

**Subject:** Discussion

**From:** "Anthony Hanley" <anthony.hanley@utoronto.ca>

**Date:** 2017-02-09 09:31 AM

**To:** "Luke Johnston" <luke.johnston@mail.utoronto.ca>

Hi Luke,

I got through the Discussion but I need to go to class now ... I'll look at the Intro later ...

The Discussion needs work in two general areas:

- Clarity – b/c you wrote the paper you are intimately close to issues of biology and analysis. Very few of your readers will appreciate these issues to the same depth, so you need to present complex issues in as clear and linear a way as possible;
- Advocacy – never lie or fabricate, but also realize that you stand alone in being the best advocate for your own work. There will never be absolute certainty, but if the evidence from your results largely supports your hypothesis, then stand by it, and never inject doubt when it is not required. The reviewers are going to happily do that for you.

See attached.

Tony

Anthony Hanley  
Associate Professor  
Graduate Coordinator, Admissions and Awards  
Canada Research Chair in Diabetes Epidemiology  
Department of Nutritional Sciences  
FitzGerald Building, University of Toronto  
150 College St, room 341  
Toronto, ON, M5S 3E2  
[anthony.hanley@utoronto.ca](mailto:anthony.hanley@utoronto.ca)  
phone: 416-978-3616  
fax: 416-978-5882

— Attachments: —

---

manuscript-V02\_TH\_pt 2.docx

1.1 MB