
- 1. (10 points) Given a set of n numbers, we wish to find the i largest numbers in sorted order utilizing the comparison-based algorithm/data structure specified. Each algorithm should return an array of the i largest numbers in sorted order, lowest to highest. For each of your algorithms, find a theta bound on the worst-case running time in terms of n and i.
 - 1a) Write an algorithm to find the i largest numbers in sorted order using a max heap.
 - 1b) Write an algorithm to find the i largest numbers in sorted order using the ith largest order-statistic algorithm.
- 2. (4 points) Give an O(n) algorithm for the following problem and prove its time complexity. Given a list of n distinct positive integers, partition the list into two sublists, each of size n/2, such that the difference between the sums of the integers in the two sublists is maximized. You may assume that n is a multiple of 2.
- 3. (4 points) Suppose we use RANDOMIZED-SELECT to select the minimum element of the array A = <3, 2, 9, 0, 7, 5, 4, 8, 6, 1>. Describe a sequence of partitions that results in a worst-case performance of RANDOMIZED-SELECT.
- 4. (6 points) Argue that since sorting n elements takes $\Omega(n \lg n)$ time in the worst case in the comparison model, any comparison-based algorithm for constructing a binary search tree from an arbitrary list of n elements must take $\Omega(n \lg n)$ time in the worst case. Basically, prove you cannot construct a BST in linear growth time. Hint: To sort with a binary search tree you must first construct the binary search tree and then traverse the binary search tree in such a way so the output is sorted.
- 5. (6 points)

The set of full binary trees is defined recursively: Basis step: The tree consisting of a single vertex is a full binary tree.

Recursive step: If T1 and T2 are disjoint full binary trees, there is a full binary tree, denoted by $T1 \times T2$, consisting of a root r together with edges connecting r to each of the roots of the left subtree T1 and the right subtree T2.



Use structural induction to show that l(T), the number of leaves of a full binary tree T, is 1 more than i(T), the number of internal vertices of T.

Figure 3.1:

- 6. (5 points) Is the operation of deletion "commutative" in the sense that deleting x and then y from a binary search tree leaves the same tree as deleting y and then x? Argue why it is or give a counterexample.
- 7. (5 points)
 - 7a) How many different binary search trees are there for values 1 2 3?
 - 7b) How many different orders are there for inserting the values 1 2 3 in a binary search tree?
 - 7c) Are these values the same? Why or why not?