The ranks and cranks of partitions moduli 2, 3 and 4.

George E. Andrews $^{(1)}$ and Richard Lewis $^{(2)}$

- (1) Department of Mathematics, 218 McAllister Building, Pennsylvania State University, Pennsylvania 16802
- (2) School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QH, U.K.

ABSTRACT: We discuss inequalities between the rank counts, N(r,m,n), and between the crank counts,

M(r,m,n), for $m=2,\,3$ and 4 and state three conjectures.

Address of author to whom proofs should be sent:

Richard Lewis

School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QH, U.K.

The ranks and cranks of partitions moduli 2, 3 and 4.

George E. Andrews⁽¹⁾ and Richard Lewis⁽²⁾

- (1) Department of Mathematics, 218 McAllister Building, Pennsylvania State University, Pennsylvania 16802
- (2) School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QH, U.K.

ABSTRACT: We discuss inequalities between the rank counts, N(r,m,n), and between the crank counts, M(r,m,n), for m=2, 3 and 4 and state three conjectures.

§1 Introduction

A partition $\pi = (\pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_{k-1})$ is a finite, (weakly) descending sequence of positive integers (the parts of π). Thus π_0 is the largest part of π . $\#\pi = k$, is the length of π and $w(\pi) = \pi_0 + \pi_1 + \dots + \pi_{k-1}$ is the weight of π . If $w(\pi) = n$, π is a partition of n. In 1944 Dyson [5] defined the rank of a partition, π , by

$$rank(\pi) := \pi_0 - \#\pi$$

and set

$$N(m, n) := \#\{\pi : w(\pi) = n, rank(\pi) = m\}$$

$$N(r, m, n) := \#\{\pi : \mathbf{w}(\pi) = n, \operatorname{rank}(\pi) \equiv r \bmod m\}.$$

Noting that $\operatorname{rank}(\pi) = -\operatorname{rank}(\overline{\pi})$ (where $\overline{\pi}$ denotes the *conjugate* [1, p.7] of π), it follows that

$$N(m, n) = N(-m, n)$$
 and $N(r, m, n) = N(-r, m, n)$.

Dyson observed that several relations appeared to hold among the N(r, m, n), when m = 5 and 7 and his observations; these were shown to be universally valid by Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer [4]. Some 35 years later, Garvan defined the crank for certain vector partitions and he and Andrews subsequently defined

$$\operatorname{crank}(\pi) := \begin{cases} \pi_0, & \text{if } \mu(\pi) = 0, \\ \nu(\pi) - \mu(\pi), & \text{if } \mu(\pi) > 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\mu(\pi)$ denote the number of ones in π and $\nu(\pi)$ denotes the number of parts of π larger than $\mu(\pi)$. Following Dyson's suggestion [5], they set, for n > 1,

$$M(m,n)=\#\{\pi: \mathbf{w}(\pi)=n, \operatorname{crank}(\pi)=m\}$$

$$M(r, m, n) = \#\{\pi : w(\pi) = n, \operatorname{crank}(\pi) \equiv r \mod m\}.$$

We suppose the rank and the crank of the empty partition of 0 are each 0 and that

$$M(1,1) = M(-1,1) = 1, M(0,1) = -1 \text{ and } M(m,1) = 0, m \neq \pm 1, 0.$$

So the numbers M(m,n) are the numbers $N_V(m,n)$ defined by Garvan [7,8,9].

We take z and q to be complex variables with $z \neq 0$ and |q| < 1 and we will use the familiar notation:

$$(z;q)_n := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - zq^k),$$

 $(z;q)_{\infty} := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - zq^k).$

For future reference, we note that

$$\frac{1}{(-q;q)_{2n}} = \frac{(q;q^2)_n}{(q^{2n+2};q^2)_n} \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{(-q;q)_{2n+1}} = \frac{(q;q^2)_{n+1}}{(q^{2n+2};q^2)_{n+1}}$$
(1.2)

It is not difficult to see that the generating function of the numbers N(m,n) is given by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} N(m,n) z^m q^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k^2}}{(zq;q)_k (z^{-1}q;q)_k}$$
(1.3)

$$=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{z^{k-1}q^k}{(z^{-1}q;q)_k}$$
(1.4)

and we also have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} M(m,n) z^m q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} N_V(m,n) z^m q^n = \frac{(q;q)_{\infty}}{(zq;q)_{\infty} (z^{-1}q;q)_{\infty}}$$
(1.5)

In (1.3), k marks the size of the Durfee square [1, pp.27,28] of a partition and, in the alternative expression (1.4), k is the size of the largest part. The generating function for the crank (1.5) was given by Garvan [7,8,9]

It is shown in [10] that

$$N(0,2,2n) < N(1,2,2n) \text{ if } n \ge 1 \text{ and } N(1,2,2n+1) < N(0,2,2n+1) \text{ if } n \ge 0.$$
 (1.6)

The proof given in [10] of (1.6) is combinatorial (bijective) in nature and consists of the construction of maps

 $\{\text{partitions of } 2n \text{ of even rank}\} \rightarrow \{\text{partitions of } 2n \text{ of odd rank}\}$

 $\{\text{partitions of } 2n+1 \text{ of odd rank}\} \rightarrow \{\text{partitions of } 2n+1 \text{ of even rank}\}$

that are injective, but not surjective.

Setting z = -1 in (1.3), we see that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (N(0,2,n) - N(1,2,n))q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(-q;q)_n^2} =: f(q),$$

where f(q) is one of the third-order mock theta functions [11]. Thus (1.6) is the statement that the signs of the coefficients of f(q) are $+, +, -, +, -, \dots$ (alternating thereafter), or, equivalently, that the signs of the coefficients in f(-q) are $+, -, -, -, \dots$ (and thereafter all negative).

In fact, (1.6) has a straightforward algebraic derivation which we include, since it foreshadows our later arguments. Setting z = -1 in (1.4), we have

$$f(q) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{q^k}{(-q;q)_k}$$
(1.7)

and so

$$f(-q) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^k}{(q; -q)_k}$$

which, by (1.1) and (1.2),

$$=1-\bigg\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{q^{2k}(-q;q^2)_k}{(q^{2k+2};q^2)_k}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{q^{2k-1}(-q;q^2)_k}{(q^{2k-2};q^2)_k}\bigg\}.$$

The coefficients of the terms of each sum in the brackets are clearly positive and this settles (1.6).

A number of inequalities between the N(r, m, n) and between the M(r, m, n) were found by Garvan [7,8,9] when m=5,7 and Ekin [6] gave some inequalities between the M(r,11,n). Here we establish some inequalities between the M(r,m,n) and between the N(r,m,n) when m=2,3 and 4. We also state a number of conjectures.

$$\S 2 \quad \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{2}$$

The numbers M(r, 2, n) satisfy inequalities that are the reverse of those for the rank (1.6). We prove

Theorem 1. For all $n \geq 0$,

$$M(1, 2, 2n + 1) > M(0, 2, 2n + 1).$$

Proof.

By (1.5), we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (M(0,2,n) - M(1,2,n)) q^n = \frac{(q;q)_{\infty}}{(-q;q)_{\infty}^2} =: g(q), \tag{2.1}$$

say, and we want to show that the coefficient of q^n in g(q) is positive/negative according as n is even/odd. So we need to show that the coefficients of g(-q) are all positive. But

$$g(-q) = \frac{(-q; -q)_{\infty}}{(q; -q)_{\infty}^{2}}$$
$$= (-q; q^{2})_{\infty}^{3} (q^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty}$$

which, by Jacobi's Triple Product Identity,

$$= (-q; q^2)_{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^2}.$$

Since every positive integer is the sum of a perfect square and an odd number, the coefficients of g(-q) are all positive. \Box

$$83 \text{ m} = 3$$

We have no solid facts about the case m=3 and merely present two conjectures. We first note that, setting $z=e^{2\pi i/3}$ in (1.3) gives

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \left(N(0,3,n) - N(1,3,n) \right) q^n = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(1+q+q^2)\dots(1+q^n+q^{2n})}$$
$$= \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2}(q;q)_n}{(q^3;q^3)_n} =: \gamma(q),$$

where $\gamma(q)$ is one of the sixth-order Mock Theta functions [3]. Also, setting $z=e^{2\pi i/3}$ in (1.2) we have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \left(M(0,3,n) - M(1,3,n) \right) q^n = \frac{(q;q)_{\infty}^2}{(q^3;q^3)_{\infty}}.$$

Computer evidence suggests the following:

Conjecture 1. For all n > 0

$$N(0,3,3n) < N(1,3,3n), \tag{3.1}$$

$$N(0,3,3n+1) > N(1,3,3n+1), \tag{3.2}$$

$$N(0,3,3n+2) < N(1,3,3n+2). (3.3)$$

Conjecture 2. For all n,

$$M(0,3,3n) > M(1,3,3n),$$
 (3.4)

$$M(0,3,3n+1) < M(1,3,3n+1),$$
 (3.5)

$$M(0,3,3n+2) \le M(1,3,3n+2), \quad \text{if } n \ne 1,$$
 (3.6)

with strict inequality in (3.6), if $n \neq 4, 5$.

These Conjectures, Conjecture 2, in particular, seem to be related to the Borwein conjectures [2]. We have no proofs of any one of (3.1)-(3.6).

$$\S 4 \text{ m} = 4$$

Setting z = i in (1.3) gives

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(N(0,4,n) - N(2,4,n) \right) q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(-q^2;q^2)_n} =: \phi(q), \tag{4.1}$$

which is one of the third-order mock theta functions [11]. We will prove

Theorem 2.

$$N(0,4,n) = N(2,4,n)$$
, for $n = 2,8,10$ and 26, while, for other n , (4.2)

$$N(0,4,n) > N(2,4,n), \text{ if } n \equiv 0,1 \mod 4,$$
 (4.3)

$$N(0,4,n) < N(2,4,n), \text{ if } n \equiv 2,3 \mod 4.$$
 (4.4)

Proof. Set $\alpha(n) := N(0, 4, n) - N(2, 4, n)$. Then, with $\phi(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha(n)q^n$, we will show that

$$\alpha(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & n = 2, 8, 10, 26, \\ > 0, & n \equiv 0, 1 \mod 4, & n \neq 8, \\ < 0, & n \equiv 2, 3 \mod 4, & n \neq 2, 10, 26. \end{cases}$$

We first note, by expanding the series for $\phi(q)$, that $\alpha(n) = 0$ for n = 2, 8, 10, 26, thus verifying (4.2).

The q-binomial Theorem [1; Theorem 3.3, p.36] states that

$$(z;q)_n = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i z^i q^{i(i-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}$$

and so we have

$$\phi(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{4n^2}(q^2; q^4)_n}{(q^{4n+4}; q^4)_n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{4n^2+4n+1}(q^2; q^4)_{n+1}}{(q^{4n+4}; q^4)_n}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{4n^2}}{(q^{4n+4}; q^4)_n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j q^{2j^2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{q^4} +$$

$$+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{4n^2+4n+1}}{(q^{4n+4}; q^4)_{n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (-1)^j q^{2j^2} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{q^4}.$$
(4.5)

But the coefficients of $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}$ are nonnegative (since $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}$ is the generating function for partitions into n-j or fewer parts all no bigger than j) and (4.5) shows that $\alpha(m) \geq 0$ (≤ 0), when $m \equiv 0, 1 \mod 4$ ($\equiv 2, 3 \mod 4$).

Now the first few terms of $\phi(q)$ are:

$$1 + \frac{q(1-q^2)}{1-q^4} + \frac{q^4(1-q^2)}{1-q^8} + \frac{q^9(1-q^2)(1-q^6)}{(1-q^8)(1-q^{12})} + \frac{q^{16}(1-q^2)(1-q^6)}{(1-q^{12})(1-q^{16})} + \frac{q^{25}(1-q^2)(1-q^6)(1-q^{10})}{(1-q^{12})(1-q^{16})(1-q^{20})} + \frac{q^{36}(1-q^2)(1-q^6)(1-q^{10})}{(1-q^{16})(1-q^{20})(1-q^{24})}.$$

We see that the term $\frac{q(1-q^2)}{1-q^4}$ guarantees that $\alpha(m)>0$, if $m\equiv 1 \mod 4$, and $\alpha(m)<0$, if $m\equiv 3 \mod 4$. The term $\frac{q^4(1-q^2)}{1-q^8}$ means that $\alpha(m)>0$ if $m\equiv 4 \mod 8$ and $\frac{q^{16}(1-q^2)(1-q^6)}{(1-q^{12})(1-q^{16})}$ means that $\alpha(m)>0$ if $m\equiv 0 \mod 8$ and $m\neq 8$. Hence $\alpha(m)>0$ if $m\equiv 0 \mod 8$, the term $\frac{q^4(1-q^2)}{1-q^8}$ guarantees $\alpha(m)<0$ if $m\equiv 6 \mod 8$, the term $\frac{q^{16}(1-q^2)(1-q^6)}{(1-q^{12})(1-q^{16})}$ guarantees $\alpha(m)<0$ if $m\equiv 2 \mod 16$ and $m\geq 18$ and the term $\frac{q^{36}(1-q^2)(1-q^6)}{(1-q^{16})(1-q^{20})(1-q^{24})}$ guarantees $\alpha(m)<0$ if $m\equiv 10 \mod 16$ and $m\geq 42$. So $\alpha(m)<0$ if $m\equiv 2 \mod 4$ and $m\neq 2,10,26$. This completes the proofs of (4.3) and (4.4). \square

Setting z = i in (1.5) we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(M(0,4,n) - M(2,4,n) \right) q^n = \frac{(q;q)_{\infty}}{(-q^2;q^2)_{\infty}} = \frac{(q;q)_{\infty}(q^2;q^2)_{\infty}}{(q^4;q^4)_{\infty}}.$$
 (4.6)

Again, there seem to be inequalities among the M(0, 4, n) and M(2, 4, n) that are periodic mod 4 and computer evidence suggests

Conjecture 3. For $n \neq 5$

$$M(0,4,n) \ge M(2,4,n), \text{ if } n \equiv 0,3 \mod 4,$$
 (4.7)

$$M(0,4,n) \le M(2,4,n), \text{ if } n \equiv 1,2 \mod 4$$
 (4.8)

the inequalities being strict if $n \neq 11, 15, 21$. We have no proof of either (4.7) or (4.8). (In fact, M(0,4,5) - M(2,4,5) = 1, which suggests that this conjecture, if true, may be hard to prove.)

Now we have, by (2.1),

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (M(0,4,n) + M(2,4,n) - 2M(1,4,n))q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (M(0,2,n) - M(1,2,n))q^n = \frac{(q;q)_{\infty}}{(-q;q)_{\infty}^2}$$

and, with (4.6), we have

$$2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (M(0,4,n) - M(1,4,n))q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(M(0,4,n) + M(2,4,n) - 2M(1,4,n) \right) + \left(M(0,4,n) - M(2,4,n) \right)$$

$$= (q;q)_{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{(-q;q)_{\infty}^2} + \frac{1}{(-q^2;q^2)_{\infty}} \right\} =: \alpha(q),$$

say. Now

$$\alpha(-q) = (-q; -q)_{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{(q; -q)_{\infty}^{2}} + \frac{1}{(-q^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty}} \right\}$$

$$= (-q; q^{2})_{\infty} (q^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty} \left\{ (-q; q^{2})_{\infty}^{2} + (q^{2}; q^{4})_{\infty} \right\}$$

$$= (-q; q^{2})_{\infty}^{2} (q^{2}; q^{2})_{\infty} \left\{ (-q; q^{2})_{\infty} + (q; q^{2})_{\infty} \right\}$$

But

$$(-q;q^2)_{\infty}^2(q^2;q^2)_{\infty} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^2}$$

has non-negative coefficients and

$$(-q;q^2)_{\infty} + (q;q^2)_{\infty} = 2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n,$$

where a(n) is the number of partitions of n into an even number of different odd numbers (taking a(0) = 1). Thus

$$\alpha(-q) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n = (1 + 2q + 2q^4 + \ldots)(1 + q^4 + q^6 + 2q^8 + \ldots)$$

has non-negative coefficients. It is easy to see that a(n) > 0 for even n > 2 and it follows that the coefficients of q^n in $\alpha(-q)$ are positive for n > 3.

In just the same way, we see that, if

$$\beta(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(M(2,4,n) - M(1,4,n) \right) q^n = \frac{1}{2} (q;q)_{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{(-q;q)_{\infty}^2} - \frac{1}{(-q^2;q^2)_{\infty}} \right\},$$

then

$$\beta(-q) = \frac{1}{2}(-q;q^2)_{\infty}^2(q^2;q^2)_{\infty} \left\{ (-q;q^2)_{\infty} - (q;q^2)_{\infty} \right\} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n)q^n,$$

where b(n) is the number of partitions of n into an odd number of distinct odd parts (b(0) = 0). We see that the coefficients of q^n in $\beta(-q)$ are positive for n > 0 and we have proved

Theorem 3.

(i)
$$M(0,4,2n) > M(1,4,2n)$$
, for $n \neq 1$,

(ii)
$$M(0,4,2n-1) < M(1,4,2n-1)$$
, for $n \neq 2$,

(iii)
$$M(2,4,2n) > M(1,4,2n)$$
, for $n > 0$,

(iv)
$$M(2,4,2n-1) < M(1,4,2n-1)$$
, for $n > 0$.

If $f(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n$ and $g(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n$ are power series in q, we write $f(q) \leq g(q)$ to mean $a_n \leq b_n$ for all n. We now prove

Theorem 4.

$$N(0,4,2n) < N(1,4,2n), (\text{for all } n \ge 1),$$
 (4.9)

$$N(0,4,2n-1) > N(1,4,2n-1), (\text{for all } n \ge 1),$$
 (4.10)

$$N(2,4,2n) < N(1,4,2n), (\text{for all } n \ge 1),$$
 (4.11)

$$N(2,4,2n-1) > N(1,4,2n-1), (\text{for all } n \ge 2).$$
 (4.12)

Proof.

We note first that

$$1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{2k+1} (-q; q^2)_k = (-q; q^2)_{\infty} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k^2}}{(q^2; q^2)_k},$$
(4.13)

since each of these expressions is the generating function of partitions into distinct odd parts.

We have, by (1.7) and (4.1),

$$\begin{split} 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(N(0,4,n)-N(1,4,n))q^n &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(N(0,4,n)+N(2,4,n)-2N(1,4,n))q^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(N(0,4,n)-N(2,4,n))q^n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(N(0,2,n)-N(1,2,n))q^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(N(0,4,n)-N(2,4,n))q^n \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k-1}\frac{q^k}{(-q;q)_k} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{q^{k^2}}{(-q^2;q^2)_k} \\ &= f_1(q) + \phi_1(q), \end{split}$$

say (where we have written $f_1(q)$ and $\phi_1(q)$ for f(q) - 1 and $\phi(q) - 1$, respectively). To prove (4.9) and (4.10) we must show that the coefficients of $f_1(-q) + \phi_1(-q)$ are negative for $n \ge 1$.

Now

$$\phi_1(-q) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{q^{k^2}}{(-q^2; q^2)_k}$$

by (4.13), and

$$f_1(-q) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^k}{(q; -q)_k}$$
$$= -\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2k-1}}{(q; -q)_{2k-1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2k}}{(q; -q)_{2k}}\right)$$

which, by (1.1) and (1.2),

$$= -\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q^{2k-1} \frac{(-q; q^2)_k}{(q^{2k}; q^2)_k} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q^{2k} \frac{(-q; q^2)_k}{(q^{2k+2}; q^2)_k}\right)$$

$$\leq -\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q^{2k-1} (-q; q^2)_{k-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q^{2k} (1+q)\right)$$

$$= 1 - (-q; q^2)_{\infty} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q^{2k} (1+q),$$

by (4.13). So $f_1(-q) + \phi_1(-q) \leq -\sum_{k\geq 2} q^k$, showing that (4.9) and (4.10) hold for $n\geq 2$. But N(0,4,1)=1 and N(1,4,1)=0, which completes the proofs of (4.9) and (4.10). (4.11) and (4.12) may be proved in the same way, using

$$2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \biggl(N(2,4,n) - N(1,4,n)\biggr)q^n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{q^k}{(-q;q)_k} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k^2}}{(-q^2;q^2)_k}. \qquad \Box$$

References.

[1] George E. Andrews, The Theory of Partitions, Encyclopedia of Math. and Its Applications, vol. 2, G-C Rota ed., Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1976 (reissued: CUP, London,

1985)

- [2] George E. Andrews, On a conjecture of Peter Borwein, J. Symbolic Computation, 20 (1995), 487-501.
- [3] George E. Andrews and Dean Hickerson, Ramanujan's "Lost" Notebook VII: The sixth order mock theta functions, Adv. Math. 89 (1991), 60=105.
- [4] A.O.L. Atkin and P. Swinnerton-Dyer, Some properties of partitions, Proc. London Maths. Soc. (3) 4 (1954), 84-106.
- [5] F.J. Dyson, Some guesses in the theory of partitions, Eureka 8 (1944), 10-15.
- [6] A.B. Ekin, Inequalities for the crank, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 83 (1998), no.2, 283-289.
- [7] F.G. Garvan, Generalisations of Dyson's rank, Ph.D. thesis, Pennsylvania State University 1986.
- [8] F.G. Garvan, Combinatorial interpretations of Ramanujan's partition congruences, Ramanujan Revisited, Academic Press 1988, 29-45.
- [9] F.G. Garvan, New combinatorial interpretations of Ramanujan's partition congruences mod 5,7 and 11, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **305** (1988), no.1, 47-77.

- [10] Richard P. Lewis, The ranks of partitions modulo 2, Disc. Math. 167/168 (1997), 445-449.
- [11] G.N. Watson, The final problem: an account of the mock theta functions, J. London Maths Soc. 11 (1936), 55-80.