Chapter 2: Intelligent Agents

(2.1): Agents and Environments

(2.2): The Concept of Rationality

(2.3): The Nature of Environments Be comfortable with the PEAS description and environment properties.

(2.4): The Structure of Agents You may be asked to pick the best agent type for some problem and justify your answer.

(2.5): Summary Go through the chapter summary.

Chapter 3: Solving Problems by Search

(3.1): Problem-Solving Agents Be comfortable defining a search problem.

(3.2): Example Problems

Table 1: Uniformed Search Algorithms

Criterion	Breadth-Uniform Depth-			Depth-	Iterative	Bidirec
	First	Cost	First	Limited	Deep-	(if
					ening	appli-
						ca-
						ble)
Complete?	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Optimal cost?	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Time	$O(b^d)$	$O(b^{1+C})$	$\lfloor C*/\epsilon \rfloor$			

(3.3): Search Algorithms & Uniform Search Strategies Ignore sections 3.4.4 and 3.4.5 for the exam.

(3.5): Informed (Heuristic) Search Strategies Informed search relies on domain-specific knowledge / hints that help locate the goal state. h(n) = h(State n) = relevant information about State n

You may be asked to solve a search problem by hand.

(3.6): Heuristic Functions

(3.7): Summary Go through the chapter summary. FOCUS ON A* algorithm

Chapter 4: Search in Complex Environments

(4.1): Local Search and Optimization Problems Local search doesn't care about the path to the goal, just getting to the goal. They're useful for pure optimization problems (finding the best state according to an objective function.) Generally use a single current state and generally move to neighbors of that state. Two key advantages are: little memory usage (usually a constant amount) and can find reasonable solutions in large of infinite (continuous) states spaces. The performance can be measured using completeness (guaranteed to find a solution when there is one and report when there isn't), cost-optimality (does it find a solution with the lowest path cost of all solutions), or time or space complexity.

Hill-climbing search

The most primitive informed search approach; it is a naive greedy algorithm and the objective function is the value of the next state. The agent can get stuck on peaks (local maxima), ridges (sequences of peaks), and plateaus (areas where the evaluation function has the same value).

Algorithm 0.1 Hill-climbing search

```
    function HILL-CLIMBING(problem) returns a state that is a local maximum
    current ← problem.INITIAL
    while true do
    neighbor ← a highest-valued successor state of current
    if VALUE(neighbor) ≤ VALUE(current) then return current
    end if
    current ← neighbor
```

Simulated Annealing

8: end while 9: end function

Accepts a move if it improves the objective value, and accepts some "bad" moves given some probability depending on the current objective value. Converges to a global optimum; in practice, it can give excellent results.

1: **function** Simulated-Annealing(problem, Schedule) **returns** a so-

Algorithm 0.2 Simulated Annealing

```
2:
             current \leftarrow problem.INITIAL
     3:
             for t = 1 to \infty do
                 T \leftarrow \text{Schedule}(t)
     4:
     5:
                 if T == 0 then return current
     6:
                 end if
     7:
                 next \leftarrow a randomly selected successor of current
                 \Delta E \leftarrow \text{Value}(current) - \text{Value}(next)
     8:
tiona9:
                 if \Delta E > 0 then current \leftarrow next
                 else current \leftarrow next only with probability e^{\Delta E/T}
    10:
   11:
                 end if
   12:
             end for
    13: end function
```

Evolutionary algorithms

Algorithm 0.3 Genetic Algorithm Pseudocode

 $c \leftarrow \text{random number from 1 to } n$

```
individual
 2:
        repeat
 3:
           weights \leftarrow Weighted-By(population, fitness)
           population2 \leftarrow empty list
 4:
 5:
           for i = 1 to Size(population) do
 6:
               parent1, parent2 \leftarrow \text{Weighted-Random-Choices}(populati
 7:
               child \leftarrow \text{Reproduce}(parent1, parent2)
               if small random probability then child \leftarrow \text{MUTATE}(child)
 8:
               end if
 9:
10:
               add child to population2
           end for
11:
12:
           population \leftarrow population2
13:
        until some individual is fit enough, or enough time has elapsed
14:
        return the best individual in population, according to fitness
16: function Reproduce(parent1, parent2) returns an individual
        n \leftarrow \text{Length}(parent1)
17:
```

1: function Genetric-Algorithm(population, fitness) returns an

 \dots and everything related to Evolutionary algorithms that I covered in class (especially: EVERYTHING about GENETIC ALGORITHM) IGNORE TABU SEARCH

return Append(Substring(parent1, 1, c), Substring(parent2,

Chapter 5: Adversarial Search and Games

(5.1): Game Theory

18:

(c+1, n)

20: end function

(5.2): Optimal Decision in Games You may be asked to solve an adversarial problem by hand using Min-Max and alpha-beta pruning. Ignore section 5.2.2.

(5.3): Summary Go through the chapter summary.

Chapter 6: Constraint Satisfaction Problems

 $(6.1) \colon \mathbf{Defining} \ \mathbf{CSPs} \ \ \mathrm{You} \ \mathrm{may} \ \mathrm{be} \ \mathrm{asked} \ \mathrm{to} \ \mathrm{formally} \ \mathrm{define} \ \mathrm{a} \ \mathrm{constraint}$ satisfaction problem.

(6.2): Constraint Propagation: Inference in CSPs $\,$ Ignore sections 6.2.4 and 6.2.5.

(6.3): Backtracking Search for CSPs Ignore sections 6.3.3 and 6.3.4.

(6.4): Summary Go through the chapter summary.

Chapter 7: Ant Colony Optimization