

## Tutorial 2

### Problem 1.

- a) Let  $G$  be a cyclic group. Show that  $G$  is abelian.
- b) Let  $m\mathbb{Z} = \{mk : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . For  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ , define

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

Show that  $a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

### Solution

- a) Since every element is of the form  $g^i$ , we have

$$g^i \cdot g^j = g^{i+j} = g^{j+i} = g^j \cdot g^i.$$

- b) If  $x, y \in a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$ , then one can find integers  $r, r', s, s'$  such that  $x = ar + bs$  and  $y = ar' + bs'$ .

Thus,  $x - y = a(r - r') + b(s - s') \in a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$ . By the subgroup criterion,  $a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

### Problem 2. Let $G$ be a group and $H, K \leq G$ .

- a) Prove that  $H \cap K \leq G$ .
- b) Give an example of  $G, H, K$  where  $H \cup K \leq G$  and another where  $H \cup K \not\leq G$ .
- c) For what  $H, K, G$  do we have that  $H \cup K \leq G$ ? Prove your condition.

### Solution

- a) For all  $r, s \in H \cap K$ , we note that  $r, s \in H, K$ , which implies  $rs^{-1} \in H$  and  $rs^{-1} \in K$ . Putting it together, this means

$$rs^{-1} \in H \cap K.$$

By the subgroup criterion,  $H \cap K$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

- b) Whenever  $K \leq H \leq G$  we have  $H \cup K = H \leq G$ . For a specific example, we may take  $K = 4\mathbb{Z}$  and  $H = 2\mathbb{Z}$  with  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ .

An example where  $H \cup K \not\leq G$  can be given by  $K = 3\mathbb{Z}$  and  $H = 2\mathbb{Z}$  with  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ . To see why this example works, see next part.

- c) We claim that  $H \cup K \leq G$  if and only if  $H \leq K$  or  $K \leq H$ .

The backward direction gives us  $H \cup K = K$  or  $H$  which is immediately a subgroup of  $G$ .

As for the forward direction, suppose  $H \cup K \leq G$  and  $K \not\subseteq H$ . Then we have  $k \in K$  with  $k \notin H$ .

Now for any  $h \in H$ , we have  $kh \in H \cup K$ . Note that  $kh \in H \implies kh h^{-1} = k \in H$ . This contradicts our assumption. Hence, we must have  $kh \in K$ . But this tells us that  $k^{-1}kh = h \in K$ .

Since this holds for any element  $h \in H$ , it follows that  $H \subseteq K$  which means  $H \leq K$ . Thus,  $H \cup K \leq G$  if and only if  $H \leq K$  or  $K \leq H$ .

**Problem 3.** Let  $G$  be a finite group of  $n$  elements.

- Show that each row (resp. column) of the Cayley table of  $G$  is a permutation of its elements. [Hint: What happens if the row (resp. column) is not a permutation?]
- Let  $G$  be a group of three elements  $\{e, a, b\}$ . What are the possible Cayley tables of  $G$ ? What about  $G = \{e, a, b, c\}$ ?
- What are the possible Cayley tables of  $G = \{e, a, b, c, d\}$ ?
- Conclude that a group of  $\leq 5$  elements must be abelian.

### Solution

- If the columns of the Cayley table are names  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n$ , then the row of a Cayley table corresponding to element  $g$  constitutes the tuple

$$gg_1, gg_2, \dots, gg_n$$

If this row is not a permutation, then multiplication-by- $g$  will no longer be a bijective function. This cannot happen as multiplication by  $g$  has a two-sided inverse function given by multiplication-by- $g^{-1}$ .

- The following part of the Cayley table for  $\{e, a, b\}$  is immutable i.e. has to be as follows.

$\cdot$	$e$	$a$	$b$
$e$	$e$	$a$	$b$
$a$	$a$		
$b$	$b$		

Since every row and column has to be a permutation, the moment we fill in  $a \cdot a$ ,  $a \cdot b$  and  $b \cdot a$  are fixed. The last place  $b \cdot b$  then follows.

If  $a \cdot a = e$ , we have

$\cdot$	e	a	b
e	e	a	b
a	a	e	b
b	b	b	*

This table is not a Cayley table for a group as the last row is not a permutation. (b occurs twice).

As such, we must have  $a \cdot a = b$ , which gives rise to the following Cayley table:

$-$	e	a	b
e	e	a	b
a	a	b	e
b	b	e	a

For  $G = \{e, a, b, c\}$ , the potential Cayley tables are:

G	e	a	b	c
e	e	a	b	c
a	a	b	c	e
b	b	c	e	a
c	c	e	a	b

G	e	a	b	c
e	e	a	b	c
a	a	e	c	b
b	b	c	e	a
c	c	b	a	e

- c) Same procedure more tedious. we begin by examining the row of a. this row has to be one of

$(a, P) : P \text{ is a permutation of } \{e, b, c, d\}.$

24 possibilities. After eliminating you will get the following 6 permutations will give you a Cayley table.

$(a, b, c, d, e), (a, b, d, e, c), (a, c, d, b, e), (a, c, e, d, b), (a, d, c, e, b), (a, d, e, b, c)$

Fixing this permutation will automatically fix the tables.

d) All these Cayley tables are symmetric about the diagonal, so the groups are abelian.

**Problem 4** (Hard). Show that if there are 3 consecutive integers  $i$  such that for all  $a, b \in G$ ,  $a^i b^i = (ab)^i$ , then  $G$  is abelian. [Hint: Try to rewrite  $ab$  using what we have.]

### Solution

For any  $a, b \in G$ , we have

$$(ab)^k = a^k b^k, \quad (1)$$

$$(ab)^{k+1} = a^{k+1} b^{k+1}, \quad (2)$$

$$(ab)^{k+2} = a^{k+2} b^{k+2}. \quad (3)$$

Multiplying (2) by the inverse of (1) on the right gives us

$$ab = a^{k+1} b^{k+1} (b^{-k} a^{-k}) = a^{k+1} b a^{-k}.$$

Multiplying (3) by the inverse of (2) on the right gives

$$ab = a^{k+2} b^{k+2} (b^{-(k+1)} a^{-(k+1)}) = a^{k+2} b a^{-k-1} = a(a^{k+1} b a^{-k}) a^{-1}.$$

Putting the two equations above together, we get

$$ab = a^{k+2} b a^{-k-1} = a(a^{k+1} b a^{-k}) a^{-1} = a(ab) a^{-1}.$$

Left cancellation of  $a$  gives

$$b = aba^{-1},$$

and hence  $ba = ab$ .

Since this holds for all  $a, b \in G$ ,  $G$  is abelian.