

Integrals, partitions and MacMahon's Theorem

George Andrews*, Henrik Eriksson, Fedor Petrov[†] and Dan Romik[‡]

January 23, 2006

Abstract

In two previous papers, the study of partitions with short sequences has been developed both for its intrinsic interest and for a variety of applications. The object of this paper is to extend that study in various ways. First, the relationship of partitions with no consecutive integers to a theorem of MacMahon and mock theta functions is explored independently. Secondly, we derive in a succinct manner a relevant definite integral related to the asymptotic enumeration of partitions with short sequences. Finally, we provide the generating function for partitions with no sequences of length K and part exceeding N .

1 Introduction

In his classic two volume work, *Combinatory Analysis* [5], P.A. MacMahon devotes Chapter IV of Volume 2 to "Partitions Without Sequences". His object in this chapter is to make a thorough study of partitions in which no consecutive integers (i.e. integers that differ by 1) occur. He concludes this chapter with what we will call MacMahon's Theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *The number of partitions of an integer N into parts $\not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$ equals the number of partitions of N with no consecutive integers as summands and no ones.*

*Research partially supported by NSF grant DMS.

[†]Research supported by grants CRDF RUM1-2622-CT04, NSh2251-2003.1.

[‡]Research supported by NSF-FRG Grant #0244479

For example, for $n = 10$, the first set of partitions is $10, 8 + 2, 6 + 4, 6 + 2 + 2, 4 + 4 + 2, 4 + 3 + 3, 4 + 2 + 2 + 2, 3 + 3 + 2 + 2, 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2$; the second set is $10, 8 + 2, 7 + 3, 6 + 3, 6 + 4, 6 + 2 + 2, 5 + 5, 4 + 4 + 2, 4 + 2 + 2 + 2, 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2$. The fact that each set of partitions has the same number of elements (in this case 9), is MacMahon's assertion.

In two previous papers [2, 4], MacMahon's ideas have been generalized to the consideration of partitions in which sequences of consecutive integers have been restricted to contain fewer than k terms (MacMahon only dealt with $k = 2$).

In Section 2 of this paper we shall explore in detail various aspects of MacMahon's work in [5; Vol. II, Ch. IV]. In Section 3 we discuss the generalization to partitions without k consecutive parts: First, we obtain a new and simplified proof of the Holroyd-Liggett-Romik definite integral that was used in [4] to obtain results on the asymptotic enumeration of these classes of partitions. Secondly, we strengthen the results of [2] by obtaining a double series representation of the generating function for partitions in which each part is $\leq N$ and sequences of consecutive integers have length less than k . Finally, Section 4 contains some remarks on a probabilistic interpretation of the mock theta function $\chi(q)$ studied by Ramanujan.

2 Investigation of MacMahon's theorem

We begin with some definitions.

Definition 2.1. *Let*

g_n = the number of partitions of n with no two consecutive parts,
 h_n = the number of partitions of n with no two consecutive parts
and no 1's,

$$G_2(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n q^n, \quad (2.1)$$

$$H_2(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n q^n, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\chi(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - q^j + q^{2j})}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\chi(q)$ is one of the third-order mock theta function studied by Ramanujan [6]; p. 354.

2.1 A bijective proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. By passing to the conjugate partition, the number of partitions of n with no 1's and no two consecutive parts is clearly seen to be equal to the number of partitions of n not containing any part *exactly once*. Here is a bijection between the set \mathcal{C}_n of partitions of n not containing any part exactly once, and the set \mathcal{B}_n of partitions of n into parts congruent to 0, 2, 3, 4 mod 6: If $n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kr_k$ is a partition in \mathcal{C}_n (r_k is the multiplicity of k , or the number of parts equal to k in the partition), $r_k \in \{0, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}$, then each r_k can be written uniquely as $r_k = s_k + t_k$, where $s_k \in \{0, 3\}$ and $t_k \in \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, \dots\}$. Define a partition $n = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jb_j$ by

$$\begin{aligned} b_{6k+1} &= 0 & (k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots) \\ b_{6k+5} &= 0 \\ b_{6k+2} &= \frac{1}{2}t_{3k+1} \\ b_{6k+4} &= \frac{1}{2}t_{3k+2} \\ b_{6k+3} &= \frac{1}{3}s_{2k+1} + t_{6k+3} \\ b_{6k+6} &= \frac{1}{3}s_{2k+2} + t_{6k+6} \end{aligned}$$

This partition is in \mathcal{B}_n , and it is not difficult to check that any partition in \mathcal{B}_n is obtained in this way from a unique partition in \mathcal{C}_n . \square

2.2 A q -series for $G_2(q)$

We give a simplified proof of the following q -series representation for $G_2(q)$, which was stated in [2, eq. (4.2)]:

Theorem 2.2.

$$G_2(q) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^n \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (1 - q^j + q^{2j})}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - q^j)}. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. Again by passing to the conjugate partition, we see that g_n is the number of partitions of n where all the parts except possibly the largest part do not appear exactly once.

Write (2.4) as

$$\begin{aligned} G_2(q) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{q^n}{1 - q^n} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1 - q^j + q^{2j}}{1 - q^j} \right) \right] \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{q^n}{1 - q^n} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (1 + q^{2j} + q^{3j} + q^{4j} + \dots) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of q^N in the n -th summand on the right-hand side is equal to the number of partitions of N with maximal part n , where no part except possibly the largest part appears exactly once. So the coefficient of q^N in the entire sum on the right-hand side is exactly g_N . \square

2.3 The MacMahon-Fine identity

In [2], it was shown that a combination of identities due to MacMahon [5]; Vol. II, p. 52, and Fine [3]; p. 57 show that

$$G_2(q) = H_2(q)\chi(q). \quad (2.5)$$

This identity can be given the following combinatorial interpretation:

Theorem 2.3. *For each integer $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq \sqrt{n}$, let $f_{n,k}$ be the number of partitions of $n - k^2$ in which no part which is greater than k appears exactly once. Then for each $n \geq 1$,*

$$g_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} f_{n,k}. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. From the remark at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 2.2, we can write

$$H_2(q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^{2j} + q^{3j} + q^{4j} + \dots) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^j + q^{2j}}{1 - q^j}, \quad (2.7)$$

(this is an alternative way to prove Theorem 1.1). Now combining (2.5) and (2.7) and the definition of $\chi(q)$ gives

$$G_2(q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k^2} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{1-q^j} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^j+q^{2j}}{1-q^j} \right).$$

The coefficients of q^n in the left- and right-hand side of this equation are clearly the left and right-hand sides of (2.6), respectively. \square

A natural question is whether Theorem 2.3 has a simple combinatorial explanation.

3 Partitions without k consecutive parts

3.1 The Holroyd-Liggett-Romik integral

In [4], the following result concerning the asymptotic enumeration of partitions without k consecutive parts was proved:

Theorem 3.1 (Holroyd, Liggett and Romik, [4]). *Let $p_k(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n not containing k consecutive parts. Then for each fixed $k > 1$, we have as $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$p_k(n) = e^{(1+o(1))c_k\sqrt{n}},$$

where

$$c_k = \pi \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} \left(1 - \frac{2}{k(k+1)} \right)}.$$

The proof of this result relies on a special case of the following family of definite integrals, also proved in [4]: For every $0 < a < b$, a decreasing function $f_{a,b} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ can be defined by $f_{a,b}(0) = 1$, $f_{a,b}(1) = 0$ and $f_{a,b}(x)^a - f_{a,b}(x)^b = x^a - x^b$ in between. In the simplest case $f_{1,2} - f_{1,2}^2 = x - x^2$, we have $f_{1,2}(x) = 1 - x$. Then we have:

Theorem 3.2 (Holroyd, Liggett and Romik, [4]).

$$\int_0^1 \frac{-\log f_{a,b}(x)}{x} dx = \frac{\pi^2}{3ab}.$$

We give here a new and shorter proof of this result. We remark that the proof given in [4], while considerably more complicated, seems to contain more interesting information, see [7].

Proof. The integral in the theorem can be interpreted as a double integral:

$$I_{a,b} := \int_0^1 \frac{-\log f_{a,b}(x)}{x} dx = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x} \int_{f_{a,b}(x)}^1 \frac{dy}{y} = \int \int_D \frac{dxdy}{xy},$$

where D is a symmetric domain bounded below by $y^a - y^b = x^a - x^b$, above by $y = 1$, and to the right by $x = 1$. Bisect it along its symmetry axis $y = x$ and substitute $y = xt$, $dy = xdt$ to get

$$I_{a,b} = 2 \int \int_{D'} \frac{dxdt}{xt},$$

where D' is bounded below by $x^{b-a} = (1-t^a)/(1-t^b)$, above by $t = 1$, and to the right by $x = 1$. Integrating x we get

$$I_{a,b} = \frac{2}{b-a} \int_0^1 \log \left(\frac{1-t^b}{1-t^a} \right) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Finally, if we split the logarithm in two and substitute $x = t^b$ in the first integral and $x = t^a$ in the second, the desired result is obtained.

$$I_{a,b} = \frac{2}{b-a} \left(-\frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{a} \right) \int_0^1 \frac{\log(1-x)}{x} dx = \frac{\pi^2}{3ab}.$$

□

3.2 The restricted generating function

We must now substantially extend the definitions that appear at the beginning of Section 2.

Let

$g_{m,n}(k, N)$ = the number of partitions of n into m parts in which each part is $\leq N$ and there is no string of parts forming a sequence of consecutive integers of length k ,

$$G_k(N; x, q) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} g_{m,n}(k, N) x^m q^n.$$

We note in passing that with regard to the definitions in Section 2,

$$g_n = \sum_{m \geq 0} g_{m,n}(2, \infty),$$

and

$$G_2(q) = G_2(\infty; 1, q).$$

In [2; eq. [2.5]], it was proven that

$$G_k(\infty; x, q) = \frac{1}{(xq; q)_\infty} \sum_{r,s \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^s x^{ks+(k+1)r} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}(r+s)^2+(k+1)\binom{r+1}{2}}}{(q^k; q^k)_s (q^{k+1}; q^{k+1})_r}, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$(A; q)_t = (1 - A)(1 - Aq) \dots (1 - Aq^{t-1}), \quad (A; q)_0 = 1.$$

Our object here is to prove the following result for $G_k(N; x, q)$ which reduces to (3.1) when $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 3.3.

$$\begin{aligned} G_k(N; x, q) &= \frac{1}{(xq; q)_N} \sum_{r,s \geq 0} (-1)^s x^{ks+(k+1)r} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}(r+s)^2+(k+1)\binom{r+1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \begin{bmatrix} N - kr - ks - r + 1 \\ s \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} N - kr - ks \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{k+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix}_t = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } B < 0 \text{ or } B > A, \\ \frac{(q^t; q^t)_A}{(q^t; q^t)_B (q^t; q^t)_{A-B}} & \text{for } 0 \leq B \leq A. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We begin by noting that there is a defining recurrence for $G_k(N; x, q)$. Namely,

$$G_k(N; x, q) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(xq; q)_N}, & \text{if } 0 \leq N < k, \\ G_k(N-1; x, q) + \\ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{x^i q^{N+(N-1)+\dots+(N-i+1)} G_k(N-i-1; x, q)}{(1-xq^N)(1-xq^{N-1})\dots(1-xq^{N-i+1})} \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

This last assertion is easily verified as follows. If $N < k$, then there can be no sequences of k consecutive integers among the parts. Hence for $N < k$, all partitions with parts $\leq N$ must be included and the generating function in this case is

$$\frac{1}{(xq; q)_N}$$

as asserted.

To establish the bottom line of (3.3), we note that among the partitions generated by $G_k(N; x, q)$ there are some in which N does not appear as a part. These are generated by $G_k(N-1; x, q)$. If N does appear as a part, it then lies in a sequence of consecutive integers of maximal length i where $1 \leq i < k$. The portion of such partitions containing only parts in $[N-i+1, N]$ is generated by

$$\frac{x^i q^{N+(N-1)+\dots+(N-i+1)}}{(1-xq^N)(1-xq^{N-1})\dots(1-xq^{N-i+1})},$$

and all other parts must be $< N-i$, and consequently are generated by $G_k(N-i-1; x, q)$. Hence the right-hand side of (3.3) generates precisely those partitions generated by $G_k(N; x, q)$ thus establishing the second line of (3.3).

We now define

$$S(k, N) = (xq; q)_N G_k(N; x, q). \quad (3.4)$$

Consequently $S(k, N)$ is uniquely determined by the recurrence

$$S(k, N) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq N < k, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x^i q^{N+(N-1)+\dots+(N-i+1)} (1-xq^{N-i}) S(k, N-i-1). \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

We now define

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(k, N) &= \sum_{r,s \geq 0} (-1)^s x^{ks+(k+1)r} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}(r+s)^2 + (k+1)\binom{r+1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \begin{bmatrix} N - kr - ks - r + 1 \\ s \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} N - kr - ks \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{k+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

We wish to show that $S(k, N) = \sigma(k, N)$ in order to complete the proof of this theorem. To do this we need only show that $\sigma(k, N)$ also satisfies the defining recurrence (3.5).

Immediately we see that if $N < k$, then the only non-vanishing term of the double sum in (3.6) occurs for $s = r = 0$. Hence

$$\sigma(k, N) = 1 \quad \text{if } 0 \leq N < k.$$

We shall prove the following equivariant recurrence for $\sigma(k, N)$ when $n \geq k$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x^i q^{Ni - \binom{i}{2}} \\ & \times (\sigma(k, N - i) - \sigma(k, N - i - 1)) + x^k q^{kn - \binom{k}{2}} \sigma(k, N - k) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

We now simplify the left-hand side of (3.7).

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x^i q^{Ni - \binom{i}{2}} (\sigma(k, N-i) - \sigma(k, N-i-1)) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x^i q^{Ni - \binom{i}{2}} \sum_{r,s \geq 0} (-1)^s x^{ks + (k+1)r} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}(r+s)^2 + (k+1)\binom{r+1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ q^{k(N-i-kr-ks-r+1-s)} \begin{bmatrix} N-kr-ks-r-i \\ s-1 \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} N-kr-ks-i \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{k+1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + q^{(k+1)(N-i-kr-ks-r)} \begin{bmatrix} N-kr-ks-r-i \\ s \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} N-kr-ks-1-i \\ r-1 \end{bmatrix}_{k+1} \right\} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x^i q^{Ni - \binom{i}{2}} \sum_{r,s \geq 0} (-1)^{s+i} x^{ks + (k+1)r} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}(r+s)^2 + (k+1)\binom{r-i+1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ q^{k(N-i-k(r+s)-r+i+1-s-i)} \begin{bmatrix} N-kr-ks-r \\ s+i-1 \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} N-kr-ks-i \\ r-i \end{bmatrix}_{k+1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + q^{(k+1)(N-i-k(r+s)-r+i)} \begin{bmatrix} N-kr-ks-r \\ s+i \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} N-kr-ks-i-1 \\ r-i-1 \end{bmatrix}_{k+1} \right\} \\
&\quad \text{(having replaced } s \text{ by } s+i \text{ and } r \text{ by } r-i) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q^{Ni - \binom{i}{2}} \sum_{r,s \geq 0} (-1)^{s+i} x^{ks + (k+1)r} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}(r+s)^2 + (k+1)\binom{r-i+1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times q^{k(N-i-k(r+s)-r-s+1)} \begin{bmatrix} N-kr-ks-r \\ s+i-1 \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} N-i-kr-ks \\ r-i \end{bmatrix}_{k+1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^k q^{N(i-1) - \binom{i-1}{2}} \sum_{r,s \geq 0} (-1)^{s+i-1} x^{ks + (k+1)r} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}(r+s)^2 + (k+1)\binom{r-i+2}{2}} \\
&\quad \times q^{(k+1)(N-kr-ks-r)} \begin{bmatrix} N-kr-ks-r \\ s+i-1 \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} N-i-kr-ks \\ r-i \end{bmatrix}_{k+1} \\
&\quad \text{(having replaced } i \text{ by } i-1 \text{ in the second sum.)}
\end{aligned}$$

Now examination of the exponents on x and q reveals that each term in the second sum for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ is the negative of each term in the first sum. Hence all that remains after cancellation is the term $i=0$ in the first sum and the term $i=k$ in the second.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x^i q^{Ni - \binom{i}{2}} (\sigma(k, N-i) - \sigma(k, N-i-1)) \\
&= \sum_{r,s \geq 0} (-1)^s x^{ks + (k+1)r} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}(r+s)^2 + (k+1)\binom{r+1}{2} + k(N - (k+1)(r+s) + 1)} \\
& \quad \times \begin{bmatrix} N - kr - ks - r \\ s - 1 \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} N - kr - ks \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{k+1} \\
& \quad + q^{N(k-1) - \binom{k-1}{2}} \sum_{r,s \geq 0} (-1)^{s+k-1} x^{ks + (k+1)r} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}(r+s)^2 + (k+1)\binom{r-k+2}{2}} \\
& \quad \times q^{(k+1)(N - kr - ks - r)} \begin{bmatrix} N - kr - ks - r \\ s + k - 1 \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} N - k - kr - ks \\ r - k \end{bmatrix}_{k+1} \\
&:= S_1 + S_2 \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now define

$$\begin{aligned}
S_3 &:= x^k q^{N + (N-1) + \dots + (N-k+1)} \sigma(k, N-k) \tag{3.9} \\
&= x^k q^{kN - \binom{k}{2}} \sum_{r,s \geq 0} (-1)^s x^{ks + (k+1)r} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}(r+s)^2 + (k+1)\binom{r+1}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \begin{bmatrix} N - k - kr - ks - r + 1 \\ s \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} N - k - kr - ks \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{k+1} \\
&= q^{kN - \binom{k}{2}} \sum_{r,s \geq 0} (-1)^{s-1} x^{ks + (k+1)r} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}(r+s-1)^2 + (k+1)\binom{r+1}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \begin{bmatrix} N - kr - ks - r + 1 \\ s - 1 \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} N - kr - ks \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{k+1} \\
& \quad \text{(where we have replaced } s \text{ by } s-1). \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

In order to complete the proof of the recurrence (3.7) for $\sigma(k, n)$ we need only show that

$$S_1 + S_2 = -S_3.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_1 + S_3 \\
&= \sum_{r,s \geq 0} (-1)^s x^{ks+(k+1)r} \begin{bmatrix} N - kr - ks \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{k+1} \\
&\quad \left\{ q^{\binom{k+1}{2}(r+s)^2 + (k+1)\binom{r+1}{2} + k(N-(k+1)(r+s)+1)} \begin{bmatrix} N - kr - ks - r \\ s - 1 \end{bmatrix}_k \right. \\
&\quad \left. - q^{kN - \binom{k}{2} + \binom{k+1}{2}(r+s-1)^2 + (k+1)\binom{r+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} N - kr - ks - r + 1 \\ s - 1 \end{bmatrix}_k \right\} \\
&= - \sum_{r,s \geq 0} (-1)^s x^{ks+(k+1)r} \begin{bmatrix} N - kr - ks \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{k+1} q^{kN - \binom{k}{2} + \binom{k+1}{2}(r+s-1)^2 + (k+1)\binom{r+1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times q^{k(N-kr-ks-r-s+2)} \begin{bmatrix} N - kr - ks - r \\ s - 2 \end{bmatrix}_k \\
&\quad (\text{by [1; eq. (3.3.3), p. 35]}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{r,s \geq 0} (-1)^{s+k+1} x^{ks+(k+1)r} \begin{bmatrix} N - k - kr - ks \\ r - k \end{bmatrix}_{k+1} \\
&\quad \times q^{kN - \binom{k}{2} + \binom{k+1}{2}(r+s)^2 + (k+1)\binom{r-k+1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times q^{k(N-k(r+s+1)-(r+s+1)+2)} \begin{bmatrix} N - kr - ks - r \\ s + k - 1 \end{bmatrix}_k \\
&= -S_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $S_1 + S_2 = -S_3$; so the desired recurrence is established for $\sigma(k, n)$. Consequently $S(k, n) = \sigma(k, n)$ for all $k \geq 1, n \geq 0$ which is the result to be proved. \square

4 Further remarks

4.1 A probabilistic interpretation of $\chi(q)$

The mock theta function $\chi(q)$ has an interpretation in terms of conditional probabilities in some probability space. Let $0 < q < 1$, and let C_1, C_2, \dots be a sequence of independent events with probabilities

$$P(C_n) = 1 - q^n, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Define events A and B by

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (C_n \cup C_{n+1}), \\ B &= \bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} (C_n \cup C_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.1. *The following relations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(A|B) &= (1-q)\chi(q), \\ \mathbf{P}(C_1|A) &= 1/\chi(q). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let

$$F(q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^n}.$$

Holroyd, Liggett and Romik [4] proved that

$$\mathbf{P}(A) = \frac{G_2(q)}{F(q)},$$

and by a similar argument it follows that

$$\mathbf{P}(B) = \frac{H_2(q)}{(1-q)F(q)}.$$

Then, using (2.5):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(A|B) &= \frac{\mathbf{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbf{P}(B)} = \frac{\mathbf{P}(A)}{\mathbf{P}(B)} = \frac{(1-q)G_2(q)}{H_2(q)} = (1-q)\chi(q), \\ \mathbf{P}(C_1|A) &= \frac{\mathbf{P}(C_1 \cap A)}{\mathbf{P}(A)} = \frac{\mathbf{P}(C_1 \cap B)}{\mathbf{P}(A)} = \frac{\mathbf{P}(C_1)\mathbf{P}(B)}{\mathbf{P}(A)} \\ &= \frac{(1-q)H_2(q)/(1-q)F(q)}{G_2(q)/F(q)} = 1/\chi(q). \end{aligned}$$

Incidentally, since probabilities are between 0 and 1, we get that for $0 < q < 1$,

$$\chi(q) < \frac{1}{1-q}.$$

□

References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1976 (reissued; Cambridge University Press 1984, 1998).
- [2] G.E. Andrews, *Partitions with short sequences and mock theta functions*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 102 (2005), 4666–4671.
- [3] N.J. Fine, *Basic Hypergeometric Series and Applications*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, No. 27, American Math. Soc., Providence, 1988.
- [4] A.E. Holroyd, T.M. Liggett and D. Romik, *Integrals, partitions and cellular automata* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 356 (2004), 3349–3368.
- [5] P.A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis*, Vol. I and II, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1915, 1916 (Reissued, Chelsea, 1960).
- [6] S. Ramanujan, *Collected Papers*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1927. (Reissued: Chelsea, 1962).
- [7] D. Romik, *Some formulas for the central trinomial and Motzkin numbers*. J. of Integer Sequences 6 (2003), article 03.2.3.

GEORGE ANDREWS
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, USA
andrews@math.psu.edu

HENRIK ERIKSSON
KTH, COMPUTER SCIENCE
SE-10044 STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN
henrik@nada.kth.se

FEDOR PETROV
PETERSBURG DEPARTMENT OF THE RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
fedorpetrov@mail.ru

DAN ROMIK
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
367 EVANS HALL
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CA 94720-3860, USA
`romik@stat.berkeley.edu`