# Response to the Reviews and Decision

Title: PAPER TITLE

# Manuscript Reference Number: IEEE TCST-20XX-XXXX

#### **Authors:**

Venkatraman Renganathan Author #2 Author #3 Author #4

Date: December 6, 2019

# Message from the Authors

Dear Editors and Reviewers,

We thank you for your constructive comments, which have allowed us to improve the quality of the manuscript. We have addressed the comments and incorporated your valuable suggestions in the revised manuscript, in particular highlighting the key contributions of this work. The updated contents are colored in blue in the revised manuscript to differentiate with contents in the original manuscript.

We address each comment separately in the following detailed response. The comments we received are boxed, and our responses are written following each comment. All page and reference numbers in our response are based on the revised manuscript, unless otherwise stated. The page and reference numbers mentioned in the reviewers' comments are kept intact and are based on the original manuscript. The references that we used to create our review responses are listed in the reference section in the last page of this response document. We look forward to hearing from you and hope that you find the revised manuscript satisfactory.

#### Sincerely,

Venkatraman Renganathan, Author #2, Author #3 and Author #4.

# Response To Editor

### **Overall Comments**

Place the editor's overall comments here.

# Response

We would like to thank you for collecting and relaying the review responses to us. We are resubmitting the revised manuscript with the aim to highlight the contributions of this work. In particular, we have rewritten the section # to clearly point out the novelties of this work.

# Response To Reviewer #1



Place the overall comments of Reviewer # 1 here.

#### Response

We appreciate your careful review and detailed feedback. Our focus in the revised manuscript was to clearly state the novelties and contributions. We hope that you find the following response satisfactory.

#### **Reviewer Comment**

Reviewer # 1 - First Comment here

### Response

Your Response

#### Reviewer Comment

Reviewer # 1 - Second Comment here

#### Response

Your Response

:

# Reviewer Summary

Reviewer # 1 - Summary if present here

### Response

Your Response

# Response To Reviewer #2

#### **Overall Comments**

Reviewer # 2 - Overall Comments here

#### Response

We would like to thank you for you positive feedback. Your detailed comments have considerably helped with improving the clarity of the revised manuscript.

#### Reviewer Comment

Reviewer # 2 - First Comment here

#### Response

Your Response here. We modified the introduction as

"We further performed simulations in which the topology changes are based on the robots' proximity. Since performance was similar to the results presented here, we do not report the results.

#### **Reviewer Comment**

- Reviewer # 2 - Second Comment here

#### Response

Your Response

### Reviewer Summary

Reviewer # 2 - Summary if present here

#### Response

Your Response

# Response To Reviewer #3

#### **Overall Comments**

Reviewer # 3 - Overall Comments here

#### Response

We would like to thank you for you positive feedback. Your detailed comments have considerably helped with improving the clarity of the revised manuscript.

#### Reviewer Comment

Reviewer # 3 - First Comment here

### Response

Your Response here. We modified the introduction as

"We further performed simulations in which the topology changes are based on the robots' proximity. Since performance was similar to the results presented here, we do not report the results.

#### **Reviewer Comment**

- Reviewer # 3 - Second Comment here

#### Response

Your Response

### **Reviewer Summary**

Reviewer # 3 - Summary if present here

#### Response

Your Response