field of contemplation is the everyday world of the ordinarily troubled (himself, for

Further reading: Ferguson 1996; Freud [1901] 1975; Pile 1996

so. And there are a number of other similar phenomena known by various names. for a time that something is the case which both before and afterwards we know is not which the temporary character is present once more; for in their instance we believe understandable. In addition to all this there are particular sorts of errors [Irrtimer], in kinds of forgetting, one at which we are surprised or annoyed instead of finding it of losing [Verlieren]. Here we have a forgetting which we treat differently from other put something somewhere and cannot find it again, or in the precisely analogous case character is absent – for instance in the case of mislaying [Verlegen], when a person has thus only forgotten it at that particular moment. In a third group the temporary once, or he may forget to carry out an intention, though he remembers it later and has unable to get hold of a name which he nevertheless knows and which he recognizes at however, a permanent forgetting but only a temporary one. Thus a person may be or he may hear wrongly something that has been said to him (a mishearing [Terhören]) manuscript, different from what is actually before his eyes (a misreading [Verlesen]), not notice what he has done. Or a person may read something, whether in print or slip of the tongue [Versprechen]), or he may do the same thing in writing, and may or may instance, that a person who intends to say something may use another word instead (a what are known as 'parapraxes', to which everyone is liable.' It may happen, for hearing. Another group of these phenomena has as its basis forgetting [Vergessen] - not, on the assumption, of course, that there is no organic disturbance of his powers of they can be observed in any healthy person, have nothing to do with illnesses. They are common and very familiar but which have been very little examined, and which, since investigation. Let us choose as its subject certain phenomena which are very ADIES AND GENTLEMEN, - We will not start with postulates but with an

attention, give rise to no more than feeble emotions, and so on. significance in human life. Only rarely does one of them, such as losing an object unimportant kind, most of them are very transitory, and they are without much the fact that [in German] they begin with the syllable 'ver'. They are almost all of an attain some degree of practical importance. For that reason, too, they attract little All these are occurrences whose internal affinity with one another is expressed in

forward the cleverest arguments in support of delusional beliefs which any child could in broad daylight things that are not there, why another person suddenly thinks he is you could make us understand why a person with sound eyes and ears can see and hear that it does really seem gratuitous to waste labour and interest on such trivialities. If field of mental disorders, which require and deserve to have light thrown upon them. universe, as well as within the narrower confines of our minds, so many marvels in the will protest with some annoyance: 'There are so many vast problems in the wide It is to these phenomena, then, that I now propose to draw your attention. But you being persecuted by the people of whom he has hitherto been most fond, or puts

> know how to put our times and interest to better uses.' of another or why a housewife has mislaid her keys, and similar futilities, then we shall do no more than ask us to consider why a speaker at a banquet uses one word instead see were nonsensical, then we should have some opinion of psychoanalysis. But if it can

work to a study of the great problems. That is what I should say in order to retain your including small things to great, one may gain access even from such unpretentious preconception, and if one has luck, then, since everything is related to everything an opportunity for research. If one does so really thoroughly and without prejudice or great problem. One is then often at a loss to know the first step to take. It is more universe and of science have the first claim on our interest. But it is as a rule of very comparatively slight and obscure traces of the person you were in search of? So do not crime, with his address attached? or would you not necessarily have to be satisfied with can only reveal themselves, under certain conditions and at certain times, by quite conspicuousness of what points to them? Are there not very important things which unimportant – the dregs, one might say, of the world of phenomena. But are you not interest, when we deal with such apparent trivialities as the parapraxes of healthy little use to form an express intention of devoting oneself to research into this or that track of something bigger. Furthermore, I think like you that the great problems of the let us under-estimate small indications; by their help we may succeed in getting on the you expect to find that the murderer had left his photograph behind at the place of the declaration of love or a passionate embrace? Or would not a glance, scarcely noticed situations. If you are a young man, for instance, will it not be from small pointers that feeble indications? I should find no difficulty in giving you several examples of such making a confusion in your criticism between the vastness of the problems and the inconsiderable events which have been put aside by the other sciences as being too astray. It is true that psychoanalysis cannot boast that it has never concerned itself with people. promising in scientific work to attack whatever is immediately before one and offers pressure of a hand? And if you were a detective engaged in tracing a murder, would by other people, be enough? a slight movement, the lengthening by a second of the you will conclude that you have won a girl's favour? Would you wait for an express trivialities. On the contrary, the material for its observations is usually provided by the I should reply: Patience, Ladies and Gentlemen! I think your criticism has gone

something, some addition, before it is entirely satisfying. But some of the parapraxes attention. The theory need not on that account be wrong, however; it may merely lack No light is thrown on these small features of parapraxes by the theory of withdrawal of too, can themselves be looked at from another point of view.

and no light thrown on the product of the slip, the phenomenon remains a chance emerges in the slip itself. You will observe that, so long as this question is unanswered we might direct our interest elsewhere and inquire why it is that the slip occurred in make slips of the tongue, and it is only to that question that we have had an answer. But bear in mind that so far we have only asked when – under what conditions – people this particular way and no other; and we might take into account what it is that though we might equally well have chosen slips of the pen or misreading.' We must Let us take slips of the tongue as the most suitable sort of parapraxis for our purpose

event from the psychological point of view, even though it may have been given a physiological explanation. If I make a slip of the tongue, I might obviously do so in an infinite number of ways, the right word might be replaced by any of a thousand others, it might be distorted in countless different directions. Is there something, then, that compels me in the particular case to make the slip in one special way, or does it remain a matter of chance, of arbitrary choice, and is the question perhaps one to which no sensible answer at all can be given?

:

After all, the commonest slips of the tongue are when, instead of saying one word, we say another very much like it; and this similarity is for many people a sufficient explanation of such slips. For instance, a Professor declared in his inaugural lecture: 'I am not 'geneigt [inclined]' (instead of 'geeignet [qualified]') to appreciate the services of my highly esteemed predecessor.' Or another Professor remarked: 'In the case of the female genitals, in spite of many Versuchungen [temptations] — I beg your pardon, Versuche [experiments]....'

The most usual, and at the same time the most striking kind of slips of the tongue, however, are those in which one says the precise opposite of what one intended to say. Here, of course, we are very remote from relations between sounds and the effects of similarity; and instead we can appeal to the fact that contraries have a strong conceptual kinship with each other and stand in a particularly close psychological association with each other. There are historical examples of such occurrences. A President of the Lower House of our Parliament once opened the sitting with the words: 'Gentlemen, I take notice that a full quorum of members is present and herewith declare the sitting closed.'

:

The fact of the parapraxis having a sense of its own seems in certain cases evident and unmistakable. When the President of the Lower House with his first words *closed* the sitting instead of opening it, we feel inclined, in view of our knowledge of the circumstances in which the slip of the tongue occurred, to recognize that the parapraxis had a sense. The President expected nothing good of the sitting and would have been glad if he could have brought it to an immediate end. We have no difficulty in pointing to the sense of this slip of the tongue, or, in other words, in interpreting it. Or, let us suppose that one lady says to another in tones of apparent admiration: 'That smart new hat — I suppose you *aufgepatzt* [a non-existent word instead of *aufgeputzt* (trimmed)] it yourself? Then no amount of scientific propriety will succeed in preventing our seeing behind this slip of the tongue the words: 'This hat is a *Patzerei* [botched-up affair].' Or, once more, we are told that a lady who was well-known for her energy remarked on one occasion: 'My husband asked his doctor what diet he ought to follow; but the doctor told him he had no need to diet: he could eat and drink what I want.' Here again the slip of the tongue has an unmistakable other side to it: it was giving expression to a consistently planned programme.

If it turned out, Ladies and Gentlemen, that not only a few instances of slips of the tongue and of parapraxes in general have a sense, but a considerable number of them, the sense of parapraxes, of which we have so far heard nothing, would inevitably become their most interesting feature and would push every other

consideration into the background. We should then be able to leave all physiological or psychophysiological factors on one side and devote ourselves to purely psychological investigations into the sense — that is, the meaning or purpose — of parapraxes. We shall therefore make it our business to test this expectation on a considerable number of observations.

Notes

- Fehllissungen', literally 'faulty acts' or 'faulty functions'. The general concept did not exist before Freud, and an English term was invented for its translation. The whole of The Psychopathology of Everyday Life (1901) is devoted to a discussion of them and a large number (over forty) of the examples and anecdotes [...] also appear in the various editions of that work. Freud of ten used parapraxes in his didactic writings (as he does here) as the most suitable material for an introduction to his theories. They were, indeed, among the earliest subjects of his own psychological investigations.
- The English syllable 'mis' has a similar sense.
- It is most unfortunate from the point of view of the translator that Freud chose slips of the tongue as his most frequent examples of parapraxes $[\ldots]$ since they are from their very nature peculiarly resistant to translation. We have, however, followed our invariable practice in the Standard Edition and kept Freud's instances $[\ldots]$ rather than replace them by extraneous English ones, $[\ldots]$