

Let \mathcal{A} be a *good* category (abelian/exact/triangulated) and \mathcal{W} a full subcategory of \mathcal{A} closed by direct summands and extensions, and consider the category $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{W}}$.

Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be an orthogonal pair in $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ and consider the following classes in \mathcal{A} :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T} &= \{T \in \mathcal{A} \mid \underline{T} \in \mathcal{X}\} \\ \mathcal{F} &= \{F \in \mathcal{A} \mid \underline{F} \in \mathcal{Y}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1. *In the previous notation, $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^\perp)$ is an orthogonal pair.*

Proof. In order to prove it we need to show that ${}^\perp(\mathcal{T}^\perp) = \mathcal{T}$.

Let $M \in {}^\perp(\mathcal{T}^\perp)$, this means that

$$\mathcal{A}(M, Y) = 0 \tag{1}$$

whenever

$$\mathcal{A}(T, Y) = 0 \forall T \in \mathcal{T}. \tag{2}$$

However, if $\mathcal{A}(T, Y) = 0 \forall T \in \mathcal{T}$, then $\underline{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{X}, \underline{Y}) = 0 \forall \underline{X} \in \mathcal{X}$. Hence, $\underline{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}$. So $\underline{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{M}, \underline{Y}) = 0 \forall \underline{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}$. Hence, $\underline{M} \in \mathcal{X}$ and so $M \in \mathcal{T}$.

We have proved that ${}^\perp(\mathcal{T}^\perp) \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, the converse inclusion is trivial. \square

Remark. The dual statement holds for \mathcal{F} . Notice that have we also proved that if $\mathcal{A}(T, Y) = 0 \forall T \in \mathcal{T}$, then $\underline{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}$ and hence $Y \in \mathcal{F}$. That is, $\mathcal{T}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and dually ${}^\perp\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$.

Properties of $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^\perp)$ and $({}^\perp\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$:

1. ${}^\perp\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{F}$.
2. $\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{W}$. In fact, $M \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{F}$ iff $\underline{M} \in \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y} = 0$, which happens iff $M <_\oplus W$ for some $W \in \mathcal{W}$, but \mathcal{W} is closed by direct summands, hence $M \in \mathcal{W}$.
3. If $N \in \mathcal{T}^\perp \cap {}^\perp\mathcal{F}$, then $N = 0$. It follows from $N \in \mathcal{T}^\perp \cap {}^\perp\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{W}$. But $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, hence $\mathcal{A}(W', N) = 0 \forall W' \in \mathcal{W}$, in particular $\mathcal{A}(N, N) = 0$, i.e. $N = 0$.

It follows the following

Lemma 2. *Let $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ and $(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ be two orthogonal pairs in \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{T}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2 \cap \mathcal{F}_1 = 0$. Then, $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_2$.*

add proof or at least explanation

If $\mathfrak{t} = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is an orthogonal pair in an abelian and locally small category \mathcal{A} , then \mathfrak{t} is a torsion pair.

add proof?

Lemma 3. *Let $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ and $(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ be torsion pairs in \mathcal{A} such that $(\underline{\mathcal{T}}_1, \underline{\mathcal{F}}_2)$ is an orthogonal pair in $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$. Then $\text{add}(\mathcal{T}_2 * \mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{T}_1$ and $\text{add}(\mathcal{W} * \mathcal{F}_1) = \mathcal{F}_2$.*

Proof. Let T_1 be in \mathcal{T}_1 and consider its approximation sequence

Explain this better

$$t_2(T_1) \rightarrow T_1 \xrightarrow{f} f_2(T_1) \xrightarrow{+}. \tag{3}$$

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} t_2(T_1) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{T}_1 & \longrightarrow & W \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\ t_2(T_1) & \longrightarrow & T_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & f_2(T_1) \end{array} \tag{4}$$

where the square on the right is a homological pullback, and the arrow $\phi : W \rightarrow f_2(T_1)$ is such that $f = \phi \circ \gamma$ for some $\gamma : T_1 \rightarrow W$, since $\underline{\mathcal{A}}(T_1, f_2(T_1)) = 0$.

Then, there exist a (non necessarily unique) $\eta : T_1 \rightarrow \hat{T}_1$ making the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 T_1 & & \xrightarrow{\quad \gamma \quad} & & W \\
 & \searrow \eta & & & \downarrow \phi \\
 & \hat{T}_1 & \longrightarrow & & W \\
 & \downarrow & & & \downarrow \phi \\
 T_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & f_2(T_1) & & \\
 \uparrow 1 & & & &
 \end{array} \tag{5}$$

Hence, $T_1 <_{\oplus} \hat{T}_1 \in \mathcal{T}_2 * \mathcal{W}$, i.e. $\text{add}(\mathcal{T}_2 * \mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{T}_1$.

Dually, $\text{add}(\mathcal{W} * \mathcal{F}_1) = \mathcal{F}_2$.

□