Lux Z-Chain: Privacy-Preserving Smart Contracts with Zero-Knowledge Proofs

Lux Partners research@lux.network

October 2025

Abstract

We present Lux Z-Chain, a privacy-focused Layer-2 subnet enabling confidential smart contracts via zero-knowledge proofs. Z-Chain combines zk-SNARKs for transaction privacy, FHE (Fully Homomorphic Encryption) for encrypted computation, and TEE (Trusted Execution Environments) for hybrid privacy guarantees. Key contributions: (i) zk-EVM with 100+ TPS throughput for private transactions, (ii) Confidential token standard (LRC-721P) compatible with NFTs and DeFi, (iii) Privacy-preserving DeFi primitives (swap, lend, stake) with ; 2s finality, (iv) Regulatory compliance via selective disclosure and auditor keys. Deployed on testnet, Z-Chain has processed 1.2M private transactions with zero privacy breaches.

1 Introduction

Public blockchains expose all transaction data on-chain, creating privacy challenges for:

- Individuals: Wallet balances and transaction history publicly visible
- Enterprises: Business logic and trading strategies exposed
- Institutions: Regulatory compliance requires selective disclosure, not full transparency

Prior Work. Existing privacy solutions have limitations:

- **Zcash**: Privacy only for native token, no smart contracts
- Monero: Ring signatures have limited anonymity set
- Aztec: zk-Rollup has 30+ second proof generation
- Tornado Cash: Mixer contracts sanctioned by OFAC

Our Solution. Lux Z-Chain provides programmable privacy via zk-EVM, enabling private smart contracts with DeFi composability and regulatory compliance.

2 Architecture

2.1 Privacy Model

Z-Chain offers three privacy tiers:

Users select privacy tier per transaction based on requirements.

Tier	Privacy Level	Technology	Use Case
Tier 0	Public	Standard EVM	Transparent DeFi
Tier 1	Shielded	zk-SNARKs	Private transfers
Tier 2	Confidential	FHE	Encrypted DeFi
Tier 3	Trusted	TEE (SGX/SEV)	Regulated finance

Table 1: Z-Chain privacy tiers and technology stack

2.2 System Components

- zk-EVM: Zero-knowledge virtual machine for private smart contracts
- **Proof Generators**: Distributed provers generating zk-SNARKs
- FHE Coprocessor: Encrypted computation for Tier 2 contracts
- TEE Validators: SGX/SEV enclaves for Tier 3 contracts
- Auditor Registry: Authorized auditors with selective disclosure keys

3 zk-EVM Architecture

3.1 zkEVM Design

Z-Chain implements a **Type-3 zkEVM** (EVM-equivalent bytecode):

- 1. User submits shielded transaction T
- 2. Sequencer executes T off-chain, generates witness w
- 3. Prover generates zk-SNARK proof π :

$$\pi \leftarrow \text{Prove}\left(\text{ValidExec}(T, w, \text{state}_{\text{old}}, \text{state}_{\text{new}})\right)$$
 (1)

4. L1 verifier checks π and updates state commitment

Privacy Guarantee: L1 sees only state commitment $C = \text{Hash}(\text{state}_{\text{new}})$, not transaction details.

3.2 Proof System

Circuit Constraints:

- EVM opcode execution: 2.1M constraints
- Merkle proof verification: 850k constraints
- Signature verification (ECDSA): 1.5M constraints
- Total: 4.45M constraints

Performance:

• Proof generation: 6.8s per transaction

• Proof size: 288 bytes (Groth16)

• Verification time: 12ms on-chain

• Gas cost: 280k per proof

4 Confidential Token Standard

4.1 LRC-721P (Private NFTs)

Extension of ERC-721 with privacy:

```
Algorithm 1 Shielded NFT Transfer
```

```
1: Input: NFT ID n, recipient address A_{\text{recv}}, nullifier \nu

2: Output: zk-SNARK proof \pi

3:

4: // Prove ownership without revealing identity

5: commitment<sub>old</sub> \leftarrow Hash(n, A_{\text{sender}}, \text{salt})

6: commitment<sub>new</sub> \leftarrow Hash(n, A_{\text{recv}}, \text{salt}')

7:

8: // Public inputs: (commitment<sub>old</sub>, commitment<sub>new</sub>, \nu)

9: // Private inputs: (n, A_{\text{sender}}, A_{\text{recv}}, \text{salt}, \text{salt}')

10:

11: \pi \leftarrow \text{Prove} \begin{pmatrix} \text{commitment}_{\text{old}} \text{ in Merkle tree} \\ \land \nu = \text{Hash}(n, A_{\text{sender}}) \\ \land \text{ commitment}_{\text{new}} = \text{Hash}(n, A_{\text{recv}}, \text{salt}') \end{pmatrix}

12: return \pi
```

Privacy Properties:

- NFT ownership hidden (only commitment visible)
- Transfer recipient hidden (encrypted address)
- Transfer history unlinkable (nullifiers prevent double-spend)
- Optional metadata disclosure via auditor key

5 Privacy-Preserving DeFi

5.1 Shielded DEX

Private Token Swap Protocol:

- 1. User deposits tokens A into shielded pool (generates commitment C_A)
- 2. User submits swap order $(C_A, B_{amount}, price)$ via zkSNARK
- 3. DEX matches orders off-chain

4. User withdraws tokens B via proof π_B :

$$\pi_B \leftarrow \text{Prove}\left(\text{OwnsCommitment}(C_A) \wedge \text{ValidSwap}(A \to B)\right)$$
 (2)

Advantages:

- Order book hidden (prevents front-running)
- Trading volume private (hides whale activity)
- Slippage protected (encrypted order matching)

5.2 Private Lending

Confidential Loan Protocol:

Action	Privacy Level
Collateral deposit	Shielded (zk-SNARK)
Loan amount	Encrypted (FHE)
Interest rate	Public (on-chain)
Liquidation threshold	Encrypted (FHE)

Table 2: Privacy levels in Z-Chain lending protocol

Key Feature: Liquidations occur via encrypted threshold checks (FHE-based), preserving collateral privacy until liquidation event.

6 Fully Homomorphic Encryption (FHE)

6.1 FHE Integration

For Tier 2 contracts, Z-Chain uses **TFHE** (**Threshold FHE**):

- Encryption: User encrypts inputs under FHE public key
- Computation: Smart contract operates on ciphertexts
- Decryption: Threshold decryption by validator committee

6.2 Supported Operations

Operation	Gas Cost	Latency
Addition	50k	$0.1 \mathrm{ms}$
Multiplication	250k	$2 \mathrm{ms}$
Comparison $(<,>)$	180k	$1.5 \mathrm{ms}$
AND/OR/XOR	40k	$0.08 \mathrm{ms}$

Table 3: FHE operation costs and performance

Example Use Cases:

- Encrypted auctions (bids hidden until reveal)
- Private voting (encrypted vote tallying)
- Confidential credit scores (encrypted FICO-like computation)

7 Trusted Execution Environments (TEE)

7.1 Tier 3 Privacy Model

For regulated use cases, Z-Chain supports **TEE-based privacy**:

- Validators run Intel SGX or AMD SEV enclaves
- Smart contracts execute inside secure enclave
- Auditors receive encrypted attestations from TEE
- Regulators access transaction data via auditor keys

7.2 Attestation Protocol

```
Algorithm 2 TEE Transaction Attestation

1: Input: Transaction T, auditor public key pk_{\text{aud}}

2: Output: Encrypted attestation E, TEE quote Q

3:

4: // Execute transaction in enclave

5: result \leftarrow ExecuteInEnclave(T)

6:

7: // Generate attestation

8: A \leftarrow \{\text{sender}, \text{recipient}, \text{amount}, \text{timestamp}\}

9: E \leftarrow \text{Encrypt}(A, pk_{\text{aud}}) \triangleright Auditor can decrypt

10:

11: // Remote attestation quote

12: Q \leftarrow GenerateQuote(enclave_measurement)

13: return (E, Q)
```

Compliance Guarantee: Regulators verify TEE quote Q proves correct enclave execution, then decrypt E to audit transaction.

8 Selective Disclosure

8.1 Auditor Key System

Z-Chain implements hierarchical auditor keys:

- 1. User Keys: Can view own transaction history
- 2. Contract Auditor Keys: Can view all contract transactions

- 3. Regulatory Keys: Can view transactions matching specific criteria (e.g., > \$10k transfers)
- 4. Court Order Keys: Can view specific addresses (requires on-chain governance vote)

8.2 View Key Protocol

Generating View Key:

$$vk = \text{HKDF}(sk_{\text{user}}, \text{"view_key"}, \text{salt})$$
 (3)

Decrypting Commitment:

$$PlaintextData = Decrypt(C, vk)$$
 (4)

Auditors receive vk (not sk_{user}), enabling read-only access without spending authority.

9 Security Analysis

9.1 Privacy Guarantees

[Transaction Privacy] Under the DDH assumption and random oracle model, an adversary viewing only L1 commitments cannot distinguish between two transactions with different amounts/recipients with advantage greater than $negl(\lambda)$.

Proof Sketch: Commitments are computationally hiding under DDH. zkSNARK zero-knowledge property ensures proofs leak no information beyond validity.

9.2 Anonymity Set Size

Shielded Pool Size (as of Q4 2024):

- Total commitments: 1.2M
- Daily active commitments: 15k
- Effective anonymity set: $\approx 10^5$ per transaction

Compared to:

- Zcash shielded pool: 2.8M (but only 15% adoption)
- Monero ring size: 16 (small anonymity set)
- Tornado Cash: 50k (pre-sanctions)

10 Performance Evaluation

10.1 Throughput Benchmarks

10.2 Proof Generation Latency

11 Regulatory Compliance

11.1 AML/KYC Integration

Z-Chain supports **compliance without compromising user privacy**:

Transaction Type	TPS	Finality	Cost
Public (Tier 0)	5,000	1.5s	\$0.001
Shielded (Tier 1)	120	1.8s	\$0.08
FHE (Tier 2)	50	2.2s	\$0.15
TEE (Tier 3)	200	1.6s	\$0.02

Table 4: Z-Chain throughput by privacy tier

Circuit	Constraints	Prove Time	Proof Size
Transfer	280k	1.2s	288 bytes
Swap	850k	$3.5\mathrm{s}$	288 bytes
NFT mint	420k	1.8s	288 bytes
Loan borrow	1.2M	5.1s	288 bytes

Table 5: zk-SNARK proof generation performance

- 1. User completes KYC with licensed provider (off-chain)
- 2. Provider issues **compliance certificate** (zk-attestation)
- 3. User submits certificate with shielded transaction
- 4. Smart contract verifies certificate without learning user identity

Certificate Proof:

$$\pi_{\text{kyc}} \leftarrow \text{Prove}\left(\text{HasValidCertificate}(pk_{\text{user}}, \text{provider}_{\text{id}})\right)$$
 (5)

11.2 OFAC Compliance

To prevent sanctioned addresses, Z-Chain implements nullifier blacklist:

- Regulators submit sanctioned nullifiers to on-chain registry
- Smart contracts reject transactions with blacklisted nullifiers
- Privacy preserved: Only nullifier visible, not user identity

Key Advantage: Compliance without address-level deanonymization.

12 Deployment

12.1 Testnet Metrics

Z-Chain Testnet (Q3-Q4 2024):

- Transactions processed: 1.2M
- Unique addresses: 45k
- Shielded pool TVL: \$18M (testnet tokens)

• Average finality: 1.85s

• Privacy breaches: 0

12.2 Mainnet Roadmap

Phase	Timeline
Testnet v1 (zk-SNARKs only)	Q3 2024
Testnet v2 (+ FHE)	$Q4\ 2024$
Audit (Trail of Bits + OpenZeppelin)	$Q1\ 2025$
Mainnet launch (Tier 0-1)	$Q2\ 2025$
FHE mainnet (Tier 2)	$Q3\ 2025$
TEE mainnet (Tier 3)	$\mathrm{Q4}\ 2025$
,	· ·

Table 6: Z-Chain deployment roadmap

13 Future Work

13.1 Post-Quantum zk-SNARKs

Transitioning to quantum-resistant proof systems:

- zk-STARKs (no trusted setup, but 100× larger proofs)
- Lattice-based zkSNARKs (research phase)
- Hybrid SNARKs + STARKs (practical quantum resistance)

13.2 Cross-Chain Privacy

Enabling private transfers across chains:

- Shielded bridge with Lux L1/L2
- IBC privacy module for Cosmos
- Private cross-rollup communication

14 Conclusion

Lux Z-Chain provides **programmable privacy** for smart contracts via zk-SNARKs, FHE, and TEEs. With **120 TPS** for shielded transactions and ; **2s** finality, Z-Chain enables privacy-preserving DeFi with regulatory compliance. Testnet deployment with **1.2M transactions and zero breaches** demonstrates the viability of practical blockchain privacy.

A Cryptographic Primitives

A.1 zk-SNARK Parameters

Groth16 Setup:

• Trusted setup ceremony: 256 participants

• Powers of tau: 2²² (4.2M constraints)

• Proving key: 1.8 GB

• Verification key: 3.2 KB

A.2 FHE Parameters

TFHE Configuration:

• Security level: 128-bit (post-quantum)

• Ciphertext size: 8 KB per encrypted integer

• Bootstrap time: 15ms

• Threshold: t = 2/3 of validators required for decryption

B Solidity Interfaces

```
interface IZChainPrivacy {
    // Deposit into shielded pool
    function deposit(uint256 amount, bytes32 commitment)
        external returns (bool);

    // Shielded transfer (requires zk-SNARK proof)
    function transfer(bytes32 nullifier, bytes32 newCommitment,
        bytes calldata zkProof) external returns (bool);

    // Withdraw from shielded pool
    function withdraw(uint256 amount, bytes calldata zkProof,
        address recipient) external returns (bool);
}
```

Disclaimer. This document describes a testnet protocol. Mainnet security guarantees depend on successful audits and cryptographic assumptions.