

multiplied by x . Then we perform similar operations on columns $m, m-1, \dots, m_1+1$. In the end we have

$$(xE-A) \sim \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 0 & -e & \dots & 0 & 0 & & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & & & \dots & \dots & & & \dots \\ 0 & & & -e & 0 & & \dots & 0 \\ K_{11}(x) & * & \dots & * & K_{12}(x) & * & & * \\ 0 & \dots & & 0 & 0 & -e & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & & & \dots & \dots & & & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & & 0 & 0 & \dots & & -e \\ K_{21}(x) & * & \dots & * & K_{22}(x) & * & & * \end{array} \right).$$

When we operate on rows $1, 2, \dots, m_1-1$ and $m_1+1, \dots, m-1$ in such a way that the resulting matrix has zeros in all places labeled *. Finally we permute the rows and columns and obtain the matrix

$$\text{Diag} \left(e, \dots, e, \begin{pmatrix} K_{11}(x) & K_{12}(x) \\ K_{21}(x) & K_{22}(x) \end{pmatrix} \right)_{m \times m}.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.

We shall call a matrix (4) satisfying properties (5)-(8) a quasicanonical form of the matrix $xE-A$. It has already been pointed out that for matrices in R_m a quasicanonical form is not unique. For example, for the matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ over $R = \mathbb{Z}/4$ the following are quasicanonical forms of the matrix $xE-A$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x-1 & 0 \\ 0 & x-3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x-1 & 2 \\ 0 & x-3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x-1 & 0 \\ 2 & x-3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x-3 & 2 \\ 2 & x-1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x-3 & 0 \\ 0 & x-1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots$$

In the following section we derive conditions which are equivalent to the uniqueness of the quasicanonical form for $xE-A$.

We note that the condition that A is normal is obviously equivalent to the condition that $xE-A$ has a diagonal quasicanonical form. However, as one can see from the above example, even in this case the matrix (4) is nonunique. Nonetheless, as we shall see below, Theorem 4 is sometimes useful in the solution of diverse questions connected with the similarity problem of matrices.

Fitting Invariants and Canonically Determined Matrices

For a matrix $\mathfrak{A}(x) \in R[x]_m$ we define the s -th Fitting invariant to be the ideal $\mathcal{D}_s(xE-A)$ generated in $R[x]$ by all minors of order s of the matrix $\mathfrak{A}(x)$, $s = \overline{1, m}$. These invariants were introduced in [13] for finitely generated modules over a ring (in our case the invariant $\mathcal{D}_s(\mathfrak{A}(x))$ corresponds to the $(m-s)$ -th invariant from [13] for the $R[x]$ -module $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}(x))$).

It is well known that for matrices over a field the system of Fitting invariants of the associated characteristic matrix determines the similarity class of the original matrix. For matrices in R_m this is not the case. We can only say that if the matrices $A, B \in R_m$ are similar then

$$\mathcal{D}_s(xE-A) = \mathcal{D}_s(xE-B), \quad s = \overline{1, m}. \quad (13)$$

It follows, for example, from Theorems 2 and 3 and the results of [13, Sec. 1]. At the same time, the Fitting invariants of the matrix $A \in R_m$ contain a fair amount of information about the matrix $A \in R_m$: sometimes it is possible to use them to establish that the matrix is normal (cf. Sec. 4, Remark 1 to Theorem 11), and sometimes they completely determine the similarity class of A .

THEOREM 5. For a matrix $A \in R_m$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $(xE-A) \sim \text{Diag}(K_1(x), \dots, K_m(x))$, where $K_1(x), \dots, K_m(x)$ are monic polynomials $K_i(x) | K_{i+1}(x)$ for $i = \overline{1, m-1}$.
- (b) There exists a unique quasicanonical matrix which is equivalent to $xE-A$.
- (c) All the Fitting invariants of the matrix $xE-A$ are principal ideals.