1. Move prudent visualization to PR;
2. Parameterized;
3. Figure 3: separate two graphs;
4. Behavioral and memory learning function;
5. A table showing average and deviation for each TPS;
6. Put difference after waiting time;
7. Figure 12: move static to the left;
8. Variations: reliable ravel time;
9. Is omnipotent relaxation necessary?  It doesn’t seem to play an explicit role in the analysis and not be included.

I think so. It is like a basic benchmark of all TPS. Though we do not address it explicitly in the analysis, I think it should be there in the theory system.

1. I think the PR optimal discussion should be included in the subsection on the PR strategy, and just call that strategy “PR.”

Some basic concepts in the TPS theory:

PR is a family. It contains infinite numbers of PR TPS.

PR optimal is a single TPS, which is the PR with minimal average waiting time.

1. We should present the miss risk and average waiting time for all strategies first, and then make comparisons via difference maps.

Yes I agree.

1. How to arrange analysis part.