1. Choose two different DNN models available for your chosen dataset. a. AlexNet, ResNet, and MobileNet for ImageNet dataset b. Use any model for Word2Vec that you can find for your assigned DL framework. c. Linear Model, Linear Model with Crosses, and DNN for Criteo Click Logs.

For this experiment, we chose the Word2Vec 1- billion words dataset.

1. (50 points) Perform the above experiments on a single node (CPU and GPU) using the DL framework assigned to your team. For the single node CPU run, you can vary the number of cores and number of threads in the application. For GPU runs, there is no need to vary the number of threads, instead, use different batch sizes to find out the best performing batch size.

For the training model, we chose the gated convolutional networks [1]: a major contribution of the model is that it was a new attempt to use a non-recurrent approach to attain near performance as recurrent neural networks. The model is based on convolutional networks with a gating mechanism.

We conducted corresponding CPU experiments on Owen clusters and GPU experiments on Pitzer clusters for queuing time and computation time purposes. For the experiment of both CPU and GPU, we chose three values for the batch size: 32, 64, and 80. For the number of threads, we chose 14 and 28 for CPU training. We will run 5 epoch for this model.

1. (5 points) Mention the scale and size of your dataset and explain why you chose it?

The scale of Word2Vec is rather large in terms of the quantity of the words; however, its overall size is the smallest of all three datasets. We wanted to choose the ImageNet datasets since we all have a background on the image processing with DNN, however, the size of the dataset is so large that it takes so long to download and unzip, considering both the instable speed of the network in the environment and the difficulties to share a large dataset between different accounts in the OSC environment. As a result, we chose Word2Vec for its abundancy and relative small volume.

1. (5 points) What is the best DNN architecture for your chosen dataset in terms of “accuracy” and in terms of “training time”? Describe if you find a suitable tradeoff between these two metrics.

The model I chose is special for its innovative nature: it use convolutional network to train text dataset. However, it also shows non-trivial performance. For the training time, the model converged very fast and the test accuracy stabilized around 42% to 43% for most of the time. Table 1 shows the final accuracy after 5 epoch of each experiment under different hyperparameters.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | CPU with 14 threads | CPU with 28 threads | GPU |
| Batch size = 32 | 0.4021 | 0.0898 | 0.1077 |
| Batch size = 64 | 0.4303 | 0.4295 | 0.4328 |
| Batch size = 80 | 0.4303 | 0.4353 | 0.4323 |

Table 1 Final accuracy among all epochs for each experiment

1. (5 points) Is the GPU always better than CPU for training with larger dataset? Explain your observation.

A very interesting phenomenon is that with batch size = 32, CPU with more threads and GPU diverged and achieved worse performance than CPU.

1. (5 points) For the single-node CPU run, do you see any trend when varying the number of cores and number of threads in the application? Explain your observation.
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