University of Minnesota SENG 5852

Continuous Integration, Delivery, & Deployment: Transforming the Software Industry

OUTLINE

LUE XIONG

1 Introduction

1.1 Thesis Statement

The software industry is transforming at a rapid pace to accommodate the dynamic nature of the market and as a result, it continues to struggle to find process-identity with continuous software engineering.

1.2 Purpose Statement

Software engineering has for two decades, experimented with the concept of distributing software in faster release cycles; endeavoring to do so without sacrificing reliability and security. To achieve such a goal, there has been a widespread movement in the technical community to advocate for using Agile practices, and in particular: continuous integration, delivery, and deployment. The traditional methods of software development no longer meet the need of businesses that – now more than ever – want to proactively engage and retain their customers. The organizational transition to Agile practices demands a large mentality change and require individuals to recognize software as incremental features developed with cross-collaboration of small comprehensive team units, as opposed to large modules developed by siloed units.

2 Body

2.1 What is Continuous Integration, Delivery, & Deployment

2.1.1 Inherently Agile

• What is Agile?

• What are the core ideas of Agile?

• How does Agile tie in with CI/CDE/CD?

2.1.2 Continuous Integration

What does CI mean?

_

2.1.3 Continuous Delivery

• What does CDE mean?

_

2.1.4 Continuous Deployment

• What does CD mean?

_

2.2 Differences of Interpretation & Implementation

2.2.1 Viewpoint of Software Professionals

• How do software professionals interpret and implement CI/CD/CDE?

-

2.2.2 Viewpoint of Academic Researchers

• How do academic researchers interpret and believe how CI/CD/CDE should be implemented?

-

2.2.3 Collaboration Effort

• What effort is there to bridge the phenomena of non-collaboration between developers and researchers?

_

2.3 Benefits of Continuous Integration, Delivery, & Deployment

2.3.1 Self-healing Systems

What are the metrics and tools that software professionals use to mitigate having to manually fix software issues?

_

How do these self-healing systems work?

_

2.3.2 Reduce Risk

• How does continuous software engineering reduces risk in systems?

_

2.3.3 Faster Release Cycles

• How are faster release cycles are achieved?

-

2.3.4 Overall Cost Reduction

• Why will all of the above will reduce cost?

_

2.4 Struggles of Traceability

2.4.1 Importance

• What is the importance of traceability for the software engineering community?

_

2.4.2 Problem of Mapping

• What is the problem of mapping requirements to implemented code and the converse?

-

2.4.3 Eiffel Framework

• What is the proposed solution to address traceability issues in CI/CDE/CD environments?

_

2.5 Transition an Agile Environment

2.5.1 The Effect of Organizational Change to Agile

• What are the problems that businesses face in attempt to switch to Agile practices?

_

2.5.2 Roles in Agile

 What are typical roles that each individual plays in an Agile environment?

_

• Why do these roles exist?

_

2.5.3 Paradigm Shift in Leadership

• How has leadership changed as a result of Agile?

_

3 Conclusion

- 3.1 Rephrase Thesis Statement
- 3.2 Closing Statement

4 Bibliography

References

- Atkinson, B., & Edwards, D. (2018). *Generic pipelines using docker: The devops guide to building reusable, platform agnostic ci/cd frameworks*. Apress. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4842-3655-0
- Bosch, J. (2014). *Continuous software engineering*. Springer International Publishing. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-11283-1
- Continuous delivery, deployment & integration: 20 key differences. (2018).

 Retrieved from https://stackify.com/continuous-delivery-vs
 -continuous-deployment-vs-continuous-integration/
- Meyer, B. (2014). *Agile! the good, the hype and the ugly*. Springer International Publishing. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-05155-0
- Shahin, M., Babar, M. A., & Zhu, L. (2017). Continuous integration, delivery and deployment: A systematic review on approaches, tools, challenges and practices. *IEEE Access*, *5*, 3909–3943. doi: 10.1109/access.2017.2685629
- Stahl. (2017). Large scale continuous integration and delivery: Making great software better and faster. University of Groningen.
- Stahl, D., Hallen, K., & Bosch, J. (2016). Achieving traceability in large scale continuous integration and delivery deployment, usage and validation of the eiffel framework. *Empirical Software Engineering*, 22(3), 967–995. doi: 10.1007/s10664-016-9457-1