#### Econ 626: Quantitative Methods II

Fall 2018

## Lecture 4: Calculus of Variations

Lecturer: Prof. Daniel Levy Scribes: Zhikun Lu

**Disclaimer**: Zhikun is fully responsible for the errors and typos appeared in the notes.

Date: August 31, 2018

## 4.1 The simplest problem of Calculus of Variations

$$\max_{x(t)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} F(t, x(t), x'(t)) dt$$
(4.1)

s.t. 
$$x(t_0) = x_0, x(t_1) = x_1$$
 (4.2)

## Assumptions

- 1.  $F(\cdots)$  is continuous in its three auguments.
- 2.  $F(\cdots)$  has continuous partial derivatives w.r.t. x(t) and x'(t).

**Definition 4.1** A path is feasible or <u>admissible</u> if it is  $C^1$  on  $[t_0, t_1]$  and satisfies (4.2).

## FONC (Euler Equation)

Suppose that  $x^*(t)$ ,  $t_0 \le t \le t_1$  solves (4.1). Let x(t) be some other feasible path. Let h(t) be:

$$h(t) = x(t) - x^*(t) (4.3)$$

Thus:

- x(t) is a comparision path.
- h(t) is a <u>deviation</u> path.

Since x(t) and  $x^*(t)$  both satisfy (4.2), we have

$$h(t_0) = h(t_1) = 0 (4.4)$$

<u>Define</u> y(t) as follows

$$y(t) = x^*(t) + ah(t) \tag{4.5}$$

where a is some parameter.

Then

$$\begin{cases} y(t_0) = x^*(t_0) + ah(t_0) = x^*(t_0) = x_0 \\ y(t_1) = x^*(t_1) + ah(t_1) = x^*(t_1) = x_1 \end{cases}$$
(4.6)

It follows that y(t) is a feasible path because it is  $C^1$  for any arbitary a and it satisfies (4.2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Visit http://www.luzk.net/misc for updates.

[insert a graph of 
$$[x^*(t), x(t), h(t)]$$
 here]

Hold  $x^*(t)$  and h(t) fixed and compute (4.1) for y(t) as a function of a:

$$g(a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} F(t, y(t), y'(t)) dt$$

$$= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} F(t, x^*(t) + ah(t), x^{*'}(t) + ah'(t)) dt$$
(4.7)

By assumption  $x^*(t)$  maximizes (4.1). Therefore the function g(a) will attain its maximum where a = 0. Therefore, by regular FONC,

$$g'(a)\Big|_{a=0} = g'(0) = 0 (4.8)$$

#### Leibnitz Theorem

Let f(x,r) be continuous w.r.t.  $x, \ \forall r, \ \text{and let} \ \frac{\partial f(x,r)}{\partial r}$  be continuous in the rectangle  $a \leq x \leq b, \ \underline{r} \leq r \leq \overline{r}$  in the x-r plane. Let the functions A(r) and B(r) be  $C^1$ . If  $V(r) = \int_{A(r)}^{B(r)} f(x,r) \mathrm{d}x$ , then

$$V'(r) = f(B(r), r)B'(r) - f(A(r), r)A'(r) + \int_{A(r)}^{B(r)} \frac{\partial f(x, r)}{r} dx$$
 (4.9)

### By Leibnitz Theorem

$$g'(a) = F(t, x^{*}(t_{1}(a)) + ah(t_{1}(a)), x^{*'}(t_{1}(a)) + ah'(t_{1}(a)))(\frac{dt_{2}}{da})^{0}$$

$$-F(t, x^{*}(t_{0}(a)) + ah(t_{0}(a)), x^{*'}(t_{0}(a)) + ah'(t_{0}(a)))(\frac{dt_{2}}{da})^{0}$$

$$+ \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \left[ F_{x}(t, x^{*}(t) + ah(t), x^{*'}(t) + ah'(t))h(t) + F_{x'}(t, x^{*}(t) + ah(t), x^{*'}(t) + ah'(t))h'(t) \right] dt$$

$$= \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \left[ F_{x}(t, x^{*}(t) + ah(t), x^{*'}(t) + ah'(t))h(t) + F_{x'}(t, x^{*}(t) + ah(t), x^{*'}(t) + ah'(t))h'(t) \right] dt = 0 \text{ by FONC}$$

$$(4.11)$$

which is a necessary condition for a maximum.

Note: (4.11) must hold for all h that is continuous and satisfies (4.4).

Recall: Integration by part

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left[ F_{x'}(t, x^*(t), x^{*'}(t)) h'(t) \right] dt = \left[ F_{x'}(t, x^*(t), x^{*'}(t)) h(t) \right]_{t_0}^{t_1} - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left[ h(t) \frac{d}{dt} F_{x'}(t, x^*(t), x^{*'}(t)) \right] dt \\
= 0 - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left[ h(t) \frac{d}{dt} F_{x'}(t, x^*(t), x^{*'}(t)) \right] dt \tag{4.13}$$

$$g'(0) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left[ F_x(t, x^*(t), x^{*'}(t)) h(t) + F_{x'}(t, x^*(t), x^{*'}(t)) h'(t) \right] dt$$
(4.14)

$$= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left[ F_x(t, x^*(t), x^{*'}(t)) h(t) \right] dt + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left[ F_{x'}(t, x^*(t), x^{*'}(t)) h'(t) \right] dt$$
(4.15)

$$= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left[ F_x(t, x^*(t), x^{*'}(t)) h(t) \right] dt - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left[ h(t) \frac{d}{dt} F_{x'}(t, x^*(t), x^{*'}(t)) \right] dt$$
 (4.16)

$$= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left[ F_x(t, x^*(t), x^{*'}(t)) - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} F_{x'}(t, x^*(t), x^{*'}(t)) \right] h(t) \mathrm{d}t = 0$$
 (4.17)

(4.17) must hold true if  $x^*(t)$  maximizing (4.1). Moreover, it must hold for all h(t) that is  $C^1$  and satisfies (4.4). This will be true only if the term in the brackets vanishes:

$$F_x - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} F_{x'} = 0, \ t_0 \le t \le t_1$$
 (4.18)

which is a necessary condition for a maximum. (4.18) is called <u>Euler Equation</u>. The Euler equation holds true because of the following lemma.

Theorem 4.2 (The Fundamental Lemma of Calculus of Variations) Suppose that g(t) is a continuous function defined on  $[t_0, t_1]$ . If

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} g(t)h(t)dt = 0 \tag{4.19}$$

for all continuous h(t) defined on  $[t_0, t_1]$  and satisfies (4.4), then  $g(t) = 0 \ \forall t \in [t_0, t_1]$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $g(t) \neq 0$  for some  $\bar{t} \in [t_0, t_1]$ . WLOG, assume  $g(\bar{t}) = m > 0$ . Since g(t) is continuous at  $t = \bar{t}$ , for  $\epsilon = \frac{m}{2}$ , we know there exist  $\delta_{\epsilon} > 0$  such that,

$$g(t) \ge g(\bar{t}) - \epsilon = m - \frac{m}{2} = \frac{m}{2}, \quad \forall t \in (\bar{t} - \delta_{\epsilon}, \bar{t} + \delta_{\epsilon}) \cap [t_0, t_1]$$
 (4.20)

Let h(t) be

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} (t-a)(b-t), & \text{if } t \in [a,b] \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$
 (4.21)

with  $a = \max\{\bar{t} - \delta_{\epsilon}, t_0\}$ ,  $b = \min\{\bar{t} + \delta_{\epsilon}, t_1\}$ , and b - a > 0 for  $\delta_{\epsilon}$  sufficiently small. (Also note that  $h(t_0) = h(t_1) = 0$  and  $h(\cdot)$  is continuous.)

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} g(t)h(t)dt = \int_a^b g(t)(t-a)(b-t)dt \ge \int_a^b \frac{m}{2}(t-a)(b-t)dt = \frac{(b-a)^3}{6} > 0$$
 (4.22)

which contradicts the hypothesis that (4.19) holds for all h(t) with the required properties.

Other versions of the Euler equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}F_{x'}}{\mathrm{d}t} = F_{x't} + F_{x'x}x' + F_{x'x'}x'' \tag{4.23}$$

$$\Longrightarrow F_x = F_{x't} + F_{x'x}x' + F_{x'x'}x'' \tag{4.24}$$

where the partial derivatives must be evaluated at  $(t, x^*, x^{*'})$  and x' = x'(t), x'' = x''(t). Note also that (4.24) is a second order differential equation.

Integrate  $(4.18) \Longrightarrow$ 

$$F_{x'} = \int F_x + C_1 \tag{4.25}$$

which is known as du Bois-Reymond equation.

 $\underline{\text{Consider}}$ 

$$\frac{d}{dt}[F - x'F_{x'}] = F_t + F_x x' + F_{x'} x'' - x' \frac{dF_{x'}}{dt} - x''F_{x'} = F_t + x' \underbrace{(F_x - dF_{x'})}_{0 \text{ by EE}}$$
(4.26)

\_

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}[F - x'F_{x'}] = F_t \tag{4.27}$$

which is particularly useful if F does not depend on t directly, i.e.  $F_t = 0$ .

Example:  $F(\cdot) = (x'(t))^2$ 

$$\begin{cases} \min & \int_0^T (x'(t))^2 dt \\ \text{s.t.} & x(0) = 0, \ x(T) = B \end{cases}$$
 (4.28)

 $F_{x'} = 2x'(t), F_x = 0 (4.29)$ 

 $EE \Longrightarrow$ 

$$0 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} [2x'(t)] \tag{4.30}$$

$$\Longrightarrow x''(t) = 0 \tag{4.31}$$

$$x(t) = c_1 t + c_2 (4.32)$$

With x(0) = 0, x(T) = B, we get

$$x(t) = \frac{B}{T}t. (4.33)$$

# References