Cpts 540 HW 6

Lu Xiao

11624097

- 1. Consider the following logic problem: All people who like computers also like coding. All people who like coding and like chess will learn AI. For all people that learn AI, there is at least one company that will hire them. All people who are hired by some company will be rich and famous. a. We will solve this problem using first-order logic. First, show one first-order logic sentence for each of the first four sentences in the above problem. You may only draw from the following first-order predicates.
 - Like (x, Chess)
 - Like (x, Computers)
 - Like (x, Coding)
 - Learn (x, AI)
 - Hire (x, y) which means company x hires person y
 - Rich (x)
 - Famous (x)
 - $1. \forall x \text{ Like } (x, \text{ Computers}) \Rightarrow \forall x \text{ Like } (x, \text{ Coding})$
 - 2. $\forall x$ Like (x, Coding) $\land \forall x$ Like (x, Chess) $\Rightarrow \forall x$ Learn (x, AI)
 - $3. \forall x \text{ Learn } (x, AI) \Rightarrow \text{Hire } (y, x)$
 - $4. \forall x \text{ Hire } (y, x) \Rightarrow \forall x \text{ Rich } (x)$
 - 5. $\forall x \text{ Hire } (y, x) \Rightarrow \forall x \text{ Famous } (x)$
 - b. Convert each of the four sentences from part (a) into Conjunctive Normal Form (CNF). You may just show the final result for each sentence; no need to show the intermediate steps. Number each clause. We will refer to these clauses as the knowledge base (KB) below
 - C1: $(\neg \text{ Like } (x, \text{ Computers}) \lor \text{ Like } (x, \text{ Coding}))$
 - C2: $(\neg \text{ Like } (x, \text{ Coding}) \lor \neg \text{Like } (x, \text{ Chess})) \lor \text{ Learn } (x, \text{ AI})$
 - C3: \neg Learn (x, AI) \lor Hire (y, x)
 - C4: \neg Hire $(y, x) \lor Rich(x)$
 - C5: \neg Hire $(y, x) \lor$ Famous (x)

To the KB from part (b), add the two facts: "Larry likes computers" and "Larry likes chess". Using this augmented KB, perform a resolution proof by refutation to prove

"Rich(Larry)". In your proof, be sure to do the following: 2 • For each resolution step, show the numbers of the two clauses used, the resulting clause, any variable substitutions resulting from unifying the complementary literals, and then number the resulting clause. • Be sure to use unique variables (e.g., x1, x2, y1, etc.) for each use of a clause from the KB. • Remember: each resolution step can only be done with two clauses at a time and can eliminate only one literal from each clause.

```
C6: Like(Larry, Computers)

C7: Like(Larry, chess)

To prove Rich(Larry), add C8:¬ Rich(Larry) to the KB Resolution proof:

C1 with C6 ⇒ C9: Like (Larry, Coding)

C9 with C2 ⇒ C10: Learn (Larry, AI)

C10 with C3 ⇒ C11: Hire (Larry, x)

C11 with C4 ⇒ C12: Rich (Larry)

C12 with C8 ⇒ empty clause

Therefore, Rich(Larry) is true.
```

d. To the KB from part (b), add the fact: "There exists someone who learns AI." Be sure to first convert this fact to CNF. Using this augmented KB, perform a resolution proof by refutation to prove " $\exists x \text{ Famous}(x)$ ", following the guidelines in part (c). add the C6 to part(b): $\exists x \text{ Learn}(x, \text{AI})$

add the C7: $\neg x \text{ Famous}(x)$ to the KB.

Resolution proof:

C6 with C3 \Rightarrow C8 : $\exists x$, Hire (y, x)

C8 with C5 \Rightarrow C9 : \exists x Famous(x)

C9 with C7 \Rightarrow empty clause

Therefore, $\exists x \text{ Famous}(x) \text{ is true.}$

```
2. input file:
   fof(a1,axiom,
       ! [X] : (like(X,computer) => like(X,coding))).
   fof(a2,axiom,
        ! [X] : (like(X,coding) \& like(X,chess) => learn(X,ai))).
   fof(a3,axiom,
        ! [X,Y] : (learn(X,ai) => hire(Y,X))).
   fof(a4,axiom,
       ![X,Y]:(hire(Y,X)=>rich(X) \& famous(X))).
   fof(a5,axiom,
       like(larry,computer)).
   fof(a6,axiom,
       like(larry,chess)).
   fof(c1, conjecture, rich(larry)).
```

Output file:

