# Homework for Week 10

## Question 1

Recall that a linear functional on V is a linear map from V to  $\mathbb{F}$  where  $\mathbb{F}$  is  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $f \in V'$  be a linear functional. It follows that ran (f) is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}$  which can have dimension 0 or 1. If ran  $(f) = \{0\}$ , then f = 0. Otherwise, ran  $(f) = \mathbb{F}$  and f is surjective.

# Question 3

Let  $v \in V$  be such that  $v \neq 0$ . We want to show that there exists a linear functional  $f \in V'$  such that f(v) = 1.

To that end, let  $b = \{b_k\}$  be a basis for V. Recall that  $v = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k'(v)b_k$  where  $b' = \{b_k'\}$  is the dual basis of b. Since  $v \neq 0$ , there exists a  $b_k$  such that  $b_k'(v) \neq 0$  and therefore

$$f = \frac{1}{b_k'(v)}b_k'$$

is a linear functional such that f(v) = 1.

### Question 5

Let T be a linear map from V to W and let  $w = \{w_k\}$  be a basis for ran (T). It follows that for each  $v \in V$  we have

$$T(v) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} w'_k(T(v))w_k$$

where  $w' = \{w'_k\}$  is the dual basis of w.

We find that each map  $\varphi_k \in W'$  defined in the question is actually the linear functional  $T'(w'_k)$  where T' is the dual map of T and is therefore a linear functional on V.

#### Question 8

Writing these maps out explicitly, we find that

$$\Lambda \circ \Gamma(v) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi_k(v) v_k$$

where  $\{v_k\}$  is a basis for V and  $\{\varphi_k\}$  is its dual basis (we called these dual basis elements  $v'_k$  in class).

Using the resolution of the identity in terms of the dual basis, we find that  $\Lambda \circ \Gamma = \mathrm{id}_V$  as required.

These maps are therefore inverses of each other due to the resolution of the identity.

#### Question 9

Let

$$\varphi_k(p) = \frac{p^{(k)}(0)}{k!}$$

where  $p \in \mathbb{P}_m$ .

Writting  $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i$ , we find that

$$p^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{i=k}^{m} a_i \frac{i!}{(i-k)!} x^{i-k}.$$

Evaluating at x = 0, most terms vanish expect for when i = k and we find that

$$p^{(k)}(0) = k! a_k.$$

Rearranging, we find that

$$a_k = \frac{p^{(k)}(0)}{k!} = \varphi_k(p)$$

and thus  $\varphi_k$  is the dual basis of the standard basis of  $\mathbb{P}_m$ .

### Question 11

Let  $v = \{v_k\}$  be a basis for V and let  $\varphi = \{\varphi_k\}$  be its dual basis.

To show that  $\psi = \psi(v_1)\varphi_1 + \cdots + \psi(v_n)\varphi_n$  it suffices to show that they agree on each basis element  $v_k$ .

To that end, we find that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \psi(v_k)\varphi_k(v_j) = \psi(v_j)$$

since  $\varphi_k(v_j) = \delta_{kj}$  (by the definition of the dual basis).

#### Question 12

Let S and T be linear maps from V to W. Then

$$(S+T)'(\varphi)(v) = \varphi((S+T)(v)) = \varphi(S(v) + T(v)) = \varphi(S(v)) + \varphi(T(v)) = S'(\varphi)(v) + T'(\varphi)(v).$$

It follows that for each  $\varphi \in W'$ ,  $(S+T)'(\varphi) = S'(\varphi) + T'(\varphi)$  and thus (S+T)' = S' + T'.  $(\lambda S)' = \lambda S'$  follows similarly.

#### Question 13

Let us denote the identity map on V as 1. Then by definition, for each  $\varphi \in V'$  we have

$$\mathbb{1}'(\varphi)(v) = \varphi(\mathbb{1}(v)) = \varphi(v)$$

and thus  $\mathbb{1}'$  is the identity map on V'.

#### Question 16

Suppose that T=0 is the zero map from V to W. Then for each  $\varphi\in W'$  and  $v\in V$  we have

$$T'(\varphi)(v) = \varphi(T(v)) = \varphi(0) = 0$$

and therefore T'=0.

Conversely, suppose that T'=0. Unpacking the definition, this means that for each  $\varphi\in W'$  and  $v\in V$  we have

$$T'(\varphi)(v) = \varphi(T(v)) = 0$$
.

Let  $\{w_k\}$  be a basis for W and  $\{\varphi_k\}$  be its dual basis. Then by the resolution of the identity, we find that

$$T(v) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi_k(T(v))w_k = 0$$

and thus T(v) = 0 for each  $v \in V$ . We conclude that T = 0.

# Question 25

Recall that  $\Gamma$  is injective if and only if  $\Gamma'$  is surjective. It therefore suffices to show that  $\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$  spans V' if and only if  $\Gamma'$  is surjective.

It is not obvious why we would want to consider  $\Gamma'$  instead of  $\Gamma$ . One way to motivate it is that  $\Gamma$  does not actually involve the vector space V' at all which makes it difficult to relate to the dual space V' while  $\Gamma'$  does.

 $\Gamma'$  is a map from  $(\mathbb{F}^n)' \to V'$  so let's evaluate it on the standard basis of  $(\mathbb{F}^n)'$ . We have

$$\Gamma'(e'_k)(v) = e'_k(\Gamma(v)) = e'_k([\phi_1(v), \dots, \phi_n(v)]) = \phi_k(v)$$

so  $\Gamma'(e'_k) = \phi_k$ .

Suppose that  $\Gamma'$  is surjective and let  $\varphi \in V'$ . Then there exists  $f \in (\mathbb{F}^n)'$  such that  $\Gamma'(f) = \varphi$ . Expressing  $f = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k e_k'$ , we find that

$$\Gamma'(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k \Gamma'(e'_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k e'_k(\Gamma) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k \phi_k = \varphi$$

and therefore  $\varphi$  is in the span of  $\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$  spans V' and let  $\varphi \in V'$ . To show that  $\Gamma'$  is surjective, we need to find  $f \in (\mathbb{F}^n)'$  such that  $\Gamma'(f) = \varphi$ . To that end

$$\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \phi_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \Gamma'(e'_k) = \Gamma'\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k e'_k\right).$$

Similarly, recall that  $\Gamma$  is surjective if and only if  $\Gamma'$  is injective. For part (b), it then suffices to show that  $\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$  is linearly independent if and only if  $\Gamma'$  is injective.

To that end, suppose that  $\Gamma'$  is injective and let  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  be such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \phi_k = 0.$$

By the same calculation as above, it follows that

$$\Gamma'\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k e_k'\right) = 0$$

and therefore  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k e_k' = 0$ . The standard basis of  $(\mathbb{F}^n)'$  is linearly independent so  $a_1 = \cdots = a_n = 0$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n\}$  is linearly independent and let  $f\in(\mathbb{F}^n)'$  be such that  $\Gamma'(f)=0$ . Then

$$\Gamma'(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k \Gamma'(e_k') = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k \phi_k = 0$$

but since the  $\phi_k$  are linearly independent, it follows that  $f_k = 0$  for each k and therefore f = 0.

# Question 32

For parts (a) and (c), see the class notes. The map they call  $\Lambda$  is equivalent to our ev.

For part (b), let  $f \in V'$ . Then

$$(T''\circ\Lambda)(v)(f)=T''(\Lambda(v))(f)=\Lambda(v)(T'(f))=T'(f)(v)=f(T(v))=\Lambda(T(v))(f)=(\Lambda\circ T)(v)(f)\,.$$

We conclude that  $T'' \circ \Lambda = \Lambda \circ T$ .