

Problem 1 (2p) Consider a symmetric 2×2 game as analyzed in class:

	A	B
A	0, 0	2, 1
B	1, 2	0, 0

We have argued that there is a evolutionary stable mixed strategy $p = (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$. Show formally that it is so.

Problem 2 (3p) Consider a Cournot model with homogeneous product and n firms, with the inverse demand $P(Q)$ and total production cost $C(q_i)$. We have argued in class that typically (e.g. $P(Q) = A - BQ$) it is a submodular game, as the best responses map is decreasing.

In this exercise you will realize that, when looking for symmetric Nash equilibria in Cournot game, with a particular change of variables, we can defined increasing best responses and apply Tarski fixed point theorem for existence of NE.

So let $n = 2, 3, \dots, n$ firms produce y each. We can rewrite the optimization problem of firm 1 as choosing the total supply z , i.e. if 1 produces $z - (n - 1)y$ then:

$$\Pi(z, y) = (z - (n - 1)y)P(z) - C(z - (n - 1)y).$$

- State conditions on P and C , such that Π has increasing differences in (z, y) .
- Denote a best response, i.e. the argument maximizing profit with $z^*(y)$. Now consider a function $F(y) = \frac{n-1}{n}z^*(y)$. Show how fixed points of F , say y^* correspond to the symmetric Nash equilibrium production levels of the original Cournot game (i.e. without change of variables)?

Problem 3 (2p) Consider a second-price auction for a single good: given bids profile, the good is assigned to the individual with the highest bid, however, the price paid by that individual is the second-highest bid. Assume players have private information about their valuations. The prior distribution of each v_i is $\text{Unif}[0, V]$ and they are i.i.d.

- Propose a symmetric (meaning all the players choose ex-ante the same strategy: $s(v_i)$) BNE of the game
- Are there any other BNE?
- Suppose there is a minimum bid \underline{b} that the players must submit in order to participate (note: this is not a participation fee, no cost is borne if the player's bid is not maximal). If only one player participates, the second-price is \underline{b} . What is the BNE now?

Problem 4 (3p) Consider a game of public good provision: each of the two players can contribute at a private cost c_i or not contribute (at zero cost). The good is provided if at least one of the players contribute and brings utility 1 (minus cost, if any) to everyone. The players cost (=type) is i.i.d. and drawn from $\text{Unif}[0, 2]$ distribution.

- Write the game in a matrix form and define a Bayesian Nash Equilibrium of the game
- Prove that in any BNE the strategies $s_i(c_i)$ must be cutoff strategies i.e.

$$s_i(c_i) = \begin{cases} \text{Contribute} & \text{if } c < \bar{c} \\ \text{Not} & \text{if } c > \bar{c} \end{cases}$$

- Find the cutoffs \bar{c} that define a BNE. Is the equilibrium unique?
- Can any of the strategies $s_i(c_i)$ be removed as dominated? What would be the result of the process?