

After Lecture 15 & 16 – Answer any questions on HW4 (due today)
Practice Problems (all taken from previous exams)

1. In dynamic programming, the technique of storing the previously calculated values is called

 - a) Saving value property
 - b) Storing value property
 - c) Memoization
 - d) Mapping
2. What is the time complexity of the brute force algorithm used to find the longest common subsequence for sequence length m and sequence length n ($m < n$)?
 - a) $O(mn)$
 - b) $O((mn)^2)$
 - c) $O(n2^m)$
 - d) $O(2^m 2^n)$
3. When dynamic programming is used, it takes less time compared to algorithmic methods that don't utilize overlapping subproblems.
 - a) True.
 - b) False.
4. Using the dynamic programming solution, determine an LCS of $\{1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1\}$ and $\{0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0\}$. Show all your work.
5. Given a sequence of n numbers $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n$ (some of them might be negative) stored in an array, we want to find two indices $i \leq j$ such that the sum of the numbers from a_i to a_j is maximum, among all possible i, j pairs $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$.
 - 5a) Write pseudocode to sum each contiguous subsequence (from a_i to a_j) and keep track of the maximum one. What is the runtime of your algorithm? The runtime is $O(n^2)$

Algorithm 8.1 Maximum Subsequence

```

1: function MAXSUBSEQUENCE
2:    $bestval \leftarrow -\infty$ 
3:   for  $i \leftarrow 1 \dots n$  do
4:      $sumCurrent \leftarrow a[i]$ 
5:     if  $sumCurrent > bestval$  then
6:        $bestval \leftarrow sumCurrent$ 
7:        $besti \leftarrow i$ 
8:        $bestj \leftarrow i$ 
9:     end if
10:    for  $j \leftarrow i + 1 \dots n$  do
11:       $sumCurrent \leftarrow sumCurrent + a[j]$ 
12:      if  $sumCurrent > bestval$  then
13:         $bestval \leftarrow sumCurrent$ 
14:         $besti \leftarrow i$ 
15:         $bestj \leftarrow j$ 
16:      end if
17:    end for
18:  end for
19:  return  $bestval, besti, bestj$ 
20: end function

```

5b) Now find an $O(n)$ algorithm. Give pseudocode.

Algorithm 8.2 Improved Maximum Subsequence

```

1: function IMPROVEDMAXIMUMSUBSEQUENCE
2:    $M[j] \leftarrow$  max sum over all contiguous sequences ending at  $a[j]$ 
3:    $a[j] \leftarrow$  either extends the previous contiguous sequence, or  $a[j]$  starts a new contiguous
   sequence
4:    $M[j] \leftarrow \max\{M[j - 1] + a[j], a[j]\}$ 
5: end function

```

6. Prove that a binary tree that is not full (every node has 0 or 2 children) cannot correspond to an optimal prefix code. An optimal prefix code is a prefix code that gives the shortest possible encoded file length. If we have a prefix code that corresponds to a binary tree that is not full, let n be a node that only has 1 child. Then we could form another binary tree by removing n and moving up n 's child. The codewords of all the characters that were descendants of n have now all be decreased by 1, and so the original binary tree could not correspond to an optimal prefix code.