

Gestalt visualization proposal

Information visualization concerns itself with presenting data so as to facilitate interpretation of it. In graph visualization, this information comes in the form of a graph, with the information typically organized as objects (nodes) and relationships between them (edges). This still leaves open the evaluation of such a visualization. Should the main factor be usability, but if so then usability for what purpose? Do attractiveness and memorability play a role? Thus, the question of how aesthetics influences usability and user interaction with a given visualization is a core question in this field.

A basic assumption of that question is that it is known what it means for something to be aesthetically pleasing. In fact, this is the topic of much research in the area of gestalt psychology. There, many principles have been identified and investigated that help something to be perceived as a unified whole, or gestalt, more than the simple sum of its parts. For example, the principle of similarity states that people tend to perceive similar objects as parts of a whole, or the dissimilar object among a set of similar ones as the focus (see Fig. 1). It makes sense to build upon this research in asking how the individual gestalt principles contribute to a “better” graph visualization. Our hypothesis is that gestalt principles contribute to both aesthetic appeal and analytic performance in force-directed layout networks.

To evaluate this hypothesis, we must first conduct a survey of the literature in order to match gestalt principles to the graph layout heuristics, such as minimizing edge crossings, which have tended to be the focus of previous graph visualization research. We will perform this survey of the literature with the aid of the SurVis system (?), which allows sources to be tagged and searched

in an intuitive manner (cite). Once the matchings between heuristics and gestalt principles have been identified, we plan to make a poster showing these and submit it to GD. At that point, we will have the information we need to choose the gestalt principles to test in a user study, and we will know which graph heuristics to use to generate graphs which do or do not follow these principles.

(Add something about making the literature survey available, or is it just for us?)

The user study will present subjects with graph embeddings following these various gestalt principles. To ensure our answers are minimally biased, users will be asked to perform tasks and provide preferences instead of merely telling us which graphs are most aesthetically pleasing. Thus, each graph will have several questions associated with it, and as we would prefer a within user study, the length and thus the number of test factors will need to be limited in order to retain the interest of our subjects. Fortunately, the principle/heuristic table will help us to do so. Finally, we will need to translate the responses to our questions into answers as straightforward as possible on which graphs are more aesthetically appealing and/or usable, and thus whether and which gestalt principles had the most impact.



Figure 1: “The figure on the far right becomes a focal point because it is dissimilar to the other shapes.” (reproduced from Spokane Falls GD, cite!)