Advanced Microeconomics (QEM) - Problem set 1

Due date: Monday, October 12th (end of day) by email

Problem 1 (1p) Problem 3.B.2 from MGW

Problem 2 (1p) Problem 3.B.3 from MGW

Problem 3 (1p) Problem 2.4.12 (points 1,2 and 5) from the Lecture Notes.

Problem 4 (1p) Problem 2.4.14 from the Lecture Notes.

Problem 5 (3p) Consider household with preferences given by:

$$u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \beta^{t-1} \ln(x_t),$$

with $\beta \in (0,1)$ facing prices p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_T and income w (that has to be used during the whole T-period lifetime).

- 1. find demand (optimal consumptions path)
- 2. is consumption increasing, decreasing or constant with time? how does parameter β influence demand x_1 ?
- 3. find the value function (indirect utility function)
- 4. write expenditure minimization problem and find Hicksian demand
- 5. is Hicksian demand increasing, decreasing or constant with time? how does parameter β influence Hicksian demand h_1 ?
- 6. find the expenditure function

Problem 6 (5.5p) Consider the following utility function, called CES (constant elasticity of substitution function):

$$u(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^{\rho} + x_2^{\rho})^{1/\rho}$$
, where $0 \neq \rho < 1$.

This function is obviously strictly increasing. For the above CES function:

- 1. Show that u is strictly quasiconcave.
- 2. Formulate the Utility Maximization Problem and solve it to derive demand $d_1(p, w)$ and $d_2(p, w)$ [You may assume interior solution and Walras law which follows from strict monotonicity of $u(\cdot)$].
- 3. Form the indirect utility function v(p, w).
- 4. Show that the indirect utility function is:
 - (a) homogeneous of degree zero in (p, w),
 - (b) increasing in w and decreasing in p.
- 5. Verify the Roy's identity.
- 6. Formulate the Expenditure Minimization Problem and solve it to derive Hicksian demand $h(p,\underline{u})$ [You may assume interior solution and no excess utility which follows from continuity of $u(\cdot)$]
- 7. Form the expenditure function $e(p, \underline{u})$.
- 8. Verify that the expenditure function is concave in p.
- 9. Verify the Shephard's lemma.