

# Two steps in Writing

- Progress:
  - Organizing yourself and your thoughts
- Writing:
  - **General stylistic guidelines**
  - Specific (but important) suggestions

# The Writing Process

- Writing should **be part of** the research process
  - It's really hard to “Do The Work” and then “Write It Up”
  - Writing down your glimpse of thoughts
  - Writing on a regular basis helps to pin down the details, and helps to focus your ongoing research
  - Writing about papers you read
  - Keep the advisor aware and remember what you are doing. (memo is important)

# General paper structure

- Introduction
- Motivation
- Main Design
- Performance Evaluation
- Related work
- Conclusion

# Abstract

- 150 – 200 words
- 1 sentences about the problem considered in this paper (and 1 sentences about the specific area/topic)
- 1-2 sentences as to why the problem is interesting (a.k.a important) to study
- 1-2 why other schemes did not solve it politely and objectively
- 1-2 sentences describing main idea
- 1-2 sentences on the evaluation methodology (show effort)
- 1 sentence highlight the key result.

# Section 1: Introduction

- This section is an expanded version of the Abstract
  - WHAT you are talking about
  - WHY Bother
  - Why smart people like you did not solve it (Timing? Trends?)
  - How: you main idea
- One logical way to organize it is to: expand the abstract and replace each grouped set of sentences with a paragraph.

# Section 1: Introduction

- You might add a brief 1-2 paragraph on critiquing related work in general
  - Recommend NOT to talk too much about particular work in this section
- A short paragraph on key contributions and key performance results from the paper
- Do not provide too much details on your design
- It is YOUR job to sell this paper and this Section is critical in setting the reviewer's mindset; you must make them want to read the rest of the paper

# Section 2: Motivation

- Expand the motivation paragraph in introduction to evidences
  - Use data to show why the problem you mention is true or interesting. E.g. sensing irregularity.
  - Use data to show why the assumption you make is true. E.g., radio would not change very quickly
  - Use data to show why previous problems do not work (under the setting you identified)
- Motivation should be different from design/challenges

# Section 3: Main Design Section

- Clear presentation (formal if possible) of problem statement; constraints considered, design goals/objectives
- Clearly the assumptions. Defend your assumption (not just list them)
- Present all formal notations/definitions and acronyms
- Proposed Mechanism(s)
  - This might be split into several sub-sections
  - Build up your work in a manner easy to understand for the reader
- Use of figures AND examples to illustrate concepts is important
- Complex Algorithmic /flowcharts shall be avoid
- Number Important Theorems, Definitions, Equations



# Section 4: Performance Evaluation

- Theoretical Analysis (normally in design part in system paper)
- Empirical (Experimental Analysis)
- Simulation or Programming package based Analysis
- Clearly state:
  - Methodologies used
  - System Assumptions used in the evaluation
  - System parameters that are varied
  - Performance metrics that are studied

# Section 4: Performance Evaluation

- Baselines
  - Naïve design
  - Optimal solution (using exhaustive search)
  - State of art baseline
  - Variations of the schemes you proposed

# Section 4: Performance Evaluation

- Do not think that the user can figure out everything just by looking at the graph or table
- If you are using graphs/tables to present results, the explanations of these are really critical
  - 1 sentence to describe what this experience is about
  - 1 sentence to describe the general trend of results
  - 1 sentence highlight abnormalities in a graph (if any)
  - 1 sentence to choose one data point to explain the difference between your solution and baselines.
- Be objective in this section – if your algorithm fails for some cases, state so – do not gloss over that fact!

# Section 4: Performance Evaluation

- When presenting graphs:
  - Are x-axis, y-axis clearly labeled in the figure and explained in text?
  - Are font sizes readable (by middle-aged people in the least!)
  - Are legends legible in the figure – it is easy to visually differentiate the different plots in a graph?
  - Rule of thumb: around 5 plots per graph is good (for line plots)
  - Use bar graphs, line graphs effectively - try different means and see which one conveys results better
  - Do not Microsoft Excel (my recommendation!) – Use gnuplot, matlab, or other packages
- Summarize the key findings from the performance studies in a paragraph at the end of the Section

# Section 5: Related Work

- Purpose of related work
  - Officially: provide background on your work
  - Implicitly: explain why your work is new
- Taxonomy & Design space coverage
  - Why you are new or different
  - Be cautious about judging/criticizing other's work.
- Finish with a paragraph on WHAT is missing from past work (for e.g., they make unrealistic assumptions, they work only for restricted cases) and how your paper is different from all those.

# Other sections

- Discussions and Future Work
  - You might try to stay ahead of the reviewers by stating your mechanism's known weaknesses and where improvements are possible
  - Do not sweep dust under the rug
- Conclusions/Summary Section
  - This is similar to the abstract – but do not cut-and-paste
- Acknowledgments (Be specific, Be honest, and be generous)
- Bibliography
- Appendices
  - Detailed proofs that are not needed in main section; Detailed tables
  - Conference papers (non-theory) usually do not have app