# Outline

### 1. Motivation

To improve the readers' experience when reading scientific papers

Inconvenient and troublesome to look up on the cited papers when we wish to find out a little more about the cited paper, why is it cited, for what is it cited etc

Interrupts the current reading, especially if it is important for us to know about the cited paper before we can understand what is going on in the current paper we are reading

To create smooth reading experience, no need to "jump" around

# 2. Problem

When we see a citation, it is not immediately clear what the citation is for, or what it is referring to. Where is the cited information?

To gain better insight, might need to read entire paper  $\rightarrow$  time-consuming Example

### 3. Goal

Develop reading tool that improves reading experience, save time

Determine and provide citation provenance  $\rightarrow$  where and what exactly the cited info is  $\rightarrow$  displays the critical info according to current context

To perform this *locating* task accurately

### 4. Related Work

CSIBS (Content-Sensitive In-Browser Summariser)

Key features: Provides user a preview of the cited paper.

Context-sensitive  $\rightarrow$  related to citing sentence

Provides paper meta-data: abstract, author names, publishing year

Provides a few sentences in preview  $\rightarrow$  possible locations of cited info

Example

Why study this work?

Similar motivation; Performs similar tasks

Take-aways from this work

The initial study conducted by the authors of CSIBS  $\rightarrow$  there IS a demand for such a feature

How CSIBS display the preview  $\rightarrow$  intuitive, smooth, aids understanding

# 5. Progress So Far

#### (a) Analysis

2 types of citation: General and Specific

Example

Locating Cited info

To determine the type of citation, general or specific

We can safely say that all citations are AT LEAST a general one

Problem becomes determining whether is specific. How? That specific info must be from some part of cited paper  $\rightarrow$  some fragment in the paper

Some ranking system to determine which fragment is the one

if ranking system cannot determine best fragment, probably general Scope of problem

Only to determine type of citations, and its location.

To extract the citations, we use ParsCit  $\rightarrow$  grabs citing sentence, use surrounding words for context

(b) Model problem as search

search query  $\rightarrow$  citing sentence

search domain  $\rightarrow$  cited paper

Drawing; fragments; comparison function

## (c) Tackling the problem

Corpus: ACL Anthology Reference Corpus (ACL ARC)

What? Computational Linguistic papers.

Why? Conveniently provides interlink info  $\rightarrow$  which paper cite which paper. e.g. A01-1001==>A02-1001

For now, work using ACL ARC. in future, to extend to general topics

Baseline

Break cited paper into fragments

Use a common technique for information retrieval tasks: compute Cosine Similarity using citing sentence and fragment, for each fragment  $\rightarrow$  vectors  $v_q$  and  $v_f$ 

Each dimension is a term. Weighted using term frequency (no. of times a term appear in a document)  $\rightarrow$  not accurate because of high frequency common words like "the", "is", "and" Another common technique, TF-IDF

TF - Term frequency, IDF - Inverse Document Frequency

Formula: tf-idf=  $tf \times \log \frac{N}{df}$ 

DF - No. of document containing a term

High DF: Not important term, Low DF: Important term

High IDF: Rare and important, Low IDF: Common and not important

TF-IDF as weight for vectors  $\rightarrow$  emphasize on more important words

Currently has a working tool to compute cosine similarity, testing only a few instances. Why? Need to manually annotate before we can evaluate  $\rightarrow$  What's next

### 6. What's Next

Collect annotations. Why need to annotate?

Current corpus does not provide such info. Need this info, i.e. the correct answer of cited info's location, before we can perform evaluation, and introduce machine learning

Annotation format

How to collect?

**NUS Students** 

MTurk

# NLP Component

Why? still based on term frequencies, needs to have matching terms to get high scores

General not the case the citing sentence contains same terms; Paraphrasing to explain more clearly. One possible option:

identifying location of keywords/keyphrases in cited paper

Why? in general, a paper is cited for its critical info; keyphrases.

2nd:

Analyze the citing sentence

demonstrated  $\rightarrow$  most likely in some System section

showed  $\rightarrow$  can be related to evaluation results