The Structure of Programming Languages



- All language processors perform some kind of syntax analysis – an analysis of the structure of the program.
- To make this efficient and effective we need some mechanism to specify the structure of a programming language in a straightforward manner.
- → We use *grammars* for this purpose.

Reading

Read Chap 2




Grammars



- The most convenient way to describe the structure of programming languages is using a context-free grammar (often called CFG or BNF for Backus-Nauer Form).
- Here we will simply refer to grammars with the understanding that we are referring to CFGs. (there are many kind of other grammars: regular grammars, context-sensitive grammars, etc)





- Grammars can readily express the structure of phrases in programming languages
- Grammars allow us to derive valid sentences or programs that are part of the language by applying the rules of the grammar repeatedly until no further rule application is possible.

Listing 2.1: A grammar that specifies the syntactic structure of arithmetic expressions.


```
program : expression
    expression: expression + expression
                   expression - expression
                   expression \* expression
5
                   expression / expression
6
                   \( expression \)
                   ٧
                                                                  # apply program : expression
                                    program
10
                  Z
                                                                  # apply expression : expression + expression
                                    \Rightarrow expression
                                    \Rightarrow expression + expression # apply expression : x
                                    \Rightarrow x + expression
                                                                  # apply expression : y
                                    \Rightarrow x + y
```

Grammars



- Grammars have 4 parts to them
 - Non-terminal Symbols these give names to phrase structures - e.g. program
 - Terminal Symbols these give names to the tokens in a language – e.g. x
 - 3. Rules these describe that actual structure of phrases in a language – e.g. expression : expression + expression
 - Start Symbol a special non-terminal that gives a name to the largest possible phrase(s) in the language
 - By convention it is usually the non-terminal defined by the first rule.
 - In our case that would be the program non-terminal





A derivation is a sequence of steps that begins with the start symbol and at each derivation step replaces a single non-terminal with the right side of a production that has that non-terminal on the left side. A valid sentence in the language of a grammar is a sequence of symbols arrived at through a derivation that contains only terminals.


Let's try this with: x + y * z

program

- \Rightarrow expression
- \Rightarrow expression + expression
- \Rightarrow x + expression
- \Rightarrow x + expression * expression
- \Rightarrow x + y * expression
- \Rightarrow x + y * z


Since we were able to derive our sentence from the start symbol our sentence is valid!






Derivations can also be expressed as parse trees.

```
program
    ⇒ expression
    ⇒ expression + expression
    ⇒ x + expression
    ⇒ x + expression * expression
    ⇒ x + y * expression
    ⇒ x + y * z
```







```
stmt_list: stmt stmt_list
| ""

stmt: p exp;
| s var exp;

exp: + exp exp
| - exp exp
| \( exp \) |
| var
| num

var: x | y | z

num: 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9
```

```
Example Exp0 Program:
```

```
sx1;p+x1;
```

Start Symbol: prog







- A grammar tells us if a sentence belongs to the language,
 - e.g. Does 's x 3;' belong to the language?
- We can show that a sentence belongs to the language by constructing a derivation or a parse tree starting at the start symbol

Grammars


```
s x 3;
```





```
stmt_list \Rightarrow
stmt_list \Rightarrow
s var exp ; stmt_list \Rightarrow
s x exp ; stmt_list \Rightarrow
s x num ; stmt_list \Rightarrow
s x 3 ; stmt_list \Rightarrow
s x 3 ;
```

Constructing the parse tree by filling in the rightmost non-terminal at each step we obtain the **right-most derivation**.



Grammars



- Every <u>valid</u> sentence (a sentence that belongs to the language) has a parse tree.
- Test if these sentences are valid:

```
px+1;
sx1; syx;
sx1; p(+x1);
sy+3x;
s+y3x;
```

```
stmt_list: stmt stmt_list
| ""

stmt: p exp;
| s var exp;

exp: + exp exp
| - exp exp
| \( exp \) | var
| num

var: x | y | z

num: 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9
```

Parsers



- The converse is also true:
 - If a sentence has a parse tree, then it belongs to the language.
 - This is precisely what <u>parsers</u> do: to show a program is <u>syntactically correct</u>, parsers construct a <u>parse tree</u>


Top-Down Parsers - LL(1)



- LL(1) parsers start constructing the parse tree at the start symbol
 - as opposed to bottom-up parsers, LR
- LL(1) parsers use the <u>current position</u> in the input stream and a <u>single look-ahead token</u> to decide how to construct the next node(s) in the parse tree.
- LL(1)
 - Reads input from <u>Left</u> to right.
 - Constructs the <u>Leftmost derivation</u>
 - Uses <u>1</u> look-ahead token.

Top-Down Parsing

Lookahead Set



```
Consider: p + x 1;
stmt_list : {p,s} stmt stmt_list
stmt: {p} p exp;
                                                                        of the grammar extended with the
     |\{s\} s var exp;
                                                                        one token look-ahead set.
\exp: \{+\} + \exp \exp
     | {-} - exp exp
     | {(} \( exp \)
     | {x,y,z} var
     | {0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9} num
var: {x} x | {y} y | {z} z
num: {0} 0 | {1} 1 | {2} 2 | {3} 3 | {4} 4 | {5} 5 | {6} 6 | {7} 7 | {8} 8 | {9} 9
```

For top-down parsing we can think

The look-ahead set uniquely identifies the selection of each rule within a block of rules





```
def compute lookahead sets(G):
   Accepts: G is a context-free grammar viewed as a list of rules
   Returns: GL is a context-free grammar extended with lookahead sets
    . . .
   GL = []
    for R in G:
        (A, rule body) = R
        S = first symbol(rule body)
        if S == "":
            GL.append((A, set([""]), rule body))
        elif S in terminal set(G):
            GL.append((A, set(S), rule body))
        elif S in non terminal set(G):
            L = lookahead set(S,G)
            GL.append((A, L, rule body))
    return GL
```

Note: a grammar is a list of rules and a rule is the tuple (non-terminal, body)

Note: a grammar extended with lookahead sets is a list of rules where each rule is the tuple (non-terminal, lookahead-set, body)



Computing the Lookahead Set

```
def lookahead set(N, G):
    Accepts: N is a non-terminal in G
    Accepts: G is a context-free grammar
    Returns: L is a lookahead set
    L = set()
    for R in G:
        (A, rule body) = R
        if A == N:
            Q = first symbol(rule body)
            if 0 == "":
                raise ValueError("non-terminal {} is a nullable prefix".format(A))
            elif Q in terminal set(G):
                L = L \mid set(Q)
            elif Q in non terminal set(G):
                L = L \mid lookahead set(Q, G)
    return L
```

set union operator in Python






```
grammar G:
stmt list: stmt stmt list
stmt: p exp;
     s var exp ;
exp: + exp exp
    - exp exp
    \( exp \)
     var
     num
var: x | y | z
num:0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8|9
```




```
grammar GL:
stmt_list : {p,s} stmt stmt_list
stmt: {p} p exp;
     | \{s\} s var exp;
exp: \{+\} + exp exp
     | {-} - exp exp
     | {x,y,z} var
     | {0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9} num
var: {x} x | {y} y | {z} z
num: {0} 0 | {1} 1 | {2} 2 | ... | {8} 8 | {9} 9
```

Computing the Lookahead Set



- Actually, the algorithm we have outlined computes the lookahead set for a simpler parsing technique called sLL(1) – simplified LL (1) parsing.
- sLL(1) parsing does not deal with non-terminals that expand into the empty string in the first position of a production – also called *nullable prefixes*.
- All our parsers will be sLL(1)
 - Later in the course we will discuss a tool called Ply and we will have access to another parsing technique called LR(1)
 - which is bottom-up parsing

Constructing a Parser



- A sLL(1) parser can be constructed by hand by converting each non-terminal into a function
- The body of the function implements the right sides of the rules for each non-terminal in order to:
 - Process terminals
 - Call the functions of other non-terminals as appropriate

Constructing LL(1) Parsers

- A parser for Exp0
 - We start with the grammar for Exp0 extended with the lookahead sets

```
stmt_list : {p,s} stmt stmt_list
stmt: {p} p exp;
      | {s} s var exp;
exp: \{+\} + exp exp
     | {-} - exp exp
     | {(} \( exp \)
     | {x,y,z} var
     | {0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9} num
var : \{x\} x | \{y\} y | \{z\} z
num: {0} 0 | {1} 1 | {2} 2 | ... | {8} 8 | {9} 9
```

Constructing LL(1) Parsers



We need to set up some sort of character input stream. In our case we use the 'InputStream' class

Note: all the Python code given in the slides is available in the repl.it VM.

Note: the parser for Exp0 is in 'exp0'

The Stream Class


It is convenient to map the input string into a stream structure.

```
class InputStream:
   def __init__(self, char_stream=None):
       # if no stream given read it from the terminal
       if not char_stream:
            char stream = stdin.read()
       # turn char stream into a list of characters
       # ignoring any kind of white space
        clean_stream = char_stream.replace(' ','') \
                                  .replace('\t','') \
                                  .replace('\n','')
        self.stream = [c for c in clean_stream]
        self.stream.append('\eof')
        self.stream_ix = 0
   def pointer(self):
        return self.stream[self.stream ix]
   def next(self):
       if not self.end_of_file():
            self.stream ix += 1
        return self.pointer()
   def match(self, sym):
        if sym == self.pointer():
            s = self.pointer()
           self.next()
            return s
        else:
            raise SyntaxError('unexpected symbol {} while parsing, expected {}
                              .format(self.stream[self.stream_ix], sym))
   def end_of_file(self):
        if self.pointer() == '\eof':
            return True
        else:
            return False
```

Constructing LL(1) Parsers



```
stmt : {p} p exp;
| {s} s var exp;
```



```
def stmt(stream):
    sym = stream.pointer()
    if sym in ['p']:
        stream.match('p')
        exp(stream)
        stream.match(';')
        return
    elif sym in ['s']:
        stream.match('s')
        var(stream)
        exp(stream)
        stream.match(';')
        return
    else:
        raise SyntaxError('unexpected symbol {} while parsing'.format(sym))
```


Notice that we are using the look-ahead set to decide which rule to call!





Consider the following rule:


```
stmt_list : {p,s} stmt stmt_list | {""} ""
```



```
def stmtlist(stream):
    sym = stream.pointer()
    if sym in ['p','s']:
        stmt(stream)
        stmtlist(stream)
        return
    else:
        return
```

Constructing LL(1) Parsers

```
exp : {+} + exp exp | {-} - exp exp | {(} \( exp \) | {x,y,z} var | def | {0...9} num
```




```
def exp(stream):
    sym = stream.pointer()
    if sym in ['+']:
        stream.match('+')
        exp(stream)
        exp(stream)
        return
    elif sym in ['-']:
        stream.match('-')
        exp(stream)
        exp(stream)
        return
    elif sym in ['(']:
        stream.match('(')
        exp(stream)
        stream.match(')')
        return
    elif sym in ['x', 'y', 'z']:
        var(stream)
        return
    elif sym in ['0', '1', '2', '3', '4', '5', '6', '7', '8', '9']:
        num(stream)
        return
    else:
        raise SyntaxError('unexpected symbol {} while parsing'.format(sym))
```





```
var : { x } x | { y } y | { z } z
```




```
def var(stream):
    sym = stream.pointer()
    if sym in ['x']:
        stream.match('x')
        return
    elif sym in ['y']:
        stream.match('y')
        return
    elif sym in ['z']:
        stream.match('z')
        return
    elif sym in ['z']:
        stream.match('z')
        return
    else:
        raise SyntaxError('unexpected symbol {} while parsing'.format(sym))
```



Constructing LL(1) Parsers

num: {0}0|{1}1|...|{9}9



```
def num(stream):
    sym = stream.pointer()
    if sym in ['0']:
        stream.match('0')
        return
    elif sym in ['1']:
        stream.match('1')
        return
    elif sym in ['2']:
        stream.match('2')
        return
    elif sym in ['3']:
        stream.match('3')
        return
    elif sym in ['4']:
        stream.match('4')
        return
    elif sym in ['5']:
        stream.match('5')
        return
    elif sym in ['6']:
        stream.match('6')
        return
    elif sym in ['7']:
        stream.match('7')
        return
    elif sym in ['8']:
        stream.match('8')
        return
    elif sym in ['9']:
        stream.match('9')
        return
    else:
        raise SyntaxError('unexpected symbol {} while parsing'.format(sym))
```





 To pull this all together we add a high-level parsing function

```
def parse():
   from inputstream import InputStream
   stream = InputStream() # reads from stdin
   try:
       stmtlist(stream) # call the parser function for start symbol
       if stream.end of file():
            print("parse successful")
       else:
            raise SyntaxError("bad syntax at {}".format(stream.pointer()))
   except Exception as e:
       print("error: " + str(e))
if name == " main ":
   parse()
```





 Run the parser in a command shell, in our case we use the cloud based Linux VM

```
$ python3 exp0_parser.py
s x 1; p (+ x 1);
^D
parse successful
$
```

Class Exercise

Please see BrightSpace





 To see that parsers build parse trees in order to prove that a sentence belongs to a language consider the expression: + x y

```
def exp(stream):
   sym = stream.pointer()
                                     def var(stream):
   if sym in ['+']:
                                         sym = stream.pointer()
        stream.match('+')
                                         if sym in ['x']:
        exp(stream)
                                             stream.match('x')
        exp(stream)
                                             return
        return
                                         elif sym in ['v']:
   elif sym in ['-']:
                                             stream.match('y')
        stream.match('-')
                                             return
        exp(stream)
                                         elif sym in ['z']:
        exp(stream)
                                             stream.match('z')
        return
                                             return
   elif sym in ['(']:
                                         else:
        stream.match('(')
                                             raise SyntaxError('unexpected symbol {} while parsing'.format(sym))
        exp(stream)
        stream_match(')')
        return
   elif sym in ['x', 'y', 'z']:
        var(stream)
   elif sym in ['0', '1', '2', '3', '4', '5', '6', '7', '8', '9']:
        num(stream)
        return
   else:
        raise SyntaxError('unexpected symbol {} while parsing'.format(sym))
```

```
Parsing + x y will result in the following tree:

exp
match(+)
exp
var
match(x)
exp
var
match(y)

Parsing function
call tree == parse tree
```

Our First Language Processor



- Parsers are good because they can tell us if a program is valid or not
- But we have to extend it with "actions", code that does something useful in order to go beyond just parsing
- Idea: Our first language processor parses Exp0 programs and counts the number of times the value of a variable is accessed
 - Example: s x 1; s x (+ x 1);
 - In this program we only access the value of a variable once!
- Note: Scanning for variable names and counting the number of times a variable name occurs does NOT work, we need to use a parser that understands the difference between a variable value reference and a variable storage reference (rvalues and Ivalues, respectively).



```
# counter for variable expression references
 count = None
 def parse():
     global count
      count = 0
     from inputstream import InputStream
     stream = InputStream() # reads from stdin
     try:
         stmtlist(stream) # call the parser function for start symbol
         if stream.end_of_file():
             print("found {} variable reference{}"
                   .format(count."" if count==1 else "s"))
          else:
             raise SyntaxError("bad syntax_at {}".format(stream.pointer()))
     except Exception as e:
                                            def exp(stream):
         print("error: " + str(e))
                                                sym = stream.pointer()
                                                if sym in ['+']:
                                                elif sym in ['x', 'y', 'z']:
                                                    global count # make sure we reference the global count
Running the Processor
                                                    var(stream) # recognize an rvalue var
                                                   count += 1 # bump up the counter
$ python3 exp0count.py
                                                    return
s x 1; p (+ x 1);
^D
                                                else:
found 1 variable reference
                                                    raise SyntaxError('unexpected symbol {} while parsing'
                                                              .format(sym))
```



Class Exercise



Consider the grammar

```
M:NM
|r
N:p
|q
```

- Identify the terminal/non-terminal symbols.
 Identify the start symbol
- State three strings/sentences that are part of the language.
- Compute the lookahead sets for the grammar rules.

Assignments

- Read Chapter 2
- Class Exercise 1 -- see BrightSpace