

$\bar{c}\Gamma c$ CONTRACTIONS

THOMAS LUU, 23.09.2020

I want to calculate

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda_3}} \sum_{\vec{y}} \left\langle (\bar{c}(y)\Gamma c(y)) (\bar{c}(x)\Gamma c(x)) \right\rangle$$

zero momentum
projection at the sink
(but results hold for any
momentum)

Λ_3 is the spatial
dimension

source sink
 $x = (x_0, \vec{x})$; $y = (y_0, \vec{y})$

I group the contractions into two types:

“connected”

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda_3}} \sum_{\vec{y}} \left\langle (\bar{c}(y)\Gamma c(y)) (\bar{c}(x)\Gamma c(x)) \right\rangle$$

“disconnected”

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda_3}} \sum_{\vec{y}} \left\langle (\bar{c}(y)\Gamma c(y)) (\bar{c}(x)\Gamma c(x)) \right\rangle$$

“CONNECTED” CONTRACTIONS

greek letters = spinor
roman letters = color

What *hadspec* calculates . . .

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda_3}} \sum_{\vec{y}} \langle (\bar{c}(y) \Gamma c(y)) (\bar{c}(x) \Gamma c(x)) \rangle = \frac{\Gamma_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\gamma\delta}}{\sqrt{\Lambda_3}} \sum_{\vec{y}} \langle c_a^\alpha(y) \bar{c}_a^\beta(y) c_b^\gamma(x) \bar{c}_b^\delta(x) \rangle$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\gamma\delta}}{\sqrt{\Lambda_3}} \sum_{\vec{y}} \langle \mathbb{C}_{ab}^{\beta\gamma}(y, x) (\delta_{\alpha\delta} \delta_{ab} \delta_{xy} - \mathbb{C}_{ba}^{\delta\alpha}(x, y)) \rangle$$

$$= \begin{matrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \end{matrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda_3}} \langle \text{tr} (\mathbb{C}(x, x)) \rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda_3}} \sum_{\vec{y}} \langle \text{tr} (\Gamma \gamma_5 \mathbb{C}^\dagger(y, x) \gamma_5 \Gamma \mathbb{C}(y, x)) \rangle$$

Note: $\Gamma^2 = 1$
and $\text{tr}(\dots)$
denotes trace
over spin and
color

This is just a number—it has no time dependence (actually it's related to the chiral condensate). *Hadspec* ignores this term.

Hadspec ignores this minus sign as well.

This is what *hadspec* calculates.

$\langle \dots \rangle$ in these expressions
denote ensemble average

“DISCONNECTED” CONTRACTIONS

What causes loss of sleep (or hair?) . . .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda_3}} \sum_{\vec{y}} \langle (\underbrace{\bar{c}(y)\Gamma c(y)}) (\underbrace{\bar{c}(x)\Gamma c(x)}) \rangle &= \frac{\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma_{\gamma\delta}}{\sqrt{\Lambda_3}} \sum_{\vec{y}} \langle \underbrace{c_a^\alpha(y)\bar{c}_a^\beta(y)} \underbrace{c_b^\gamma(x)\bar{c}_b^\delta(x)} \rangle \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma_{\gamma\delta}}{\sqrt{\Lambda_3}} \sum_{\vec{y}} \left\langle \left(\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \mathbb{C}_{aa}^{\beta\alpha}(y, y) \right) \left(\delta_{\gamma\delta} - \mathbb{C}_{bb}^{\delta\gamma}(x, x) \right) \right\rangle \\
 &= \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots
 \end{aligned}$$

Note: $\text{tr}(\Gamma) = 0$

so all terms in
this line vanish

$$\rightarrow = \sqrt{\Lambda_3} \text{tr}(\Gamma) \text{tr}(\Gamma) - \sqrt{\Lambda_3} \text{tr}(\Gamma) \langle \text{tr}(\mathbb{C}(x, x)\Gamma) \rangle - \frac{\text{tr}(\Gamma)}{\sqrt{\Lambda_3}} \sum_{\vec{y}} \langle \text{tr}(\mathbb{C}(y, y)\Gamma) \rangle$$

$$+ \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda_3}} \sum_{\vec{y}} \langle \text{tr}(\mathbb{C}(y, y)\Gamma) \text{tr}(\mathbb{C}(x, x)\Gamma) \rangle}_{\text{This is the only term that survives}}$$

COMBINING “CONNECTED” AND “DISCONNECTED”

I only combine terms that have a temporal dependence. . .

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda_3}} \sum_{\vec{y}} \left\langle (\bar{c}(y)\Gamma c(y)) (\bar{c}(x)\Gamma c(x)) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda_3}} \sum_{\vec{y}} \left\langle \overbrace{\text{tr}(\mathbb{C}(y,y)\Gamma) \text{tr}(\mathbb{C}(x,x)\Gamma)}^{\text{“disconnected”}} - \underbrace{\text{tr}(\Gamma\gamma_5\mathbb{C}^\dagger(y,x)\gamma_5\Gamma\mathbb{C}(y,x))}_{\text{What we've calculated}} \right\rangle$$

What's missing.

Regarding the “disconnected” term. For the *non-interacting* case (i.e. unit gauge), this term has NO time dependence. It is just a number that is the same for every timeslice y_0 . But for the *interacting* case, there is a time dependence that comes from the ensemble average over the links. But my suspicion is that this time dependence is very mild, and so its contribution to the effective mass is small. I guess this is what all the literature points at.

So most likely we are “ok”. But maybe it would be a good idea to try to estimate the contribution of the “disconnected” term? Do we have the ability to do this? I guess we would need stochastic sources in spin, color, and spatial positions.