexer)
                                               dump AST(state.AST)
In [22]: program = 'while (1) {}
                                               (seq
                                                  (while
                                                    (integer 1)
                                                    (block
                                                       (nil)))
                                                  (nil))
```



Compilation vs Interpretation

```
In [1]: # import compiler stuff
                                                    In [2]:
                                                             # define a compiler function
        from cuppal lex import lexer
                                                              def cc1(input stream):
        from cuppal frontend gram import parser
                                                                  parser.parse(input stream, lexer=lexer)
        from cuppal state import state
                                                                  instr tuples = codegen(state.AST) + [('stop',)]
        from cuppal cc codegen import walk as codegen
        from cuppal cc output import output
                                                                  bytecode = output(instr tuples)
                                                                  return bytecode
        # import interpreter stuff
        from cuppal interp import interp as cuppal interp
        from explbytecode interp import interp as bytecode interp
```

```
In [3]: program = \
    get x
    x = x + 1
    put x
    '''
```

Interpretation

```
In [4]: cuppa1_interp(program)

Value for x? 3
> 4
```

Compilation

```
In [5]: bytecode = cc1(program)
    print(bytecode)

    input x;
    store x (+ x 1);
    print x;
    stop;

In [6]: bytecode_interp(bytecode)

    Please enter a value for x: 3
    > 4
```

Compiler Correctness




- We now have two ways to execute a Cuppa1 program:
 - We can interpret the program directly with the Cuppa1 interpreter.
 - We can first translate the Cuppa1 program into Exp1bytecode and then execute the bytecode in the abstract bytecode machine.



A compiler is *correct* if the translated program, when executed, gives the same results as the interpreted program.

Compiler Correctness





Assignment

Assignment #6 – see webpage.