

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
SENG 5852

Continuous Integration, Delivery, & Deployment: Transforming the Software Industry

OUTLINE

LUE XIONG

March 26, 2019

1 Introduction

1.1 Thesis Statement

The software industry is transforming at a rapid pace to accommodate the dynamic nature of the market and as a result, it continues to struggle to find process-identity with continuous software engineering.

1.2 Purpose Statement

Software engineering has for two decades, experimented with the concept of distributing software in faster release cycles; endeavoring to do so without sacrificing reliability and security. To achieve such a goal, there has been a widespread movement in the technical community to advocate for using Agile practices, and in particular: continuous integration, delivery, and deployment. The traditional methods of software development no longer meet the need of businesses that – now more than ever – want to proactively engage and retain their customers. The organizational transition to Agile practices demands a large mentality change and require individuals to recognize software as incremental features developed with cross-collaboration of small comprehensive team units, as opposed to large modules developed by siloed units.

2 Body

2.1 What is Continuous Integration, Delivery, & Deployment

2.1.1 Inherently Agile

- What is Agile?
 -
- What are the core ideas of Agile?
 -
- How does Agile tie in with CI/CDE/CD?
 -

2.1.2 Continuous Integration

- What does CI mean?
 -

2.1.3 Continuous Delivery

- What does CDE mean?

–

2.1.4 Continuous Deployment

- What does CD mean?

–

2.2 Differences of Interpretation & Implementation

2.2.1 Viewpoint of Software Professionals

- How do software professionals interpret and implement CI/CD/CDE?

–

2.2.2 Viewpoint of Academic Researchers

- How do academic researchers interpret and believe how CI/CD/CDE should be implemented?

–

2.2.3 Collaboration Effort

- What effort is there to bridge the phenomena of non-collaboration between developers and researchers?

–

2.3 Benefits of Continuous Integration, Delivery, & Deployment

2.3.1 Self-healing Systems

- What are the metrics and tools that software professionals use to mitigate having to manually fix software issues?

–

- How do these self-healing systems work?

–

2.3.2 Reduce Risk

- How does continuous software engineering reduces risk in systems?

–

2.3.3 Faster Release Cycles

- How are faster release cycles are achieved?

–

2.3.4 Overall Cost Reduction

- Why will all of the above will reduce cost?

–

2.4 Struggles of Traceability

2.4.1 Importance

- What is the importance of traceability for the software engineering community?

–

2.4.2 Problem of Mapping

- What is the problem of mapping requirements to implemented code and the converse?

–

2.4.3 Eiffel Framework

- What is the proposed solution to address traceability issues in CI/CDE/CD environments?

–

2.5 Transition an Agile Environment

2.5.1 The Effect of Organizational Change to Agile

- What are the problems that businesses face in attempt to switch to Agile practices?

–

2.5.2 Roles in Agile

- What are typical roles that each individual plays in an Agile environment?

–

- Why do these roles exist?

–

2.5.3 Paradigm Shift in Leadership

- How has leadership changed as a result of Agile?

–

3 Conclusion

3.1 Rephrase Thesis Statement

3.2 Closing Statement

4 Bibliography

References

- [1] Atkinson, B., & Edwards, D. (2018). *Generic Pipelines Using Docker: The DevOps Guide to Building Reusable, Platform Agnostic CI/CD Frameworks*. Berkeley, CA: Apress. doi:
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4842-3655-0>
- [2] Meyer, B. (2014). *Agile! the Good, the Hype and the Ugly*. Springer International Publishing. doi:
<https://doi-org.ezp3.lib.umn.edu/10.1007/978-3-319-05155-0>
- [3] Bosch, J. (2014). *Continuous Software Engineering*. Springer International Publishing. doi:
<https://doi-org.ezp1.lib.umn.edu/10.1007/978-3-319-11283-1>.
- [4] Continuous Delivery, Deployment & Integration: 20 Key Differences. (2018, June 04). Retrieved from
<https://stackify.com/continuous-delivery-vs-continuous-deployment-vs-continuous-integration>
- [5] Shahin, M., Babar, M. A., & Zhu, L. (2017). Continuous Integration, Delivery and Deployment: A Systematic Review on Approaches, Tools, Challenges and Practices. *IEEE Access*, 5, 3909-3943. doi:
[10.1109/access.2017.2685629](https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2017.2685629)
- [6] Ståhl, D. (2017). *Large Scale Continuous Integration and Delivery: Making Great Software Better and Faster*. [Groningen]: University of Groningen.
- [7] Ståhl, D., Hallén, K., & Bosch, J. (2016). Achieving traceability in large scale continuous integration and delivery deployment, usage and validation of the eiffel framework. *Empirical Software Engineering*, 22(3), 967-995. doi:
[10.1007/s10664-016-9457-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10664-016-9457-1)