

Radial Basis Function (RBF) Networks

Yingming Li
yingming@zju.edu.cn

Data Science & Engineering Research Center, ZJU

22nd March 2018

Adapted from slides provided by Prof. Michael Mandel.


Function approximation

- We have been using MLPs as pattern classifiers
- But in general, they are function approximators
 - Depending on output layer nonlinearity
 - And error function being minimized
- As a function approximator, MLPs are nonlinear, semiparametric, and universal

Function approximation

- Radial basis function (RBF) networks are similar function approximators
- Also nonlinear, semiparametric, universal
- Can also be visualized as layered network of nodes
- Easier to train than MLPs
 - Do not require backpropagation
 - But do not necessarily find an optimal solution

RBF net illustration



Function approximation background

- Before getting into RBF networks, let's discuss approximating scalar functions of a single variable
- Weierstrass approximation theorem: any continuous real function in an interval can be approximated arbitrarily well by a set of polynomials
- Taylor expansion approximates any differentiable function by a polynomial in the neighborhood around a point
- Fourier series gives a way of approximating any periodic function by a sum of sines and cosines

Linear projection

- Approximate function $f(x)$ by a linear combination of simpler functions

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_j w_j \varphi_j(\mathbf{x})$$

- If w_j 's can be chosen so that approximation error is arbitrarily small for any function $f(x)$ over the domain of interest, then $\{\varphi_j\}$ has the property of universal approximation, or $\{\varphi_j\}$ is complete

Example incomplete basis: sinc

$$\text{sinc}(x) = \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x} \quad \varphi_j(x) = \text{sinc}(x - \mu_j)$$

- Can approximate any smooth function




Figure: Decomposition by sinc functions

Example orthogonal complete basis: sinusoids

$$\varphi_{2n}(x) = \sin(2\pi n\omega x)$$

$$\varphi_{2n+1}(x) = \cos(2\pi n\omega x)$$

Complete on the interval $[0, 1]$





Figure: Decomposition by sine waves

Example orthogonal complete basis: Chebyshev polynomials

$$T_0(x) = 1 \quad T_1(x) = 1 \quad T_{n+1}(x) = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x)$$

Complete on the interval $[0, 1]$

$$T_2(x) = 2x^2 - 1 \quad T_3(x) = 4x^3 - 3x \quad \text{etc.}$$




Radial basis functions

- A radial basis function (RBF) is a basis function of the form $\varphi_j(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(||\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_j||)$
 - Where $\varphi(r)$ should be positive with monotonic derivative for $r > 0$.
- Consider a Gaussian RBF

$$\varphi_j(\mathbf{x}) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j||^2\right) = G(||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j||)$$

- A *local* basis function, falling off from the center




Radial basis functions (cont.)


- Thus approximation by Gaussian RBF becomes

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_j w_j G(||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j||)$$

- Gaussians are universal approximators
 - i.e., they form a complete basis



RBF net illustration



Remarks (cont.)

- Other RBFs exist, but we won't be using them
- Multiquadratics

$$\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x^2 + c^2}$$

- Inverse multiquadratics

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + c^2}}$$

- Micchelli's theorem (1986)
 - Let $\{x_i\}$ be a set of N distinct points, $\varphi(\cdot)$ be an RBF
 - Then the matrix $\phi_{ij} = \varphi(||\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j||)$ is non-singular

Four questions to answer for RBF nets

- If we want to use Gaussian RBFs to approximate a function specified by training data
 1. How do we choose the Gaussian centers?
 2. How do we determine the Gaussian widths?
 3. How do we determine the weights w_j ?
 4. How do we select the number of bases?

1. How do we choose the Gaussian centers?

- Easy way: select K data points at random
- Potentially better way: unsupervised clustering, e.g. using the K-means algorithm

K-means algorithm

- Goal: Divide N input patterns into K clusters with minimum total variance In other words, partition patterns into K clusters
- In other words, partition patterns into K clusters C_j to minimize the following cost function

$$J = \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{i \in C_j} \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{u}_j\|^2$$

where $\mathbf{u}_j = \frac{1}{|C_j|} \sum_{i \in C_j} \mathbf{x}_i$ is the mean (center) of cluster j


K-means algorithm

1. Choose a set of K cluster centers randomly from the input patterns
2. Assign the N input patterns to the K clusters using the squared Euclidean distance rule:
 \mathbf{x} is assigned to C_j if $||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}_j||^2 \leq ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}_i||^2$ for all $i \neq j$
3. Update cluster centers


$$\mathbf{u}_j = \frac{1}{|C_j|} \sum_{i \in C_j} \mathbf{x}_i$$

4. If any cluster center changes, go to step 2; else stop


K-means illustration




K-means illustration




K-means illustration




K-means illustration




K-means illustration




K-means illustration




K-means illustration




K-means illustration



K-means illustration



K-means cost function



K-means algorithm remarks

- The K-means algorithm always converges, but only to a local minimum

2. How to determine the Gaussian widths?

- Once cluster centers are determined, the variance within each cluster can be set to

$$\sigma_j^2 = \frac{1}{C_j} \sum_{i \in C_j} \|\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{x}_i\|^2$$

- Remark:** to simplify the RBF net design, all clusters can assume the same Gaussian width:

$$\sigma = \frac{d_{\max}}{\sqrt{2K}}$$

where d_{\max} is the maximum distance between the K cluster centers

3. How do we determine the weights w_j ?

- With the hidden layer decided, weight training can be treated as a linear regression problem

$$\Phi \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{d}$$

- Can solve using the LMS algorithm
- The textbook discusses recursive least squares (RLS) solutions
- Can also solve in one shot using the pseudo-inverse

$$\mathbf{w} = \Phi^+ \mathbf{d} = (\Phi^T \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^T \mathbf{d}$$

- Note that a bias term needs to be included in Φ

4. How do we select the number of bases?

- The same problem as that of selecting the size of an MLP for classification
- The short answer: (cross-)validation
- The long answer: by balancing bias and variance


Bias and variance

- Bias: training error
 - Difference between desired output and actual output for a particular training sample
- Variance: generalization error
 - difference between the learned function from a particular training sample and the function derived from all training samples
- Two extreme cases: zero bias and zero variance
- A good-sized model is one where both bias and variance are low

RBF net training summary

- To train
 1. Choose the Gaussian centers using K-means, etc.
 2. Determine the Gaussian widths as the variance of each cluster, or using d_{\max}
 3. Determine the weights w_j using linear regression
- Select the number of bases using (cross-)validation

RBF net illustration



Comparison between RBF net and MLP

- For RBF nets, bases are local, while for MLP, “bases” are global
- Generally, more bases are needed for an RBF net than hidden units for an MLP
- Training is more efficient for RBF nets

XOR problem, again

(0, 1) (1, 1)

(0, 0) (1, 0)

(a)

- RBF nets can also be applied to pattern classification problems
 - XOR problem revisited

Let

$$\phi_1(x) = \exp(-\|x - t_1\|)^2$$

$$\phi_2(x) = \exp(-\|x - t_2\|)^2$$

where

$$t_1 = [1, 1]^T$$

$$t_2 = [0, 0]^T$$


XOR problem (cont.)

TABLE 5.1 Specification of the Hidden Functions for the XOR Problem of Example 1

Input Pattern x	First Hidden Function $\varphi_1(x)$	Second Hidden Function $\varphi_2(x)$
(1,1)	1	0.1353
(0,1)	0.3678	0.3678
(0,0)	0.1353	1
(1,0)	0.3678	0.3678


XOR problem, again

- RBF nets can also be applied to pattern classification problems
 - XOR problem revisited




RBF net on double moon data, $d = -5$

Classification using RBF with distance = -5 , radius = 10 , and width = 6




(b) Testing result

RBF net on double moon data, $d = -5$




RBF net on double moon data, $d = -6$

Classification using RBF with distance = -6 , radius = 10 , and width = 6



(b) Testing result

RBF net on double moon data, $d = -6$



Thank you!