TF 502 SIST, Shanghai Tech

Quadratic Programming

Quadratic Programming Problems

Interior Point Methods

Active Set Methods

Boris Houska 13-1

Contents

• Quadratic Programming Problems

Interior Point Methods

Active Set Methods

We are interested in solving quadratic programming problems of the form

$$\min_{x} \ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{g}^T \boldsymbol{x} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{x} \geq \boldsymbol{b} \ .$$

- Hessian matrix $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $H = H^T$
- ullet gradient vector $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- ullet constraint matrix $G \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}$
- ullet constraint vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$

We are interested in solving quadratic programming problems of the form

$$\min_{x} \ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{g}^T \boldsymbol{x} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{x} \geq \boldsymbol{b} \ .$$

- Hessian matrix $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $H = H^T$
- gradient vector $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- ullet constraint matrix $G \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$
- constraint vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$

We are interested in solving quadratic programming problems of the form

$$\min_{x} \ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{g}^T \boldsymbol{x} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{x} \geq \boldsymbol{b} \; .$$

- Hessian matrix $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $H = H^T$
- ullet gradient vector $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- constraint matrix $G \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$
- constraint vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$

We are interested in solving quadratic programming problems of the form

$$\min_{x} \ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{g}^T \boldsymbol{x} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{x} \geq \boldsymbol{b} \; .$$

- Hessian matrix $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $H = H^T$
- gradient vector $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- ullet constraint matrix $G \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$
- constraint vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$

We are interested in solving quadratic programming problems of the form

$$\min_{x} \ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{g}^T \boldsymbol{x} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{x} \geq \boldsymbol{b} \ .$$

- Hessian matrix $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $H = H^T$
- ullet gradient vector $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- ullet constraint matrix $G \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$
- constraint vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$

Quadratic programming problems of the form

$$\min_{x} \ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{g}^T \boldsymbol{x} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{x} \geq \boldsymbol{b} \ .$$

are called

- \bullet feasible, if $F = \{x \mid \mathit{Gx} \geq b\}$ is non-empty
- bounded, if $\exists L>-\infty$ with $\frac{1}{2}x^THx+g^Tx>L$ for all $x\in F$
- convex, if H is positive semi-definite
- strictly convex, if H is positive definite

Quadratic programming problems of the form

$$\min_{x} \ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{g}^T \boldsymbol{x} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{x} \geq \boldsymbol{b} \ .$$

are called

- feasible, if $F = \{x \mid Gx \ge b\}$ is non-empty
- bounded, if $\exists L > -\infty$ with $\frac{1}{2}x^T H x + g^T x > L$ for all $x \in F$
- convex, if H is positive semi-definite
- strictly convex, if H is positive definite

Quadratic programming problems of the form

$$\min_{x} \ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{g}^T \boldsymbol{x} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{x} \geq \boldsymbol{b} \ .$$

are called

- feasible, if $F = \{x \mid Gx \ge b\}$ is non-empty
- bounded, if $\exists L > -\infty$ with $\frac{1}{2}x^T H x + g^T x > L$ for all $x \in F$
- convex, if H is positive semi-definite
- ullet strictly convex, if H is positive definite

Quadratic programming problems of the form

$$\min_{x} \ \frac{1}{2} x^T H x + g^T x \quad \text{s.t.} \quad G x \ge b \ .$$

are called

- feasible, if $F = \{x \mid Gx \ge b\}$ is non-empty
- bounded, if $\exists L > -\infty$ with $\frac{1}{2}x^T H x + g^T x > L$ for all $x \in F$
- convex, if H is positive semi-definite
- ullet strictly convex, if H is positive definite

Sufficient Conditions for Existence of Solutions

Quadratic programming problems of the form

$$\min_{x} \ \frac{1}{2} x^T H x + g^T x \quad \text{s.t.} \quad G x \ge b \ .$$

have

- 1. a solution, if $F = \{x \mid Gx \ge b\}$ is non-empty and compact
- 2. no solution, if $F = \{x \mid Gx \ge b\}$ is empty
- 3. a unique solution, if H is strictly convex

Active and Inactive Sets

Let \hat{x} be a feasible point of the QP

$$\min_{x} \ \frac{1}{2} x^T H x + g^T x \quad \text{s.t.} \quad G x \ge b \ .$$

the set

$$\mathbb{A}(\hat{x}) = \{i \mid G_i \hat{x} = b_i\}$$

is called the "active set" that is associated with the point \hat{x} .

the set

$$\mathbb{I}(\hat{x}) = \{i \mid G_i \hat{x} > b_i\}$$

is called the set of inactive constraints that is associated with the point \hat{x} .

Active and Inactive Sets

Let \hat{x} be a feasible point of the QP

$$\min_{x} \ \frac{1}{2} x^T H x + g^T x \quad \text{s.t.} \quad G x \ge b \ .$$

the set

$$\mathbb{A}(\hat{x}) = \{i \mid G_i \hat{x} = b_i\}$$

is called the "active set" that is associated with the point \hat{x} .

the set

$$\mathbb{I}(\hat{x}) = \{i \mid G_i \hat{x} > b_i\}$$

is called the set of inactive constraints that is associated with the point \hat{x} .

If the QP is strictly convex, there exists a unique solution x^* , an index set \mathbb{A} , and a multiplier y^* such that we have

- 1. stationarity: $Hx^* G^T\lambda = -g$,
- 2. primal feasibility: $G_{\mathbb{A}}x^*=b_{\mathbb{A}}$ and $G_{\mathbb{I}}x^*\geq b_{\mathbb{I}}$
- 3. dual feasibility: $y_{\mathbb{I}}^*=0$ and $y_{\mathbb{A}}^*\geq 0$.

If the QP is strictly convex, there exists a unique solution x^* , an index set \mathbb{A} , and a multiplier y^* such that we have

- 1. stationarity: $Hx^* G^T\lambda = -g$,
- 2. primal feasibility: $G_{\mathbb{A}}x^*=b_{\mathbb{A}}$ and $G_{\mathbb{I}}x^*\geq b_{\mathbb{I}}$
- 3. dual feasibility: $y_{\mathbb{I}}^*=0$ and $y_{\mathbb{A}}^*\geq 0$.

If the QP is strictly convex, there exists a unique solution x^* , an index set \mathbb{A} , and a multiplier y^* such that we have

- 1. stationarity: $Hx^* G^T\lambda = -g$,
- 2. primal feasibility: $G_{\mathbb{A}}x^*=b_{\mathbb{A}}$ and $G_{\mathbb{I}}x^*\geq b_{\mathbb{I}}$
- 3. dual feasibility: $y_{\mathbb{I}}^* = 0$ and $y_{\mathbb{A}}^* \geq 0$.

Let H be positive definite. The matrix

$$\left(egin{array}{cc} H & G_{\mathbb{A}}^T \ G_{\mathbb{A}} & 0 \end{array}
ight)$$

is called the KKT matrix. Important properties:

- 1. The KKT matrix is invertible if and only if $G_{\mathbb{A}}$ has full row-rank.
- 2. If $G_{\mathbb{A}}$ has full row-rank, then the multiplier y^* is unique

Let H be positive definite. The matrix

$$\left(egin{array}{cc} H & G_{\mathbb{A}}^T \ G_{\mathbb{A}} & 0 \end{array}
ight)$$

is called the KKT matrix. Important properties:

- 1. The KKT matrix is invertible if and only if $G_{\mathbb{A}}$ has full row-rank.
- 2. If $G_{\mathbb{A}}$ has full row-rank, then the multiplier y^* is unique.

Contents

Quadratic Programming Problems

Interior Point Methods

Active Set Methods

An Equivalent Unconstrained Problem

The original QP of the form

$$\min_{x} \ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{g}^T \boldsymbol{x} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{x} \geq \boldsymbol{b} \ .$$

can equivalently be written as

$$\min_{x} \frac{1}{2} x^{T} H x + g^{T} x + \sum_{i=1}^{m} I_{-} (b_{i} - G_{i} x) ,$$

where

$$I_i(z) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } z \le 0 \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right\}$$

is an indicator function.

Logarithmic Barrier

The main idea of barrier method is to replace the indicator function I_- by a logarithmic barrier function of the form

$$L_{\mu}(z) = -\frac{1}{\mu} \log(-z) ,$$

where $\mu>0$ is a parameter.

The solution $x^*(\mu)$ of the parametric optimization problem

$$\min_{x} F(x,\mu) \text{ with } F(x,\mu) = \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + g^{T}x - \frac{1}{\mu}\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log(G_{i}x - b_{i}).$$

is called the central path.

• If we have $H \succ 0$, the function F is strictly convex and smooth:

$$\nabla F(x,\mu) = Hx + g - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{G_i^T}{G_i x - b_i}$$

$$\nabla^2 F(x,\mu) = H + \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{G_i G_i^T}{(G_i x - b_i)^2} \succ 0$$

The solution $x^*(\mu)$ of the parametric optimization problem

$$\min_{x} F(x,\mu) \text{ with } F(x,\mu) = \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + g^{T}x - \frac{1}{\mu}\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log(G_{i}x - b_{i}).$$

is called the central path.

• If we have $H \succ 0$, the function F is strictly convex and smooth:

$$\nabla F(x,\mu) = Hx + g - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{G_i^T}{G_i x - b_i}$$

$$\nabla^2 F(x,\mu) = H + \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{G_i G_i^T}{(G_i x - b_i)^2} \succ 0.$$

The solution $x^*(\mu)$ can be optained by applying Newton's method for solving the optimality condition

$$\nabla F(x,\mu) = Hx + g - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{G_i^T}{G_i x - b_i} = 0.$$

If we define $\lambda_i^*(\mu)=rac{1}{\mu(G_ix-b_i)}$, we see that $x^*(\mu)$ minimizes the Lagrangian function

$$L(x, \lambda^*(\mu)) = \frac{1}{2} x^T H x + g^T x + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^*(\mu) (b_i - G_i x) .$$

The solution $x^*(\mu)$ can be optained by applying Newton's method for solving the optimality condition

$$\nabla F(x,\mu) = Hx + g - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{G_i^T}{G_i x - b_i} = 0.$$

If we define $\lambda_i^*(\mu)=\frac{1}{\mu(G_ix-b_i)}$, we see that $x^*(\mu)$ minimizes the Lagrangian function

$$L(x, \lambda^*(\mu)) = \frac{1}{2} x^T H x + g^T x + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^*(\mu) (b_i - G_i x) .$$

Now, we know from duality that

$$L(x^*(\mu), \lambda^*(\mu)) = \frac{1}{2} (x^*(\mu))^T H x^*(\mu) + g^T (x^*(\mu)) - \frac{m}{\mu}$$
 (1)

$$\leq V^* \leq \frac{1}{2} (x^*(\mu))^T H x^*(\mu) ,$$

where V^* is the objective value of the original QP. This analysis confirms that $x^*(\mu)$ converges to an optimal solution x^* for $\mu \to \infty$.

• **Input:** strictly feasible $x=x_0, \ \mu>0, \ \rho>1$, tolerance ϵ

Repeat:

1. Solve the unconstrained optimization problem

$$\min_{x} F(x, \mu)$$
 with $F(x, \mu) = \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Hx + g^{T}x - \frac{1}{\mu}\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log(G_{i}x - b_{i})$

- 2. Update $x = x^*(\mu)$
- 3. Terminate if $\frac{m}{\mu} < \epsilon$.
- 4. Set $\mu \leftarrow \mu * \rho$

• **Input:** strictly feasible $x=x_0, \ \mu>0, \ \rho>1$, tolerance ϵ

Repeat:

1. Solve the unconstrained optimization problem

$$\min_{x} \ F(x,\mu) \quad \text{with} \quad F(x,\mu) = \frac{1}{2} x^T H x + g^T x - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^m \log(G_i x - b_i) \ .$$

- 2. Update $x = x^*(\mu)$.
- 3. Terminate if $\frac{m}{\mu} < \epsilon$.
- 4. Set $\mu \leftarrow \mu * \rho$

• **Input:** strictly feasible $x=x_0, \ \mu>0, \ \rho>1$, tolerance ϵ

Repeat:

1. Solve the unconstrained optimization problem

$$\min_{x} \ F(x,\mu) \quad \text{with} \quad F(x,\mu) = \frac{1}{2} x^T H x + g^T x - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^m \log(G_i x - b_i) \ .$$

- 2. Update $x = x^*(\mu)$.
- 3. Terminate if $\frac{m}{\mu} < \epsilon$.
- 4. Set $\mu \leftarrow \mu * \rho$

• **Input:** strictly feasible $x=x_0$, $\mu>0$, $\rho>1$, tolerance ϵ

Repeat:

1. Solve the unconstrained optimization problem

$$\min_{x} F(x, \mu) \quad \text{with} \quad F(x, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} x^{T} H x + g^{T} x - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log(G_{i} x - b_{i}) \; .$$

- 2. Update $x = x^*(\mu)$.
- 3. Terminate if $\frac{m}{\mu} < \epsilon$.
- 4. Set $\mu \leftarrow \mu * \rho$.

Contents

Quadratic Programming Problems

Interior Point Methods

Active Set Methods

QPs with known active set

If we would know in advance, which set $\mathbb A$ of constraint indices corresponds to the active set at optimal solution, it would be sufficient to solve the equality constrained QP

$$\min_{x} \frac{1}{2} x^{T} H x + g^{T} x \quad \text{s.t.} \quad G_{\mathbb{A}} x = b_{\mathbb{A}} .$$

If H is positive definite and $G_{\mathbb A}$ has full rank, this is equivalent to solving the (invertible) linear equation system

$$\begin{pmatrix} H & G_{\mathbb{A}}^T \\ G_{\mathbb{A}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^* \\ -y_{\mathbb{A}}^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -g \\ b_{\mathbb{A}} \end{pmatrix}$$

QPs with known active set

If we would know in advance, which set $\mathbb A$ of constraint indices corresponds to the active set at optimal solution, it would be sufficient to solve the equality constrained QP

$$\min_{x} \frac{1}{2} x^{T} H x + g^{T} x \quad \text{s.t.} \quad G_{\mathbb{A}} x = b_{\mathbb{A}} .$$

If H is positive definite and $G_{\mathbb{A}}$ has full rank, this is equivalent to solving the (invertible) linear equation system

$$\left(egin{array}{cc} H & G_{\mathbb{A}}^T \ G_{\mathbb{A}} & 0 \end{array}
ight) \left(egin{array}{c} x^* \ -y_{\mathbb{A}}^* \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{c} -g \ b_{\mathbb{A}} \end{array}
ight)$$

Primal Active Set Methods

We assume $H \succ 0$. Primal active set method start with a feasibile initial guess $x = x_0$ and an associated working set $\mathbb A$ and solve the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} H & G_{\mathbb{A}}^T \\ G_{\mathbb{A}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x \\ -y_{\mathbb{A}}^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -Hx - g \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The variable x is then updated by adjusting the line search parameter au such that the next iterate

$$x^+ = x + \tau \Delta x$$

is feasible.

Primal Active Set Methods

We assume $H \succ 0$. Primal active set method start with a feasibile initial guess $x = x_0$ and an associated working set $\mathbb A$ and solve the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} H & G_{\mathbb{A}}^T \\ G_{\mathbb{A}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x \\ -y_{\mathbb{A}}^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -Hx - g \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The variable x is then updated by adjusting the line search parameter au such that the next iterate

$$x^+ = x + \tau \Delta x$$

is feasible.

Blocking Constraints

In order to determine the maximum possible step length we solve

$$\max_{\tau \in [0,1]} \tau \quad \text{s.t.} \quad G_{\mathbb{I}}(x + \tau \Delta x) \geq b_{\mathbb{I}}$$

If we have au < 1 one of the constraint indices in $j \in \mathbb{I}$ causes a restriction on au. In this case we update $\mathbb{A} \leftarrow A \cup \{j\}$, i.e., we add the so called "blocking constraint" to the working set.

Blocking Constraints

In order to determine the maximum possible step length we solve

$$\max_{\tau \in [0,1]} \ \tau \quad \text{s.t.} \quad G_{\mathbb{I}}(x + \tau \Delta x) \geq b_{\mathbb{I}}$$

If we have $\tau < 1$ one of the constraint indices in $j \in \mathbb{I}$ causes a restriction on τ . In this case we update $\mathbb{A} \leftarrow A \cup \{j\}$, i.e., we add the so called "blocking constraint" to the working set.

Removing Constraints

In another situation, we may have $\Delta x=0$. If additionally all components of $y_{\mathbb{A}}^*$ are positive, we have found an optimal solutions. Otherwise, we drop one of the constraints that correspond to a negative component of $y_{\mathbb{A}}^*$ and determine a new step direction.

Summary: Primal Active Set Methods

Start with a feasible inital guess x_0 and working set $\mathbb A$ and repeat

1. Determine a step direction by solving the linear equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} H & G_{\mathbb{A}}^T \\ G_{\mathbb{A}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x \\ -y_{\mathbb{A}}^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -Hx - g \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- 2. If $\Delta x = 0$, there are two cases possible
 - if we have $y_{\mathbb{A}}^* \geq 0$, we have found the optimal solution, terminate.
 - otherwise, update $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A} \setminus \{j\}$ with $(y_{\mathbb{A}}^*)_i < 0$.
- 3. Compute a maximum step length by solving

$$\max_{\tau \in [0,1]} \tau \quad \text{s.t.} \quad G_{\mathbb{I}}(x + \tau \Delta x) \ge b_{\mathbb{I}}$$

If $\tau < 1$ add a blocking constraint, $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A} \cup \{j\}$

Summary: Primal Active Set Methods

Start with a feasible inital guess x_0 and working set $\mathbb A$ and repeat

1. Determine a step direction by solving the linear equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} H & G_{\mathbb{A}}^T \\ G_{\mathbb{A}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x \\ -y_{\mathbb{A}}^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -Hx - g \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- 2. If $\Delta x = 0$, there are two cases possible
 - if we have $y_{\mathbb{A}}^* \geq 0$, we have found the optimal solution, terminate.
 - otherwise, update $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A} \setminus \{j\}$ with $(y_{\mathbb{A}}^*)_i < 0$.
- 3. Compute a maximum step length by solving

$$\max_{\tau \in [0,1]} \tau \quad \text{s.t.} \quad G_{\mathbb{I}}(x + \tau \Delta x) \ge b_{\mathbb{I}}$$

If $\tau < 1$ add a blocking constraint, $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A} \cup \{j\}$

Summary: Primal Active Set Methods

Start with a feasible inital guess x_0 and working set $\mathbb A$ and repeat

1. Determine a step direction by solving the linear equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} H & G_{\mathbb{A}}^T \\ G_{\mathbb{A}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x \\ -y_{\mathbb{A}}^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -Hx - g \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- 2. If $\Delta x = 0$, there are two cases possible
 - if we have $y_{\mathbb{A}}^* \geq 0$, we have found the optimal solution, terminate.
 - otherwise, update $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A} \setminus \{j\}$ with $(y_{\mathbb{A}}^*)_i < 0$.
- 3. Compute a maximum step length by solving

$$\max_{\tau \in [0,1]} au$$
 s.t. $G_{\mathbb{I}}(x + \tau \Delta x) \geq b_{\mathbb{I}}$.

If $\tau < 1$ add a blocking constraint, $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A} \cup \{j\}$.

Dual Active Set Methods

Primal active set methods have the disadvantage that a feasible initial guess is needed. One solution to this problem is to first solve an auxiliary problem to find a feasible guess, but this is expensive in general.

An alternative are so-called dual active set methods, which apply a primal active set method to solve the dual QP

$$\max_{y} -\frac{1}{2} (G^{T} y - g)^{T} H^{-1} (G^{T} y - g) + y^{T} b \quad \text{s.t.} \quad y \ge 0.$$

Here, any start point $y_0 \ge 0$ is feasible

Dual Active Set Methods

Primal active set methods have the disadvantage that a feasible initial guess is needed. One solution to this problem is to first solve an auxiliary problem to find a feasible guess, but this is expensive in general.

An alternative are so-called dual active set methods, which apply a primal active set method to solve the dual QP

$$\max_{y} -\frac{1}{2} (G^{T} y - g)^{T} H^{-1} (G^{T} y - g) + y^{T} b \quad \text{s.t.} \quad y \ge 0.$$

Here, any start point $y_0 \ge 0$ is feasible.