Class12.DESeqLab

Lidia Gallegos

Data Import

we will use 'read.csv()' to read the two things we need for this analysis:

- count data
- col data (metadata)

```
counts <- read.csv("airway_scaledcounts.csv", row.names=1)
metadata <-  read.csv("airway_metadata.csv")
```

Q1. How many genes are in this data set? How many transcripts do we have? 38694

```
nrow(counts)
```

```
[1] 38694
```

Q2. How many 'control' cell lines do we have? 4

```
head(metadata)
```

```
          id     dex celltype     geo_id
1 SRR1039508 control   N61311 GSM1275862
2 SRR1039509 treated   N61311 GSM1275863
3 SRR1039512 control  N052611 GSM1275866
4 SRR1039513 treated  N052611 GSM1275867
5 SRR1039516 control  N080611 GSM1275870
6 SRR1039517 treated  N080611 GSM1275871
```

And the 'counts' data

```
head(counts)
```

```
                SRR1039508 SRR1039509 SRR1039512 SRR1039513 SRR1039516
ENSG00000000003        723        486        904        445       1170
ENSG00000000005          0          0          0          0          0
ENSG00000000419        467        523        616        371        582
ENSG00000000457        347        258        364        237        318
ENSG00000000460         96         81         73         66        118
ENSG00000000938          0          0          1          0          2
                SRR1039517 SRR1039520 SRR1039521
ENSG00000000003       1097        806        604
ENSG00000000005          0          0          0
ENSG00000000419        781        417        509
ENSG00000000457        447        330        324
ENSG00000000460         94        102         74
ENSG00000000938          0          0          0
```

First, we should check the correspondence of the metadata and count data.

```
metadata$id
```

```
[1] "SRR1039508" "SRR1039509" "SRR1039512" "SRR1039513" "SRR1039516"
[6] "SRR1039517" "SRR1039520" "SRR1039521"
```

```
colnames(counts)
```

```
[1] "SRR1039508" "SRR1039509" "SRR1039512" "SRR1039513" "SRR1039516"
[6] "SRR1039517" "SRR1039520" "SRR1039521"
```

To check that these are all in the same order, we can use '==' to test the quality.

```
all(metadata$id == colnames(counts))
```

```
[1] TRUE
```

```
all(c(T, T, T, T, T))
```

```
[1] TRUE
```

Analysis via comparison of CONTROL vs. TREATED

The "treated" have the dex drug and the "control" do not. First I need to do … in the 'counts' data set.

```
control.inds <- metadata$dex == "control"
control <- metadata[control.inds,]
control$id
```

```
[1] "SRR1039508" "SRR1039512" "SRR1039516" "SRR1039520"
```

Now I can use this to access just the "control" columns of my 'counts' data

```
control.counts <- counts[,control.inds]
head(control.counts)
```

```
                SRR1039508 SRR1039512 SRR1039516 SRR1039520
ENSG00000000003        723        904       1170        806
ENSG00000000005          0          0          0          0
ENSG00000000419        467        616        582        417
ENSG00000000457        347        364        318        330
ENSG00000000460         96         73        118        102
ENSG00000000938          0          1          2          0
```

Find the mean count value for each transcript/gene by binding the 'rowmeans()'

```
control.mean <- rowMeans(control.counts)
head(control.mean)
```

```
ENSG00000000003 ENSG00000000005 ENSG00000000419 ENSG00000000457 ENSG00000000460
         900.75            0.00          520.50          339.75           97.25
ENSG00000000938
           0.75
```

Q3. How would you make the above code in either approach more robust?

Q4. Follow the same procedure for the treated samples.

Now find the value of all the "treated" columns in the same way…

```
treated.id <- metadata[metadata$dex == "treated", "id"]
treated.mean <- rowMeans(counts[,treated.id])
head(treated.mean)
```

```
ENSG00000000003 ENSG00000000005 ENSG00000000419 ENSG00000000457 ENSG00000000460
         658.00            0.00          546.00          316.50           78.75
ENSG00000000938
           0.00
```

Now I have 'control.mean' and 'treated.mean' Let's put them together for safe keeping and easy use later.

```
meancounts <- data.frame(control.mean, treated.mean)
head(meancounts)
```

```
                control.mean treated.mean
ENSG00000000003       900.75       658.00
ENSG00000000005         0.00         0.00
ENSG00000000419       520.50       546.00
ENSG00000000457       339.75       316.50
ENSG00000000460        97.25        78.75
ENSG00000000938         0.75         0.00
```

Q5 (a). Create a scatter plot showing the mean of the treated samples against the mean of the control samples. Your plot should look something like the following. Let's do a quick plot to see how the data looks!

```
plot(meancounts)
```



This is very heavily skewed and over a wide range - calling for a log transformation.

> Q5 (b).You could also use the ggplot2 package to make this figure producing the plot below. What geom_?() function would you use for this plot? - geom_point()
>
> Q6. Try plotting both axes on a log scale. What is the argument to plot() that allows you to do this? - log

```
plot(meancounts, log = "xy")
```

```
Warning in xy.coords(x, y, xlabel, ylabel, log): 15032 x values <= 0 omitted
from logarithmic plot
```

```
Warning in xy.coords(x, y, xlabel, ylabel, log): 15281 y values <= 0 omitted
from logarithmic plot
```



We like working with log tranformed data as it can help make things more straightforward to interpret.

If we have no change:

```
log2(20/20)
```

```
[1] 0
```

If we have doubling:

```
log2(40/20)
```

```
[1] 1
```

What if we have half as much:

```
log2(10/20)
```

```
[1] -1
```

What if he have more than doubling:

```
log2(80/20)
```

```
[1] 2
```

We like working with log2 fold-change values, so let's calculate it for our data.

```
meancounts$log2fc <- log2(meancounts[,"treated.mean"]/meancounts[,"control.mean"])
head(meancounts)
```

```
                control.mean treated.mean      log2fc
ENSG00000000003       900.75       658.00 -0.45303916
ENSG00000000005         0.00         0.00         NaN
ENSG00000000419       520.50       546.00  0.06900279
ENSG00000000457       339.75       316.50 -0.10226805
ENSG00000000460        97.25        78.75 -0.30441833
ENSG00000000938         0.75         0.00        -Inf
```

We want to filter out any genes (rows) where we have a ZERO count data

```
to.keep.inds <- rowSums(meancounts[,1:2] == 0) == 0
head(to.keep.inds)
```

```
ENSG00000000003 ENSG00000000005 ENSG00000000419 ENSG00000000457 ENSG00000000460
           TRUE           FALSE            TRUE            TRUE            TRUE
ENSG00000000938
          FALSE
```

```
mycounts <- meancounts[to.keep.inds,]
nrow(mycounts)
```

```
[1] 21817
```

> Q7. What is the purpose of the arr.ind argument in the which() function call above? Why would we then take the first column of the output and need to call the unique() function? This will filter out the genes (rows) and samples (columns) have zero counts. We ignore any genes that have zero counts in any sample, so doing the 'unique()' function ensures that we don't count any row twice if it has "zero" entries.

A common threshold for calling genes as differentially expressed is a log2 fold-change of +2 or -2.

```
sum(mycounts$log2fc >= +2)
```

```
[1] 314
```

What percent is this? (UPregulated?)

```
(314/21817)*100
```

```
[1] 1.439245
```

```
round((sum(mycounts$log2fc >= +2) / nrow(mycounts))*100, 2)
```

```
[1] 1.44
```

and DOWN regulated?

```
round((sum(mycounts$log2fc <= -2) / nrow(mycounts))*100, 2)
```

```
[1] 2.22
```

> Q8. How many up regulated genes we have at the greater than 2 fc level? 250 genes
>
> Q9. Using the 'down.ind' vector above can you determine how many down regulated genes we have at the greater than 2 fc level? 367 genes
>
> Q10. Do you trust these results? Why or why not? Not quite because our analysis has been based off on fold change which can be large without necessarily being statistically significant. Nothing in our analysis determines if he differences are significant or not, so that is why we will use the 'DESeq2' package.

We need some stats to check if the drug induced difference is significant!

Turn to DESeq2

Let's turn to doing this the correct way with the DESeq2 package.

```
library(DESeq2)
```

The main function in the DESeq2 package is called 'deseq()'. It wants our count data and our colData (metadata) as an input in a specific way,

```
dds <- DESeqDataSetFromMatrix(countData = counts,
                              colData = metadata,
                              design = ~dex)
```

```
converting counts to integer mode
```

```
Warning in DESeqDataSet(se, design = design, ignoreRank): some variables in
design formula are characters, converting to factors
```

Now I can run DESeq Analysis.

```
dds <- DESeq(dds)
```

```
estimating size factors
```

```
estimating dispersions
```

```
gene-wise dispersion estimates
```

```
mean-dispersion relationship
```

```
final dispersion estimates
```

```
fitting model and testing
```

```
results(dds)
```

```
log2 fold change (MLE): dex treated vs control
Wald test p-value: dex treated vs control
DataFrame with 38694 rows and 6 columns
                 baseMean log2FoldChange     lfcSE      stat    pvalue
                <numeric>      <numeric> <numeric> <numeric> <numeric>
ENSG00000000003  747.1942     -0.3507030  0.168246 -2.084470 0.0371175
ENSG00000000005    0.0000             NA        NA        NA        NA
ENSG00000000419  520.1342      0.2061078  0.101059  2.039475 0.0414026
ENSG00000000457  322.6648      0.0245269  0.145145  0.168982 0.8658106
ENSG00000000460   87.6826     -0.1471420  0.257007 -0.572521 0.5669691
...                    ...            ...       ...       ...       ...
ENSG00000283115  0.000000             NA        NA        NA        NA
ENSG00000283116  0.000000             NA        NA        NA        NA
ENSG00000283119  0.000000             NA        NA        NA        NA
ENSG00000283120  0.974916      -0.668258   1.69456 -0.394354  0.693319
ENSG00000283123  0.000000             NA        NA        NA        NA
                     padj
                <numeric>
ENSG00000000003  0.163035
ENSG00000000005        NA
ENSG00000000419  0.176032
ENSG00000000457  0.961694
ENSG00000000460  0.815849
...                   ...
ENSG00000283115        NA
ENSG00000283116        NA
ENSG00000283119        NA
ENSG00000283120        NA
ENSG00000283123        NA
```

Now, what we've got so far is the log2 fold-change and the adjusted p-value for the significance.

```
res <-results(dds)
head(res)
```

```
log2 fold change (MLE): dex treated vs control
Wald test p-value: dex treated vs control
DataFrame with 6 rows and 6 columns
                 baseMean log2FoldChange     lfcSE      stat    pvalue
                <numeric>      <numeric> <numeric> <numeric> <numeric>
ENSG00000000003 747.194195     -0.3507030  0.168246 -2.084470 0.0371175
```

```
ENSG00000000005   0.000000             NA        NA        NA        NA
ENSG00000000419 520.134160      0.2061078  0.101059  2.039475 0.0414026
ENSG00000000457 322.664844      0.0245269  0.145145  0.168982 0.8658106
ENSG00000000460  87.682625     -0.1471420  0.257007 -0.572521 0.5669691
ENSG00000000938   0.319167     -1.7322890  3.493601 -0.495846 0.6200029
                     padj
                <numeric>
ENSG00000000003  0.163035
ENSG00000000005        NA
ENSG00000000419  0.176032
ENSG00000000457  0.961694
ENSG00000000460  0.815849
ENSG00000000938        NA
```

A first plot

```
plot(res$log2FoldChange,  res$padj)
```



Another plot because that plot^ sucked. It does not show the interesting P-values, so I'll take the log of the p-value. We can flip the y-axis so that the plot does not look upside down.

```
plot( res$log2FoldChange,  -log(res$padj),
      xlab="Log2(FoldChange)",
      ylab="-Log(P-value)")
abline(v=c(-2,2), col="red", lty=2)
abline(h=-log(0.05), col="red", lty=2)
```




```
# Setup our custom point color vector
mycols <- rep("gray", nrow(res))
mycols[ abs(res$log2FoldChange) > 2 ]  <- "green"

inds <- (res$padj < 0.01) & (abs(res$log2FoldChange) > 2 )
mycols[ inds ] <- "hotpink"
```

```
# Volcano plot with custom colors
plot( res$log2FoldChange,  -log(res$padj),
 col=mycols, ylab="-Log(P-value)", xlab="Log2(FoldChange)" )
abline(v=c(-2,2), col="gray", lty=2)
abline(h=-log(0.1), col="gray", lty=2)
```



Annotation of our gene set results

I will start by loading two Annotation packages from bioconductor:

```
library("AnnotationDbi")
library("org.Hs.eg.db")
```

The first one is 'mapIDs()' function which "maps" database identifiers between different databases. In other words, it translates the identifiers used by one database to that used by another database.

```
columns(org.Hs.eg.db)
```

```
 [1] "ACCNUM"       "ALIAS"        "ENSEMBL"      "ENSEMBLPROT"  "ENSEMBLTRANS"
 [6] "ENTREZID"     "ENZYME"       "EVIDENCE"     "EVIDENCEALL"  "GENENAME"
[11] "GENETYPE"     "GO"           "GOALL"        "IPI"          "MAP"
[16] "OMIM"         "ONTOLOGY"     "ONTOLOGYALL"  "PATH"         "PFAM"
[21] "PMID"         "PROSITE"      "REFSEQ"       "SYMBOL"       "UCSCKG"
[26] "UNIPROT"
```

My results are in object 'res'

```
head(res)
```

```
log2 fold change (MLE): dex treated vs control
Wald test p-value: dex treated vs control
DataFrame with 6 rows and 6 columns
                  baseMean log2FoldChange     lfcSE      stat    pvalue
                 <numeric>      <numeric> <numeric> <numeric> <numeric>
ENSG00000000003 747.194195     -0.3507030  0.168246 -2.084470 0.0371175
ENSG00000000005   0.000000             NA        NA        NA        NA
ENSG00000000419 520.134160      0.2061078  0.101059  2.039475 0.0414026
ENSG00000000457 322.664844      0.0245269  0.145145  0.168982 0.8658106
ENSG00000000460  87.682625     -0.1471420  0.257007 -0.572521 0.5669691
ENSG00000000938   0.319167     -1.7322890  3.493601 -0.495846 0.6200029
                     padj
                <numeric>
ENSG00000000003  0.163035
ENSG00000000005        NA
ENSG00000000419  0.176032
ENSG00000000457  0.961694
ENSG00000000460  0.815849
ENSG00000000938        NA
```

Creating a new column 'res$symbol'

```
res$symbol <- mapIds(org.Hs.eg.db,
                     keys=row.names(res), # genenames
                     keytype="ENSEMBL",   # The format of genenames
                     column="SYMBOL",     # The new format
                     multiVals="first")
```

```
'select()' returned 1:many mapping between keys and columns
```

```
head(res)
```

```
log2 fold change (MLE): dex treated vs control
Wald test p-value: dex treated vs control
DataFrame with 6 rows and 7 columns
                  baseMean log2FoldChange     lfcSE      stat    pvalue
```

```
                 <numeric>      <numeric> <numeric> <numeric> <numeric>
ENSG00000000003 747.194195     -0.3507030  0.168246 -2.084470 0.0371175
ENSG00000000005   0.000000             NA        NA        NA        NA
ENSG00000000419 520.134160      0.2061078  0.101059  2.039475 0.0414026
ENSG00000000457 322.664844      0.0245269  0.145145  0.168982 0.8658106
ENSG00000000460  87.682625     -0.1471420  0.257007 -0.572521 0.5669691
ENSG00000000938   0.319167     -1.7322890  3.493601 -0.495846 0.6200029
                     padj      symbol
                <numeric> <character>
ENSG00000000003  0.163035      TSPAN6
ENSG00000000005        NA        TNMD
ENSG00000000419  0.176032        DPM1
ENSG00000000457  0.961694       SCYL3
ENSG00000000460  0.815849    C1orf112
ENSG00000000938        NA         FGR
```

Q11. Run the mapIds() function two more times to add the Entrez ID and UniProt accession and GENENAME as new columns called res$entrez, res$uniprot and res$genename.

```
res$entrez <- mapIds(org.Hs.eg.db,
                     keys=row.names(res), # genenames
                     keytype="ENSEMBL",   # The format of genenames
                     column="ENTREZID",     # The new format
                     multiVals="first")
```

```
'select()' returned 1:many mapping between keys and columns
```

```
res$uniprot <- mapIds(org.Hs.eg.db,
                     keys=row.names(res),
                     keytype="ENSEMBL",
                     column="UNIPROT",
                     multiVals="first")
```

```
'select()' returned 1:many mapping between keys and columns
```

```
res$genename <- mapIds(org.Hs.eg.db,
                     keys=row.names(res),
                     keytype="ENSEMBL",
                     column="GENENAME",
```

```
                     multiVals="first")
```

```
'select()' returned 1:many mapping between keys and columns
```

```
head(res)
```

```
log2 fold change (MLE): dex treated vs control
Wald test p-value: dex treated vs control
DataFrame with 6 rows and 10 columns
                  baseMean log2FoldChange     lfcSE      stat    pvalue
                 <numeric>      <numeric> <numeric> <numeric> <numeric>
ENSG00000000003 747.194195     -0.3507030  0.168246 -2.084470 0.0371175
ENSG00000000005   0.000000             NA        NA        NA        NA
ENSG00000000419 520.134160      0.2061078  0.101059  2.039475 0.0414026
ENSG00000000457 322.664844      0.0245269  0.145145  0.168982 0.8658106
ENSG00000000460  87.682625     -0.1471420  0.257007 -0.572521 0.5669691
ENSG00000000938   0.319167     -1.7322890  3.493601 -0.495846 0.6200029
                     padj      symbol      entrez     uniprot
                <numeric> <character> <character> <character>
ENSG00000000003  0.163035      TSPAN6        7105  A0A024RCI0
ENSG00000000005        NA        TNMD       64102      Q9H2S6
ENSG00000000419  0.176032        DPM1        8813      O60762
ENSG00000000457  0.961694       SCYL3       57147      Q8IZE3
ENSG00000000460  0.815849    C1orf112       55732  A0A024R922
ENSG00000000938        NA         FGR        2268      P09769
                           genename
                        <character>
ENSG00000000003          tetraspanin 6
ENSG00000000005            tenomodulin
ENSG00000000419 dolichyl-phosphate m..
ENSG00000000457 SCY1 like pseudokina..
ENSG00000000460 chromosome 1 open re..
ENSG00000000938 FGR proto-oncogene, ..
```

Pathway Analysis

Some major genesets include KEGG, Go, etc. We will use the **gage** package for our first pathway analysis.

```
library(pathview)
```

```
##############################################################################
Pathview is an open source software package distributed under GNU General
Public License version 3 (GPLv3). Details of GPLv3 is available at
http://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-3.0.html. Particullary, users are required to
formally cite the original Pathview paper (not just mention it) in publications
or products. For details, do citation("pathview") within R.

The pathview downloads and uses KEGG data. Non-academic uses may require a KEGG
license agreement (details at http://www.kegg.jp/kegg/legal.html).
##############################################################################
```

```
library(gage)
```

```
library(gageData)

data(kegg.sets.hs)
```

We can looks at the first few pathways in the KEGG human set.

```
head(kegg.sets.hs, 2)
```

```
$`hsa00232 Caffeine metabolism`
[1] "10"   "1544" "1548" "1549" "1553" "7498" "9"

$`hsa00983 Drug metabolism - other enzymes`
 [1] "10"     "1066"   "10720"  "10941"  "151531" "1548"   "1549"   "1551"
 [9] "1553"   "1576"   "1577"   "1806"   "1807"   "1890"   "221223" "2990"
[17] "3251"   "3614"   "3615"   "3704"   "51733"  "54490"  "54575"  "54576"
[25] "54577"  "54578"  "54579"  "54600"  "54657"  "54658"  "54659"  "54963"
[33] "574537" "64816"  "7083"   "7084"   "7172"   "7363"   "7364"   "7365"
[41] "7366"   "7367"   "7371"   "7372"   "7378"   "7498"   "79799"  "83549"
[49] "8824"   "8833"   "9"      "978"
```

The main 'gage()' function wants a vector as an input that contains our measure of importance - in our case that is fold-change. The vector needs to have ENTREZ IDs as the names of the vector.

Recall that vectors can have names - this is useful

```
foldchanges = res$log2FoldChange
names(foldchanges) = res$entrez
head(foldchanges)
```

```
      7105       64102        8813       57147       55732        2268
-0.35070302          NA  0.20610777  0.02452695 -0.14714205 -1.73228897
```

Now, let's run the **gage** pathway analysis.

```
keggres = gage(foldchanges, gsets=kegg.sets.hs)
```

What is in these results?

```
attributes(keggres)
```

```
$names
[1] "greater" "less"    "stats"
```

By default, gage splits its results into "greater" or "less" objects that we can examine. Let's first look at "less" which is down regulated pathway analysis.

```
# Look at the first three down (less) pathways
head(keggres$less, 3)
```

```
                                     p.geomean stat.mean        p.val
hsa05332 Graft-versus-host disease 0.0004250461 -3.473346 0.0004250461
hsa04940 Type I diabetes mellitus  0.0017820293 -3.002352 0.0017820293
hsa05310 Asthma                    0.0020045888 -3.009050 0.0020045888
                                        q.val set.size         exp1
hsa05332 Graft-versus-host disease 0.09053483       40 0.0004250461
hsa04940 Type I diabetes mellitus  0.14232581       42 0.0017820293
hsa05310 Asthma                    0.14232581       29 0.0020045888
```

We can now look in more detail at these pathways. The "pathview()' function will take the KEGG pathway ID (printed first above) and our vector of importance and annotate the pathway with our genes.

Let's first look at hsa05310 Asthma.

```
pathview(gene.data=foldchanges, pathway.id="hsa05310")
```

```
'select()' returned 1:1 mapping between keys and columns
```

```
Info: Working in directory /Users/lidiavaleriagallegos/Desktop/BIMM 143/Class12.DESeqLab
```

```
Info: Writing image file hsa05310.pathview.png
```



Figure 1: Asthma pathway with our genes colored