

HPCSE I

Some C++11

Some C++11 features

- I was asked to explain the new features of the C++11 standard that we're going to use in the class besides the threading library
 - Random number generators in `<random>`
 - `std::function` template in `<functional>`
 - `auto` keyword
 - lambda functions
- Are many students already familiar with these?

Random number generators

- The `<random>` header contains
 - random number generator engines
 - random number distributions
- The distributions are called with the engine as argument to create random numbers

```
#include <random>
#include <iostream>

int main()
{
    std::mt19937 mt;    // create an engine

    // create four distributions
    std::uniform_int_distribution<int>      uint_d(0,10);
    std::uniform_real_distribution<double>  ureal_d(0.,10.);
    std::normal_distribution<double>        normal_d(0.,4.);
    std::exponential_distribution<double>   exp_d(1.);

    // create random numbers:
    std::cout << uint_d(mt)    << "\n";
    std::cout << ureal_d(mt)   << "\n";
    std::cout << normal_d(mt)  << "\n";
    std::cout << exp_d(mt)     << "\n";

    return 0;
}
```

Random number engines in C++11

- Linear congruential generators
 - `minstd_rand0`
 - `minstd_rand`
- Mersenne twisters:
 - `mt19937`
 - `mt19937_64`
- Other generators
 - `ranlux24`
 - `ranlux48`
 - `knuth_b`

Seeding C++ generators

- There are two member functions for seeding
 - simple seeding by an integer:

```
// create an engine
std::mt19937 mt;

// seed the generator
mt.seed(42);
```

- seeding from a seed sequence

```
// create a vector of seeds
int N = ....;

std::vector<int> seeds(N);

// fill the vector, ideally with a true entropy source or (not as good)
// by another generator such as std::minstd_rand
...

// create a seed sequence and use it to seed a generator
std::seed_seq seq(seeds.begin(), seeds.end());
mt.seed(seq);
```

- exercise: seed multiple generators for use with parallel MC program

Distributions in C++11

- Distributions are templated on the type of return values and the parameters of the distribution are passed to the constructor
- Uniform distributions
 - `uniform_int_distribution<T>`
 - `uniform_real_distribution<T>`
 - `generate_canonical<T>` // uniform real numbers in $[0,1)$
- Bernoulli distributions
 - `bernoulli_distribution<T>`
 - `binomial_distribution<T>`
 - `negative_binomial_distribution<T>`
 - `geometric_distribution<T>`
- Sampling distributions
 - `discrete_distribution<T>`
 - `piecewise_constant_distribution<T>`
 - `piecewise_linear_distribution<T>`

Distributions in C++11 (cont.)

- Poisson distributions
 - `poisson_distribution<T>`
 - `exponential_distribution<T>`
 - `gamma_distribution<T>`
 - `weibull_distribution<T>`
 - `extreme_value_distribution<T>`
- Normal distributions
 - `normal_distribution<T>`
 - `lognormal_distribution<T>`
 - `chi_squared_distribution<T>`
 - `cauchy_distribution<T>`
 - `fisher_f_distribution<T>`
 - `student_t_distribution<T>`

std::function



Doug Gregor

- A runtime polymorphic function object constructible from any compatible
 - function pointers
 - member function pointers
 - function object
 - lambda functions
- Great for callbacks, collections of callbacks, and threading
- Declaration of the result and argument types
 - `std::function<Result(Arg1,Arg2,Arg3)>`
- Our example use:

```
double simpson(std::function<double(double)> f,  
               double a, double b, unsigned int N)
```


auto

- The new **auto** keyword tells C++11 to deduce the type of a variable from the initializer argument:

```
auto x = 3.141+5;  
auto y = call_to_function_with_horrible_return_type();
```

- It saves complicated typing of types:

```
#include <iostream>  
#include <functional>  
  
int f(int x) { return x+1;}  
int main()  
{  
    // function pointer  
    int (*p1)(int) = f;  
  
    // easier function pointer with auto  
    auto p2 =f;  
  
    // or here we could just have used std::function  
    std::function<int(int)> p3=f;  
  
    std::cout << (*p1)(42) << std::endl;  
    std::cout << (*p2)(42) << std::endl;  
    std::cout << p3(42) << std::endl;  
}
```


Integrating a function of 2 variables

- Integrate $\exp(-a \cdot x)$ with Simpson over x
- Solution o: a function with two arguments?

```
#include "simpson.hpp"
#include <iostream>

// a function with two variables
double expax(double a, double x)
{
    return std::exp(a*x);
}

int main()
{
    // where do we set a?
    std::cout << simpson(expax, 0., 1., 100) << std::endl;
    return 0;
}
```

- It does not even compile

```
lambda0.cpp:13:16: error: no matching function for call to 'simpson'
    std::cout << simpson(expax, 0., 1., 100);
                   ^~~~~~
./simpson.hpp:7:15: note: candidate function not viable: no known conversion from 'double (double, double)' to 'std::function<double (double)>' for 1st argument;
    inline double simpson(std::function<double(double)> f, double a, double b, unsigned int N)
```


Integrating a function of 2 variables

- Integrate $\exp(-a*x)$ with Simpson over x
- Solution 1: a global variable **ugly**

```
#include "simpson.hpp"
#include <iostream>

// an ugly global variable
double a;

// the function to be integrated
double expax(double x)
{
    return std::exp(a*x);
}

int main()
{
    a=3.4;
    std::cout << simpson(expax,0.,1.,100) << std::endl;
}
```


Integrating a function of 2 variables

- Integrate $\exp(-a \cdot x)$ with Simpson over x
- Solution 2: a function object **cumbersome**

```
#include "simpson.hpp"
#include <iostream>
#include <cmath>

// a function object for exp(a*x)
class expax
{
public:
    // set the parameter a in the constructor
    expax(double a) : a_(a) {}

    // the function call operator calculates the function
    double operator()(double x) { return std::exp(a_*x); }

private:
    double a_; // the fixed parameter a
};

int main()
{
    double a=3.4;
    std::cout << simpson(expax(a),0.,1.,100) << std::endl;
}
```

Integrating a function of 2 variables

- Integrate $\exp(-a \cdot x)$ with Simpson over x
- Solution 3: create a function object using **std::bind** ... better

```
#include "simpson.hpp"
#include <iostream>
#include <cmath>
#include <functional>

// a function with two variables
double expax(double a, double x)
{
    return std::exp(a*x);
}

int main()
{
    using namespace std::placeholders;

    double a=3.4;
    // bind one argument
    // _1, _2, .... are used for unbound arguments of the resulting function
    auto f = std::bind(expax,3.4,_1);
    std::cout << simpson(f,0.,1.,100) << std::endl;
}
```


Better solutions: lambda functions

- Lambda functions are unnamed functions declared inside a statement:

```
#include <iostream>

int main()
{
    // create a function and store a pointer to it in f
    auto f = []() {std::cout << "Hello world!\n";};

    // call the function
    f();
}
```

Better solutions: lambda functions

- Lambda functions are unnamed functions declared inside a statement:

```
#include <iostream>
#include <thread>

int main()
{
    // create a function and store a pointer to it in f
    auto f = []() {std::cout << "Hello world!\n";};

    // call the function in a thread
    std::thread t(f);
    t.join();
}
```


Integrating with a lambda

- Integrate $\exp(-a \cdot x)$ with Simpson over x
- Solution4: create a lambda function

```
#include "simpson.hpp"
#include <iostream>
#include <cmath>

int main()
{
    double a=3.4;

    // create a lambda function
    // [=] indicates that the variable a should be used inside the lambda
    auto f = [=] (double x) { return std::exp(a*x); };

    std::cout << simpson(f,0.,1.,100) << std::endl;
    return 0;
}
```

Integrating with a lambda (shorter)

- Integrate $\exp(-a \cdot x)$ with Simpson over x
- Solution5: create a lambda function (even shorter)

```
#include "simpson.hpp"
#include <iostream>
#include <cmath>

int main()
{
    double a=3.4;
    std::cout << simpson( [=] (double x) { return std::exp(a*x); }, 0., 1., 100) << std::endl;
    return 0;
}
```


The name capture specification

- The `[]` indicate a lambda function, and how variables from the enclosing scope should be used (captured) inside the lambda

<code>[]</code>	Capture nothing (or, a scorched earth strategy?)
<code>[&]</code>	Capture any referenced variable by reference
<code>[=]</code>	Capture any referenced variable by making a copy
<code>[=, &foo]</code>	Capture any referenced variable by making a copy, but capture variable foo by reference
<code>[bar]</code>	Capture bar by making a copy; don't copy anything else
<code>[this]</code>	Capture the this pointer of the enclosing class

nullptr

- Is 0 a pointer or an integer?

```
// overload the function foo
void foo(char*);
void foo(int);

// what is called?

int main()
{
    foo(0);           // calls second foo
    foo(nullptr);    // calls first foo
}
```


Fixed size integer types

- What is the name of the unsigned 32 bit integer type?
- Solution: new C99 / C++11 standard types

	signed	unsigned
8 bit	<code>int8_t</code>	<code>uint8_t</code>
16 bit	<code>int16_t</code>	<code>uint16_t</code>
32 bit	<code>int32_t</code>	<code>uint32_t</code>
64 bit	<code>int64_t</code>	<code>uint64_t</code>

decltype

- Do you remember the type traits for getting the type of a sum?
- Why is this so hard if the compiler already knows it?

```
// this should be int
decltype(5+3) x;

// and here is the traits class
template <class T, class U>
struct sum_type {
    typedef decltype(T()+U()) type;
};
```


Avoiding traits

- Another example
- Why is this so hard if the compiler already knows it?

```
// the type calculation problem
template <class T, class U>
Array<???> operator+(Array<T> const& x, Array<U> const& y);

// was solved by traits
template <class T, class U>
Array<typename sum_type<T,U>::type> operator+(Array<T> const& x, Array<U> const& y);

// is now made easier
template <class T, class U>
Array<decltype(T()+U())> operator+(Array<T> const& x, Array<U> const& y);
```

Suffix return type syntax

- Another example

```
// the type calculation problem
```

```
template <class T, class U>
```

```
??? add(T x, T y)
```

```
{
```

```
    return x+y;
```

```
}
```

```
// can be solved by same traits
```

```
template <class T, class U>
```

```
typename sum_type<T,U>::type> add(T x, T y)
```

```
{
```

```
    return x+y;
```

```
}
```

```
// could be made easier, but this does not compile since x and y are unknown yet
```

```
template <class T, class U>
```

```
decltype(x+y) add(T x, T y)
```

```
// simply declare the type at the end
```

```
template <class T, class U>
```

```
auto add(T x, T y) -> decltype(x+y)
```

```
{
```

```
    return x+y;
```

```
}
```


Simpler loops

- Simplify looping

```
std::vector<int> v;

// lots of typing
for (std::vector<int>::iterator it=v.begin(); it != v.end() ; ++it)
    std::cout << *it;

// ugly indexed access, will not work when changing to list
for (int i = 0; i<v.size(); ++i)
    std::cout << v[i];

// nicer C++11 version
for (int x: v)
    std::cout << x;

// even nicer C++11 version
for (auto x: v)
    std::cout << x;
```