

# A Strategic Framework for a State-of-the-Art Generative Screenwriting Partner: Architectural, Narrative, and Data-Driven Refinements

## Executive Summary: Peer Review and Strategic Upgrade

The provided 2024-level development plan, centered on a HoLLMwood-inspired multi-agent architecture <sup>1</sup>, a fine-tuned DeepSeek-R1 reasoning model, and a LoRA/DPO-based training strategy, represents an outstanding and viable foundation. It correctly identifies the fundamental failures of single-prompt systems in handling the complex, structured, and long-form nature of screenwriting.

However, a technical review informed by recent (2025-era) research in computational linguistics and generative narrative systems reveals three critical, addressable flaws in the proposed implementation. These flaws, if unaddressed, would significantly compromise the model's ability to "think like a story writer" and produce professional-grade, marketable content.

1. **The Task Coupling Dilemma:** The proposed "Writer Agent" is a "coupled" system.<sup>2</sup> It is forced to simultaneously manage creative narrative generation (imagination, pacing, subtext) and rigid stylistic format conversion (Fountain formatting). Recent analysis of this "Task Coupling Dilemma" shows this approach leads to suboptimal performance in *both* tasks.<sup>2</sup>
2. **The Spec Script Data Crisis:** The plan to scrape IMSDB <sup>4</sup> for training data is a significant data poisoning risk. This source almost exclusively contains "shooting scripts," <sup>5</sup> which are technical production documents. Training on this data will teach the AI to make "amateur" mistakes, such as adding camera directions <sup>7</sup>, which are explicitly forbidden in the "spec scripts" the model is intended to write.<sup>8</sup>
3. **The UI Interpretability Mismatch:** The intuitive plan to display the DeepSeek-R1 "thinking" trace <sup>10</sup> to the user is based on a flawed assumption. New research reveals a "striking mismatch" <sup>11</sup>: the raw Chain-of-Thought (CoT) traces that *boost model performance* are often the *least* cognitively interpretable to human users.<sup>12</sup> This UI would likely confuse the user, not empower them.

This report provides the solutions to these flaws by proposing a new, state-of-the-art strategic framework. This 2025-level architecture integrates four key research-backed concepts:

1. **DSR (Decomposed Screenwriting):** A refined agentic architecture that "decouples" <sup>2</sup> the creative "Dramatist" from the technical "Formatter."
2. **NCP (Narrative Context Protocol):** A formal, JSON-based "Storyform" <sup>14</sup> that replaces ad-hoc templates and serves as the "guardrails" <sup>15</sup> and central state object for the entire system.
3. **CFT (Critique Fine-Tuning):** A state-of-the-art, data-efficient fine-tuning methodology <sup>16</sup> specifically designed to train the "Critic" agent.
4. **Decoupled UI:** A new UI model that separates performance-oriented "Machine Traces" from human-centric, generated "Authorial Explanations".<sup>13</sup>

# Part 1: Architectural Validation and Refinement: From HoLLMwood to a Decomposed Framework

This section validates the choice of a multi-agent system but proposes a critical refinement based on the "Task Coupling Dilemma."

## 1.1 Analyzing the "HoLLMwood" Baseline and its Limitations

The adoption of the HoLLMwood framework<sup>18</sup> is a correct and powerful starting point. This multi-agent paradigm, which assigns LLMs to roles like "Writer," "Editor," and "Actors,"<sup>1</sup> has been shown to "substantially outperform strong baselines" in terms of coherence, relevance, and interestingness.<sup>1</sup> It correctly mimics the collaborative human creative process.

However, a deeper analysis of the HoLLMwood paper's own stated limitations<sup>21</sup> reveals gaps that must be addressed:

- **Evaluation Gaps:** The framework's evaluation metrics are "Coherence," "Relevance," "Interestingness," and "Overall Quality".<sup>21</sup> The paper does not explicitly mention "visual storytelling" or metrics for assessing the quality of non-dialogue descriptive elements.<sup>21</sup> This validates the proposed plan's addition of a "Director" agent, as its function (ensuring visual storytelling) is a necessary component not covered by the baseline HoLLMwood evaluation.
- **"Actor" Agent Functionality:** The HoLLMwood "Actor" agents are designed to be "spontaneous".<sup>21</sup> They "act spontaneously based on the current plot, characters' profile and memory" to "enrich the characters and deepen the plots".<sup>1</sup> This is an excellent mechanism for generating authentic, in-the-moment dialogue.

The primary limitation of this "Actor" model is that it is *performative*, not *structural*. It is designed to role-play a single scene effectively but is insufficient for managing a character's *arc*—the complex, often contradictory, and subtle transformation of a character's internal state (their "Need"<sup>22</sup> vs. their "Want") across a 120-page script. A spontaneous role-play cannot guarantee that a character's actions on page 80 are a logical, emotional consequence of an event on page 20. This creates a significant gap in long-form narrative consistency, which will be solved by a stateful "Continuity" agent (detailed in Part 1.3) based on television-style "character bibles".<sup>23</sup>

## 1.2 The Task Coupling Dilemma: A Foundational Flaw in the "Writer Agent"

The proposed plan's "Writer Agent" is tasked with generating "screenplay content," presumably in the final Fountain format. This design falls prey to the "Task Coupling Dilemma," a critical issue identified in the 2025 paper, "Beyond Direct Generation: A Decomposed Approach to Well-Crafted Screenwriting with LLMs".<sup>2</sup>

This research identifies that single-stage (or "coupled") models fail because they are "forced to simultaneously master two disparate skills"<sup>2</sup>:

1. **Narrative Generation:** The purely *creative* task. This requires imaginative expansion, designing a

scene's pacing, emotional depth, subtextual dialogue, and the complex chain of cause-and-effect that drives the story.<sup>2</sup>

2. **Stylistic Format Conversion:** The purely *rigid* task. This requires perfect, deterministic adherence to screenplay formatting rules (e.g., scene headings, parentheticals).<sup>3</sup>

Forcing a single LLM agent to be both a creative artist and a rigid technician splits its "cognitive load." The model's efforts to perfectly format a scene heading (e.g., INT. COFFEE SHOP - DAY) will actively compete with its efforts to be creative (e.g., "how do I show this character is heartbroken without saying they are heartbroken?"). This cognitive interference leads to "suboptimal results" <sup>2</sup>, manifesting as both mediocre, "on-the-nose" creativity and, simultaneously, formatting errors.

The solution, provided by the DSR (Decomposed Screenwriting) framework <sup>2</sup>, is to *decompose* the "Writer" agent into two specialist agents.

### 1.3 A Revised Agentic Framework: The Decomposed Screenwriting (DSR) Model

Based on this research <sup>2</sup>, the agentic framework must be refined. This new split directly solves the Task Coupling Dilemma and elevates the system's creative potential.

- **Agent 1: The "Dramatist" (Replaces "Writer")**
  - **Task:** Pure creative narrative generation.
  - **Input:** A structural, intent-based prompt from the Orchestrator (e.g., "Scene from Storyform X. Goal: John reveals betrayal. Emotional Beat: Shock.").
  - **Output:** Rich, "novel-style prose".<sup>3</sup> This "intermediate representation" is the key. It is *not* a screenplay. It is a specific prose style that focuses *only* on "observable actions and audible dialogue rather than abstract thoughts and emotions".<sup>2</sup>
  - Example Output <sup>2</sup>: "He stared at the cracked photograph, his jaw tight, before slowly closing his eyes." This output is 100% "show, don't tell" <sup>26</sup> and rich in visual subtext.<sup>27</sup>
  - **Training:** This agent will be fine-tuned on datasets for long-form creative generation, such as the "LongPage" dataset, which provides a "hierarchical reasoning architecture" for "complete novel generation".<sup>30</sup>
- **Agent 2: The "Formatter" (New Agent)**
  - **Task:** Deterministic stylistic conversion. This agent is a fast, efficient specialist.
  - **Input:** The "Dramatist's" novel-style prose output.
  - **Output:** A perfectly formatted screenplay scene in Fountain.
  - **Example Output:**

```
$$\begin{array}{l}\text{INT. LIVING ROOM - NIGHT} \\ \text{JOHN (40s) stands, his back to} \\ \text{MARY (30s). He stares at a} \\ \text{cracked photograph in his hands. His jaw is TIGHT.} \\ \text{He slowly closes his eyes.}\end{array}$$
```
  - **Training:** This agent requires only a simple, lightweight LoRA <sup>32</sup> trained on paired data. This data can be created via "reverse synthesis" (deconstructing existing screenplays) <sup>25</sup> or by using a powerful model (like GPT-4.5) to generate thousands of (prose, Fountain) pairs.
- **Agent 3: The "Critic" (Replaces "Editor")**
  - **Task:** Provide high-level, structural, and thematic feedback on the *Dramatist's prose output*

(e.g., "This scene's pacing is slow," "The subtext is too 'on the nose'," "This character's action is inconsistent with their defined 'Need' in the Storyform").

- **Training:** This critical agent will be trained using advanced **Critique Fine-Tuning (CFT)** <sup>17</sup>, a method far superior to standard SFT for this task, as detailed in Part 3.
- **Agent 4: The "Continuity" Agent (Replaces "Actors")**
  - **Task:** State management and long-term character/plot consistency. This agent is the "Character Bible".<sup>33</sup>
  - **Function:** This is a stateful RAG (Retrieval-Augmented Generation) agent. When the "Dramatist" prepares to write a scene, the orchestrator first queries this agent: Retrieve 'John's' backstory, core motivations, relationship to 'Mary', and key events from his arc so far. This retrieved context is injected into the "Dramatist's" prompt. This solves the "performative" vs. "structural" flaw in the HoLLMwood "Actor" model.<sup>21</sup>
  - **Training:** This agent will be fine-tuned on a LoRA built from real-world **TV Series Bibles** <sup>35</sup> and the **Character-LLM dataset** <sup>37</sup>, as detailed in Part 3.

## Part 2: Engineering the "Soul" of the Story: The Narrative Context Protocol (NCP)

This section addresses the flaw in the proposed "Knowledge Base" and replaces "templates" with a formal, machine-readable model for "story" itself.

### 2.1 The Flaw in Template-Based Knowledge (Blake Snyder, Hero's Journey)

The proposed plan's "Knowledge Base" (containing templates for the 3-Act Structure, Hero's Journey, and Blake Snyder's Beat Sheet) is a significant vulnerability. Training an AI on these specific, named templates will not create a "story writing partner"; it will create a "story-template-filling-out-partner." This is a form of *overfitting to a handful of narrative theories*, and it will produce *only* generic, formulaic, and predictable stories.

A real writer does not just follow a template; they understand and apply the underlying *principles* of story physics (conflict, desire, stakes, change). Robert McKee's work, for example, emphasizes the "eternal principles in Storytelling," such as "Story is a metaphor for life" <sup>38</sup> and the power of visual storytelling.<sup>29</sup> Dan Harmon's "Story Circle" <sup>39</sup> is a flexible *process* model for checking a story's completeness, not a rigid fill-in-the-blanks template.

A template-based system is rigid and cannot "think like a story writer" because a real writer knows when to *break* the formula. The system needs to be built on a formal *model* of story physics, not a collection of popular templates.

### 2.2 A Formal Model for Authorial Intent: The Narrative Context Protocol (NCP)

The breakthrough solution is the "Universal Narrative Model" (UNM), also referred to as the "Narrative

Context Protocol" (NCP).<sup>14</sup> This recently developed standard is the exact system required.

The NCP is an "open, standardized JSON schema explicitly designed to transport and preserve authorial intent" across generative systems.<sup>44</sup> It is "agnostic" to the downstream application<sup>15</sup>, meaning it can drive a text generator, an image generator, or a game engine.

The core of the NCP is the **"Storyform,"** which is a "structured register of a story's narrative features".<sup>15</sup> It is a machine-readable JSON file that *formally encodes the story's subtext*.<sup>14</sup> Based on the computationally compatible Dramatica theory of story, the Storyform is composed of key components<sup>15</sup>:

1. **Dynamics:** Capture the author's high-level intent and thematic arguments (e.g., "Tragedy," "Success," "Good vs. Evil").
2. **Storypoints:** Represent the specific, granular sources of conflict and theme.
3. **Storybeats:** The temporal sequencing of events that emerge from the interaction of Dynamics and Storypoints.
4. **Throughlines:** The model explores the story's central "inequity" (the core problem) through four distinct perspectives, such as the **Objective Story (OS)** or "They" perspective.<sup>15</sup>

## 2.3 NCP as the System's "Guardrails" and Central State Object

The NCP "Storyform" is not merely a file in a "Knowledge Base"; it is the **central state object for the entire LangGraph Orchestrator**. The NCP's creators describe it as a "blockchain-for-subtext,"<sup>44</sup> a persistent, machine-readable record of the story's "DNA." Every agent in the system will read from, be constrained by, and (in some cases) update this single JSON object.

The NCP paper explicitly states that the protocol functions as "intent-based constraints" and "broad thematic 'guard rails'" for generative systems.<sup>15</sup> This is the technical solution to "generic plots" and "character inconsistency."

The orchestration flow is as follows:

1. **Initialization:** The user works with an "NCP-Builder" agent to define their story's core components, which generates the storyform.json.
2. **State Loading:** The LangGraph Orchestrator loads this storyform.json as its primary state.
3. **Tasking:** The Orchestrator queries the Storyform and tasks the "Dramatist" agent: "Write the next Storybeat.<sup>15</sup> It must be from the 'Objective Story' <sup>15</sup> perspective and must express the Storypoint of 'Betrayal'."
4. **Verification:** The "Dramatist" generates its novel-prose.<sup>2</sup> This prose is then passed to the "Critic" agent.
5. **Feedback Loop:** The "Critic" agent checks the prose *against the Storyform*: "Pass. The prose successfully dramatizes the 'Betrayal' storypoint." Or, "Fail. The subtext is missing; the 'Betrayal' is stated too explicitly. Recommend revision."

This system "ensure[s] that narrative context and coherence are maintained" even when handling

"unconstrained natural language input" from the user.<sup>15</sup>

## 2.4 Populating the "Storyform" with User-Friendly Structures

The user does not need to be an expert in the underlying Dramatica theory<sup>15</sup> to use this system. The "NCP-Builder" agent will function as a *translator*, mapping common, user-friendly structures *into* the formal NCP schema.

- **Dan Harmon's Story Circle:** The user can fill out the eight simple steps (1. You, 2. Need, 3. Go, 4. Search, etc.).<sup>39</sup> The translator agent will then map these 8 steps to their corresponding Storypoints and Dynamics within the NCP storyform.json.
- **Robert McKee's Principles:** The user can provide their "Inciting Incident" and "Climax".<sup>22</sup> The system uses this to define the central "inequity"<sup>15</sup> and primary Dynamics of the Storyform.
- **Non-Linear Narratives:** If the user wishes to write a non-linear story<sup>45</sup>, the system does not need a new "non-linear" model. It simply re-orders the *temporal sequencing* of the Storybeats<sup>15</sup> in the JSON output. The *underlying structural and thematic logic* (the Storypoints) remains 100% coherent, ensuring the non-linear narrative still feels complete and meaningful, not just chaotic.

## Part 3: A State-of-the-Art Data and Fine-Tuning Pipeline

This section directly addresses the "Spec Script Data Crisis" and provides a state-of-the-art training plan for the specialized agents.

### 3.1 The "Spec Script vs. Shooting Script" Data Crisis (The "Mistake")

The proposed plan to "Scrape 500-1000 screenplays from IMSDB"<sup>4</sup> is a critical, project-threatening error. This is not a matter of opinion; it is a fundamental misunderstanding of the available data. The research confirms that online script databases like IMSDB and Script-o-Rama are overwhelmingly populated with "shooting scripts" or "production drafts,"<sup>5</sup> not the "spec scripts" that an aspiring writer (and this AI model) must produce.

The distinction is critical<sup>7</sup>:

- **Spec Script:** A *persuasive* and *creative* document. It is written "for a reader," not a director.<sup>9</sup> It must flow like prose and *never* include technical jargon, camera direction (e.g., "CLOSE UP," "DOLLY IN"), or shot transitions (e.g., "CUT TO:").<sup>7</sup>
- **Shooting Script:** A *technical* document for *production*. It is created *after* the script is sold and is full of camera angles, scene numbers, and transitions used to coordinate departments on set.<sup>5</sup>

Training the "Dramatist" or "Formatter" agents on a dataset of shooting scripts is a form of *data poisoning*. It will explicitly train the AI to commit the "cardinal sin" of "directing on the page".<sup>7</sup> A professional reader, agent, or producer (the target audience for a spec) would see this technical jargon and instantly reject the script. The AI *must* be trained to write a clean, readable spec.

## 3.2 Curation Strategy for a "Spec-First" Dataset

The system requires a "spec-first" dataset, focusing on "pre-production drafts" <sup>6</sup> or "unproduced" scripts. While finding these is more difficult ("damn slim" <sup>50</sup>), it is not impossible.

### Gold-Tier Data Sources:

1. **The Black List:** The "Go Into the Story" blog is the official blog for The Black List <sup>4</sup>, an annual list of the most-liked *unproduced screenplays* in Hollywood. By definition, these are the highest-quality *spec scripts* available.
2. **Writer's Personal Archives:** Some professional writers share their own work. Prolific writer/director **David Koepp** has posted many of his scripts on his personal site, and he *explicitly* "includes multiple drafts and development materials where available".<sup>51</sup> His archive includes drafts for *Jurassic Park*, *Panic Room*, *Spider-Man* (2002), and *War of the Worlds*.<sup>51</sup> This is a *paired-data goldmine*. One can download both the early *spec draft* and the final *shooting draft* of the same film, creating perfect paired data for training the "Formatter" agent.

**Table 3.1: Screenplay Data Source Curation Strategy**

Data Source	Primary Script Type	Data Quality	Risk	Recommended Use Case
IMSDB <sup>4</sup> , DailyScript, Script-o-Rama <sup>4</sup>	<b>Shooting Script</b> <sup>5</sup>	Low (for this task)	<b>Critical.</b>	<b>Do Not Use for Dramatist.</b> May be used <i>only</i> for "Formatter" <sup>3</sup> in a "reverse synthesis" <sup>25</sup> pipeline.
The Black List (Go Into the Story) <sup>4</sup>	<b>Spec Script (High Quality)</b>	High	Low	<b>Gold Standard.</b> Use for DPO preference pairs <sup>52</sup> and SFT of the "Dramatist". <sup>2</sup>
David Koepp Archive <sup>51</sup> , Javier Grillo-Marxua Archive <sup>51</sup>	<b>Multiple Drafts (Spec + Shooting)</b>	High	Low	<b>Paired-Data Goldmine.</b> Use (Spec, Shooting) pairs to train the <i>Formatter</i> . Use <i>all</i> spec drafts to train the

				<i>Dramatist.</i>
Film Corpus 2.0 [from user plan]	Film Scripts (Unspecified)	Unknown	Medium	Must be manually audited to filter out shooting scripts. Use with caution.

### 3.3 Training the "Critic" Agent: Critique Fine-Tuning (CFT)

The "Editor" agent (renamed "Critic") is the most complex. The plan for a "LoRA" is correct, but the *method* can be dramatically improved. Instead of standard Supervised Fine-Tuning (SFT), this agent should be trained using **Critique Fine-Tuning (CFT)**.<sup>16</sup>

- **CFT vs. SFT:** Standard SFT teaches a model to *imitate* a correct answer.<sup>17</sup> CFT, by contrast, "emphasizes critical thinking by teaching models to critique and analyze responses".<sup>54</sup> It trains the model on (input=[query; noisy response], output=critique) pairs.<sup>17</sup>
- **Why CFT is Superior for this Task:** The "Critic" agent's *job* is not to rewrite the user's scene (imitation); its job is to provide actionable *feedback* (critique). CFT is a perfect match of methodology and task.
- **Data Efficiency:** CFT is *dramatically* more data-efficient. Research shows CFT "consistently outperforms SFT by 4-10% on mathematical reasoning tasks while requiring significantly fewer training samples (50K vs 2M+)".<sup>16</sup> This principle applies here. It is far easier to assemble 50,000 examples of (bad scene, good critique) than 2 million+ examples of "perfect" scenes.

CFT Dataset Creation:

A high-quality CFT dataset can be synthesized:

- query: "Write a tense confrontation scene."
- noisy response: A *bad* scene (e.g., generated by a base LLM, or taken from a script that scored a "4" on The Black List<sup>52</sup>).
- critique: A high-quality critique (e.g., synthesized from professional script coverage<sup>52</sup>, or generated by a "teacher" model like GPT-4o<sup>16</sup>). The critique must be specific: "The dialogue is 'on the nose.' The subtext is missing.<sup>27</sup> The scene fails to 'show, don't tell'<sup>26</sup>; the characters are *telling* us they are angry instead of *showing* us."

### 3.4 Building the DPO Preference Dataset (Aligning the "Critic")

After the "Critic" agent is trained via CFT to *understand* critique, it must be aligned with Direct Preference Optimization (DPO)<sup>60</sup> to ensure its *taste* matches professional standards. DPO requires preference pairs: (chosen\_response, rejected\_response).<sup>61</sup>

### DPO Preference Pair Sources:

1. **Black List Scores:** This is the highest-quality source. Use script coverage examples.<sup>52</sup>
  - chosen: A scene from a script that scored an "8" on The Black List.
  - rejected: A scene from a script that scored a "4" on The Black List.
2. **LiteraryTaste Methodology:** The "LiteraryTaste" dataset<sup>63</sup> provides the exact framework for this. It uses DPO pairs of short creative writing texts. We can build a similar dataset:
  - chosen: A human-written text snippet from a source like Project Gutenberg.<sup>64</sup>
  - rejected: An LLM-generated text snippet on the same topic.<sup>63</sup> This trains the "Critic" to identify and prefer human-like prose, aligning with datasets like jondurbin/gutenberg-dpo-v0.1.<sup>61</sup>
3. **Critique-Derived Pairs:**
  - chosen: A scene *after* a professional writer has implemented feedback.
  - rejected: The original "noisy" scene.

## 3.5 Training the "Continuity" Agent (The "Bible" LoRA)

This agent acts as the "Character Bible"<sup>33</sup> and must enforce long-term consistency. Its LoRA will be trained on two types of data to learn both *format* and *domain structure*.

1. **Baseline (Format):** The **Character-LLM dataset**.<sup>37</sup> This dataset provides SFT data for 9 distinct characters (e.g., Cleopatra, Lord Voldemort) across an average of 1.6K scenes and 13.2 turns per character.<sup>37</sup> This teaches the model the *format* of character interaction, memory retrieval, and maintaining a consistent persona over many "scenes."
2. **Domain (Structure):** Real-world **TV Series Bibles**.<sup>35</sup> This is the key domain-specific data. We must train on both *Production Bibles* and *Pitch Bibles*.
  - Production Bible (e.g., *Battlestar Galactica*<sup>23</sup>): This type of bible is a *technical manual* for the show's writers. The *BSG* bible<sup>23</sup> includes explicit "Character Biographies" (e.g., Lee Adama, Page 16), "Technology" (e.g., FTL, Page 48), and "The Red Line" (Page 49). We can fine-tune the LoRA with SFT pairs: (Input: "What is Lee Adama's backstory?" Output: The "Character Biography" from page 16<sup>23</sup>). This teaches the agent to be a *database of rules*.
  - Pitch Bible (e.g., *Stranger Things* / "Montauk"<sup>69</sup>): This type of bible is a *selling tool* that establishes tone and theme. The *Stranger Things* bible<sup>69</sup> (originally "Montauk") defines the show's *feeling*: "Emotional, cinematic, and rooted in character... a love letter to the golden age. Steven Spielberg and Stephen King -- a marriage of human drama and supernatural fear".<sup>69</sup> Fine-tuning on this teaches the "Continuity" agent to track and enforce *tone, theme, and feeling*, not just hard facts.

The system needs both. The Pitch Bible data helps the user *find* their story's tone, while the Production Bible data helps the user *enforce* that tone's rules once established.

## Part 4: Deconstructing the "Thinking" UI: A

# Human-Centric Interface

This section addresses the critical (but subtle) flaw in the UI plan, which is based on a now-refuted assumption about model interpretability.

## 4.1 The DeepSeek-R1 "Thinking" Trace

The choice of DeepSeek-R1<sup>10</sup> is excellent. It is a reasoning-oriented model, and its Chain-of-Thought (CoT) traces are known to be effective "supervision signals"<sup>11</sup> and "intermediate reasoning traces"<sup>11</sup> that improve final task performance. The plan to *use* this trace as a "supervision signal" for *fine-tuning* is 100% correct.<sup>72</sup>

The flaw is in the plan to *show* this raw trace to the *end-user* in the "Thinking Panel."

## 4.2 The Interpretability-Performance Mismatch (The UI Crisis)

This UI plan is based on an intuitive but incorrect assumption: that the "thinking" a machine uses to get a better answer is the same "thinking" a human wants to read. Recent (2025) research in this area directly refutes this.

The paper "Do Cognitively Interpretable Reasoning Traces Improve LLM Performance?"<sup>11</sup> provides the evidence. The study found a **"striking mismatch"**<sup>11</sup>:

- **Performance:** Fine-tuning on DeepSeek R1-style traces "yields the strongest performance"<sup>11</sup> on reasoning tasks.
- **Interpretability:** Human participants (100 of them) "judged these traces to be the *least* interpretable".<sup>11</sup> The R1 traces were rated as confusing, having "major gaps," and being the most "mentally demanding" to read.<sup>12</sup>

The UI, as planned, will *backfire*. The user, seeking a creative partner, will be presented with a raw, alien, and "cognitively uninterpretable"<sup>13</sup> machine trace. This will *increase* their cognitive load and *decrease* their trust in the system, making them feel like the AI is an opaque black box, not a partner.<sup>74</sup>

The paper's<sup>13</sup> key takeaway provides the solution: we *must* **"decouple intermediate tokens from end user interpretability."** The CoT trace is for *optimizing model performance*, not for *end-user interpretability*.<sup>13</sup>

## 4.3 A Decoupled UI Strategy (The Solution)

The "Thinking Panel" must be rebuilt as a two-tabbed system, following the "decoupled" recommendation.<sup>13</sup>

- **Tab 1: "Machine Trace" (Optional, for debugging)**

- **Content:** The raw, "cognitively uninterpretable" CoT trace from DeepSeek-R1.<sup>11</sup> This is hidden by default, with a warning that it is not a human-readable explanation.
- **Tab 2: "Authorial Explanation" (Default, for the user)**
  - **Content:** This is a *new, separate LLM call*. After the "Dramatist" or "Critic" agent runs, the Orchestrator makes a *second* call to a specialized "Explanation" LoRA: "Explain the *creative choices* you just made in plain, human-interpretable language. Justify your choices using screenwriting principles."<sup>26</sup>
  - **Example Explanation Output:** Instead of a raw CoT, the user sees this:

"I've generated this scene to align with the 'Storyform' <sup>15</sup> Storybeat of 'Betrayal.'

    1. **Subtext:** Instead of having John yell 'You cheated!' (which is 'on the nose'), I used subtext <sup>27</sup> by having him focus on the 'cracked photograph' (a visual metaphor for their relationship <sup>29</sup>).
    2. **Show, Don't Tell:** I followed the 'show, don't tell' <sup>26</sup> principle. His 'tight jaw' and 'closed eyes' <sup>2</sup> *show* his internal state (regret, anger) more powerfully than any dialogue could.
    3. **Pacing:** The short, quiet line ('You didn't come home.') creates more tension than a long speech."
    - **Training this LoRA:** This "Authorial Explanation" model can be fine-tuned on data from director's commentaries, writer interviews, and "how-to" guides <sup>26</sup>, or by prompting a model like Claude 4 Opus to generate thousands of (creative\_text, human\_explanation) pairs.

## Part 5: The Revised 2025-Level Implementation Roadmap

This new roadmap integrates all preceding refinements, front-loading the most critical architectural and data-sourcing decisions to prevent costly refactoring.

### 5.1 Phase 1: The "Storyform" Core (The NCP Foundation)

- **User's Plan:** Clone UI, deploy DeepSeek, build basic Writer.
- **Revised Plan:**
  1. **Implement State:** Implement the **Narrative Context Protocol (NCP)** <sup>15</sup> as the central JSON state object in the LangGraph orchestrator. This is the new "brain" and must be built first.
  2. **Deploy Model:** Deploy DeepSeek-R1 via Ollama (as planned).
  3. **Build UI (NCP-Input):** Build the first UI component: an "NCP-Builder" that helps the user create their storyform.json (e.g., by translating a "Story Circle" <sup>39</sup> into the formal NCP schema).
  - *This phase solves the "generic plot" problem from day one.*

### 5.2 Phase 2: The "DSR" Agent Pair (Dramatist + Formatter)

- **User's Plan:** "Build basic Writer agent... Add Fountain format." (This is the Task Coupling Dilemma

- 2).
- **Revised Plan:**
    1. **Decompose:** Build the two DSR agents: the "Dramatist" and the "Formatter".<sup>3</sup>
    2. **Train Formatter:** Train a *fast* LoRA for the "Formatter" on a *small, synthesized* (Spec -> Fountain) dataset. The David Koepp<sup>51</sup> paired drafts (spec vs. shooting) are ideal for this.
    3. **Test Dramatist:** Connect the *base* DeepSeek-R1 (acting as the "Dramatist") to the "NCP-Input" UI. Test the first full loop: NCP Prompt<sup>15</sup> -> Dramatist<sup>2</sup> -> Formatter (Fountain) -> UI.
      - This phase solves the "Task Coupling Dilemma".<sup>2</sup>

### 5.3 Phase 3: The "Critic" and "Continuity" Agents (The Alignment Layer)

- **User's Plan:** "Scrape 500-1000 screenplays from IMSDB... Train 3-5 genre-specific LoRAs." (This is the Spec Script Crisis<sup>5</sup>).
- **Revised Plan:**
  1. **Curate Spec-First Dataset:** *Begin curation* (not scraping) of the "Spec-First" dataset (The Black List<sup>4</sup>, David Koepp<sup>51</sup>, etc.).
  2. **Train Critic (CFT):** Create the "Critic" agent using **Critique Fine-Tuning (CFT)**<sup>17</sup> with a small, high-quality critique dataset (e.g., synthesized from Black List coverage<sup>52</sup>).
  3. **Train Continuity:** Create the "Continuity" agent (LoRA) trained on the **Character-LLM**<sup>37</sup> and **Series Bible** (BSG<sup>23</sup>, Stranger Things<sup>69</sup>) datasets.
  4. **Integrate:** All agents are now connected to the NCP "Storyform"<sup>15</sup> via the Orchestrator.

### 5.4 Phase 4: DPO Alignment & The Decoupled UI

- **User's Plan:** "Add RAG with screenplay examples, build character consistency system, Professional UI/UX."
- **Revised Plan:**
  1. **Implement Decoupled UI:** Rebuild the UI's "thinking" panel into the two-tab "**Machine Trace**" / "**Authorial Explanation**" system.<sup>13</sup>
  2. **Train Explanation LoRA:** Create the "Authorial Explanation" prompt chain/LoRA.
  3. **DPO Alignment:** Begin full-scale **DPO**<sup>61</sup> of the "Critic" agent using the now-curated "Spec-First" dataset (e.g., Black List preference pairs<sup>52</sup>).
  4. **Polish & Export:** Add export (PDF, Final Draft, Fountain) as planned.

Table 5.1: Summary of Strategic Upgrades (2024 Plan vs. 2025 Refinement)

Component	Proposed 2024 Plan	Recommended 2025-Level Refinement

		<b>(Research-Backed)</b>
<b>Architecture</b>	HoLLMwood-inspired (Writer, Editor, Actors, Director)	<b>DSR (Decomposed Screenwriting) + NCP.</b> <sup>3</sup> (Dramatist, Formatter, Critic, Continuity).
<b>Core State Object</b>	"Knowledge Base" (Templates, Beat Sheets, Character Sheets)	<b>NCP "Storyform" JSON.</b> <sup>15</sup> A formal, machine-readable model of authorial intent and subtext. <sup>14</sup>
<b>"Writer" Agent</b>	Single "Writer Agent" (creates formatted screenplay).	<b>Decomposed Pair:</b> (1) "Dramatist" (creates novel-prose <sup>2</sup> ); (2) "Formatter" (converts prose to Fountain).
<b>Core Flaw Solved</b>	N/A (Plan creates the flaw)	<b>The "Task Coupling Dilemma".</b> <sup>2</sup> Decouples creative generation from rigid formatting.
<b>"Editor" Agent</b>	"Editor Agent" (LoRA)	<b>"Critic" Agent</b> (CFT + DPO).
<b>"Editor" Training</b>	Standard SFT (10K+ examples) + DPO (5K pairs)	<b>Critique Fine-Tuning (CFT).</b> <sup>17</sup> More data-efficient <sup>16</sup> and trains for the <i>exact task</i> of critique, not imitation.
<b>"Actor" Agents</b>	"Actor Agents" (Role-play for authenticity <sup>21</sup> ).	<b>"Continuity" Agent.</b> <sup>23</sup> A stateful "Character Bible" <sup>33</sup> for long-term arc consistency.
<b>Data Source</b>	"Scrape... IMSDB" <sup>4</sup>	<b>Curate "Spec-First" Dataset</b> (e.g., The Black List <sup>52</sup> , David Koepp's Archive <sup>51</sup> ).
<b>Core Flaw Solved</b>	N/A (Plan creates the flaw)	<b>The "Spec Script Data Crisis".</b> <sup>5</sup> Avoids data poisoning from "shooting scripts". <sup>7</sup>
<b>UI "Thinking"</b>	"Streamlit with Thinking Panels" (Shows raw CoT).	<b>Decoupled UI.</b> <sup>13</sup> (1) "Machine Trace" (raw CoT) + (2) "Authorial Explanation"

		(human-readable).
<b>Core Flaw Solved</b>	N/A (Plan creates the flaw)	<b>The "Interpretability Mismatch".</b> <sup>11</sup> Separates performance-traces from human-explanations.

## Works cited

1. HoLLMwood: Unleashing the Creativity of Large Language Models in Screenwriting via Role Playing - Semantic Scholar, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/HoLLMwood%3A-Unleashing-the-Creativity-of-Large-in-Chen-Zhu/d4b8783a84888503ef3ad242197585258f8c218f>
2. Beyond Direct Generation: A Decomposed Approach to Well-Crafted Screenwriting with LLMs - arXiv, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/html/2510.23163v1>
3. Beyond Direct Generation: A Decomposed Approach to Well-Crafted Screenwriting with LLMs - ResearchGate, accessed November 16, 2025, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/396967232\\_Beyond\\_Direct\\_Generation\\_A\\_Decomposed\\_Approach\\_to\\_Well-Crafted\\_Screenwriting\\_with\\_LLMs](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/396967232_Beyond_Direct_Generation_A_Decomposed_Approach_to_Well-Crafted_Screenwriting_with_LLMs)
4. Where To Download Movie Scripts: 10 Great Sites - New York Film Academy, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://www.nyfa.edu/student-resources/10-great-websites-download-movie-scripts/>
5. Can we please have a sticky saying this? Shooting script are not spec scripts! - Reddit, accessed November 16, 2025, [https://www.reddit.com/r/Screenwriting/comments/cec1y6/can\\_we\\_please\\_have\\_a\\_sticky\\_saying\\_this\\_shooting/](https://www.reddit.com/r/Screenwriting/comments/cec1y6/can_we_please_have_a_sticky_saying_this_shooting/)
6. Does it matter that most scripts released online for popular films are production scripts? Should those learning the craft actively seek out pre-production scripts? : r/Screenwriting - Reddit, accessed November 16, 2025, [https://www.reddit.com/r/Screenwriting/comments/17etzb5/does\\_it\\_matter\\_that\\_most\\_scripts\\_released\\_online/](https://www.reddit.com/r/Screenwriting/comments/17etzb5/does_it_matter_that_most_scripts_released_online/)
7. What is a Shooting Script (and is it Different than a Spec Script)? - Celtx Blog, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://blog.celtx.com/shooting-script/>
8. Examples of Movie Script: 50+ Scripts You Should Know - NFI - Nashville Film Institute, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://www.nfi.edu/examples-of-movie-script/>
9. Spec Script vs Shooting Script: What's the Difference? - Tony Folden, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://tonyfolden.wordpress.com/2015/02/23/spec-script-vs-shooting-script-whats-the-difference/>
10. BaZi-Based Character Simulation Benchmark: Evaluating AI on Temporal and Persona Reasoning - arXiv, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/html/2510.23337v1>
11. [2508.16695] Do Cognitively Interpretable Reasoning Traces Improve LLM Performance?, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2508.16695>
12. Do Cognitively Interpretable Reasoning Traces Improve LLM Performance? - arXiv, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/html/2508.16695v1>
13. (PDF) Do Cognitively Interpretable Reasoning Traces Improve LLM Performance?, accessed November 16, 2025, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394941833\\_Do\\_Cognitively\\_Interpretable\\_Reas](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394941833_Do_Cognitively_Interpretable_Reas)

[oning\\_Traces\\_Improve\\_LLM\\_Performance](#)

14. Universal Narrative Model: an Author-centric Storytelling Framework for Generative AI, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/html/2503.04844v1>
15. Narrative Context Protocol: An Open-Source Storytelling ... - arXiv, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2503.04844>
16. Critique Fine-Tuning: Learning to Critique is More Effective than Learning to Imitate, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://huggingface.co/papers/2501.17703>
17. [2501.17703] Critique Fine-Tuning: Learning to Critique is More Effective than Learning to Imitate - arXiv, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.17703>
18. Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2024 - ACL Anthology, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://aclanthology.org/volumes/2024.findings-emnlp/>
19. HoLLMwood: Unleashing the Creativity of Large Language Models in Screenwriting via Role Playing - ACL Anthology, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://aclanthology.org/2024.findings-emnlp.474/>
20. [2406.11683] HoLLMwood: Unleashing the Creativity of Large Language Models in Screenwriting via Role Playing - arXiv, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.11683>
21. HOLLWOOD: Unleashing the Creativity of Large ... - ACL Anthology, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://aclanthology.org/2024.findings-emnlp.474.pdf>
22. Interviews: Robert McKee | by Scott Myers | Go Into The Story, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://gointothestory.blcklst.com/interviews-robert-mckee-c2a4f806f212>
23. BATTLESTAR GALACTICA Series Bible By Ronald ... - Cloudfront.net, accessed November 16, 2025, [https://d3n8a8pro7vhmx.cloudfront.net/harvardwood/pages/109/attachments/original/14\\_24565943/battlestar\\_galactica\\_series.pdf](https://d3n8a8pro7vhmx.cloudfront.net/harvardwood/pages/109/attachments/original/14_24565943/battlestar_galactica_series.pdf)
24. [2510.23163] Beyond Direct Generation: A Decomposed Approach to Well-Crafted Screenwriting with LLMs - arXiv, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.23163>
25. Beyond Direct Generation: A Decomposed Approach to Well-Crafted Screenwriting with LLMs - GoatStack.AI, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://goatstack.ai/articles/2510.23163>
26. Show, Don't Tell: Tips and Examples of The Golden Rule - Reedsy, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://reedsy.com/blog/show-dont-tell/>
27. Mastering the Art of Subtext: The Ultimate Skill for Brilliant Dialogue - Smart Girls Productions | Marketing for Actors | Screenwriters, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://smartg.com/screenwriter/what-is-subtext/>
28. How would you add subtext to a script? : r/Screenwriting - Reddit, accessed November 16, 2025, [https://www.reddit.com/r/Screenwriting/comments/1irlrte/how\\_would\\_you\\_add\\_subtext\\_to\\_a\\_script/](https://www.reddit.com/r/Screenwriting/comments/1irlrte/how_would_you_add_subtext_to_a_script/)
29. "Story" by Robert McKee: An Exploration of the First 4 Chapters | by Ken Van Camp, MFA, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://medium.com/@ken.vancamp/story-by-robert-mckee-an-exploration-of-the-first-4-chapters-3c8b5e00305f>
30. LongPage: First large-scale dataset for training LLMs on complete ..., accessed November 16, 2025, [https://www.reddit.com/r/LLMDevs/comments/1n99k4n/longpage\\_first\\_largescale\\_dataset\\_for\\_training/](https://www.reddit.com/r/LLMDevs/comments/1n99k4n/longpage_first_largescale_dataset_for_training/)

31. Pageshift-Entertainment/LongPage · Datasets at Hugging Face, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://huggingface.co/datasets/Pageshift-Entertainment/LongPage>
32. Fine-Tuning LLMs for Script-Writing: A Journey into the world of open source LLMs, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://hasgeek.com/fifthelephant/2024/sub/fine-tuning-llms-for-script-writing-a-journey-into-GWjAkAtakJUdgfhGkAqtdZ>
33. How to Create and Use a Character Bible for Your Novel - ProWritingAid, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://prowritingaid.com/art/717/how-to-create-and-use-a-character-bible-for-your-novel.aspx>
34. Creating a Bible for Characters and Screenwriting | Writers In The Storm, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://writersinthestormblog.com/2020/08/creating-a-bible-for-characters-and-screen-writing/>
35. 21 Series Bibles That Every TV Screenwriter Should Read ..., accessed November 16, 2025, <https://screencraft.org/blog/21-series-bibles-that-every-tv-screenwriter-should-read/>
36. Story Documents Drama 2018 - Screen Australia, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://www.screenaustralia.gov.au/getmedia/133af42b-7abe-4ebc-a164-799c1c7a4614/Story-Documents-Drama.pdf>
37. choosewhatulike/trainable-agents: Code and datasets for ... - GitHub, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://github.com/choosewhatulike/trainable-agents>
38. Eternal principles in Storytelling: Robert McKee's signature seminar »Story« - Julia Peglow, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://www.juliapeglow.com/story-robert-mckees-signature-seminar-and-five-principles-for-storytelling-with-substance/>
39. Storytelling 101: The Dan Harmon Story Circle | Boords, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://boords.com/blog/storytelling-101-the-dan-harmon-story-circle>
40. Storytelling Guide: Dan Harmon's Story Circle Method Explained - StudioBinder, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://www.studiobinder.com/blog/dan-harmon-story-circle/>
41. Fellow writers what are your opinions on Dan Harmon's Story Circle? : r/writing - Reddit, accessed November 16, 2025, [https://www.reddit.com/r/writing/comments/1gltidk/fellow\\_writers\\_what\\_are\\_your\\_opinions\\_on\\_dan/](https://www.reddit.com/r/writing/comments/1gltidk/fellow_writers_what_are_your_opinions_on_dan/)
42. Universal Narrative Model: an Author-centric Storytelling Framework for Generative AI, accessed November 16, 2025, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/389694773\\_Universal\\_Narrative\\_Model\\_an\\_Author-centric\\_Storytelling\\_Framework\\_for\\_Generative\\_AI](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/389694773_Universal_Narrative_Model_an_Author-centric_Storytelling_Framework_for_Generative_AI)
43. Narrative Context Protocol: An Open-Source Storytelling Framework for Generative AI - arXiv, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.04844>
44. narrative-first/narrative-context-protocol: A standardized, application-agnostic narrative structure schema designed for reliably transporting authorial intent across multi-agentic narrative systems. - GitHub, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://github.com/narrative-first/narrative-context-protocol>
45. accessed November 16, 2025, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nonlinear\\_narrative#:~:text=Nonlinear%20narrative%2C%20disjointed%20narrative%2C%20or,parallel%20distinctive%20plot%20lines%2C%20dream](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nonlinear_narrative#:~:text=Nonlinear%20narrative%2C%20disjointed%20narrative%2C%20or,parallel%20distinctive%20plot%20lines%2C%20dream)
46. Exploring Non-Linear Narratives in Modern Storytelling - Famous Writing Routines,

- accessed November 16, 2025,  
<https://famouswritingroutines.com/writing-tips/exploring-non-linear-narratives-in-modern-storytelling/>
47. Non-Linear Storytelling: Non-Linear Writing Tips and Examples - 2025 - MasterClass, accessed November 16, 2025,  
<https://www.masterclass.com/articles/non-linear-writing-tips-and-examples>
  48. Out of Order: A Discussion of Nonlinear Narrative Structure | LitReactor, accessed November 16, 2025,  
<https://litreactor.com/columns/out-of-order-a-discussion-of-nonlinear-narrative-structure>
  49. Looking for Spec or Pre Shooting Script Drafts of Produced Films : r/Screenwriting - Reddit, accessed November 16, 2025,  
[https://www.reddit.com/r/Screenwriting/comments/1m6jbqv/looking\\_for\\_spec\\_or\\_pre\\_shooting\\_script\\_drafts\\_of/](https://www.reddit.com/r/Screenwriting/comments/1m6jbqv/looking_for_spec_or_pre_shooting_script_drafts_of/)
  50. Does anybody know of any good websites for reading older drafts of screenplays? - Reddit, accessed November 16, 2025,  
[https://www.reddit.com/r/Screenwriting/comments/1b5nrpc/does\\_anybody\\_know\\_of\\_any\\_good\\_websites\\_for/](https://www.reddit.com/r/Screenwriting/comments/1b5nrpc/does_anybody_know_of_any_good_websites_for/)
  51. Where to Read Film and TV Scripts Online — The Writers Guild ..., accessed November 16, 2025, <https://www.wgfoundation.org/web-resources-where-to-find-scripts-online>
  52. I covered 1,257 scripts for THE BLACK LIST and this is what I learned. : r/Screenwriting, accessed November 16, 2025,  
[https://www.reddit.com/r/Screenwriting/comments/gv9oq1/i\\_covered\\_1257\\_scripts\\_for\\_the\\_black\\_list\\_and/](https://www.reddit.com/r/Screenwriting/comments/gv9oq1/i_covered_1257_scripts_for_the_black_list_and/)
  53. Critique Fine-Tuning: Learning to Critique is More Effective than Learning to Imitate - arXiv, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/html/2501.17703v1>
  54. Critique Fine-Tuning: teaching LLM models to critique and analyze responses - Medium, accessed November 16, 2025,  
<https://medium.com/@techsachin/critique-fine-tuning-teaching-llm-models-to-critique-and-analyze-responses-0a603c95e900>
  55. Critique Fine-Tuning: Learning to Critique is More Effective than Learning to Imitate, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://tiger-ai-lab.github.io/CritiqueFineTuning/>
  56. Critique Fine-Tuning: Learning to Critique is More Effective than Learning to Imitate - arXiv, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/html/2501.17703v2>
  57. TIGER-AI-Lab/One-Shot-CFT: The official repo for “Unleashing the Reasoning Potential of Pre-trained LLMs by Critique Fine-Tuning on One Problem” [EMNLP25] - GitHub, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://github.com/TIGER-AI-Lab/One-Shot-CFT>
  58. Critique Fine-Tuning (CFT): Methods & Insights, accessed November 16, 2025,  
<https://www.emergentmind.com/topics/critique-fine-tuning-cft>
  59. First Bllcklist coverage, is this what \$130 gets you? : r/Screenwriting - Reddit, accessed November 16, 2025,  
[https://www.reddit.com/r/Screenwriting/comments/1kdziv8/first\\_bllcklist\\_coverage\\_is\\_this\\_what\\_130\\_gets\\_you/](https://www.reddit.com/r/Screenwriting/comments/1kdziv8/first_bllcklist_coverage_is_this_what_130_gets_you/)
  60. DPO Trainer - Hugging Face, accessed November 16, 2025,  
[https://huggingface.co/docs/trl/en/dpo\\_trainer](https://huggingface.co/docs/trl/en/dpo_trainer)
  61. Recent DPO datasets overview : r/LocalLLaMA - Reddit, accessed November 16, 2025,  
[https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/194v4h6/recent\\_dpo\\_datasets\\_overview/](https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/194v4h6/recent_dpo_datasets_overview/)

62. Black List script coverage service | by Scott Myers, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://gointothestory.blcklst.com/black-list-script-coverage-service-365d07efeb82>
63. LiteraryTaste: A Preference Dataset for Creative Writing ... - arXiv, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2511.09310>
64. [Literature Review] LiteraryTaste: A Preference Dataset for Creative Writing Personalization, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://www.themoonlight.io/review/literarytaste-a-preference-dataset-for-creative-writing-personalization>
65. Want Lifelike Characters? Create a Character Bible - Elizabeth Spann Craig, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://elizabethspanncraig.com/uncategorized/want-lifelike-characters-create-a-character-bible/>
66. TV Show Bible Examples to Download and Study - Script Reader Pro, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://www.scriptreaderpro.com/tv-show-bible-examples/>
67. TV Writing - Bibles - Google Sites, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://sites.google.com/site/tvwriting/bibles>
68. 40+ Series Bibles That Every TV Script writer Should Read - Shore Scripts, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://www.shorescripts.com/tv-series-bibles/>
69. stranger things bible pdf - ScreenCraft, accessed November 16, 2025, [https://screencraft.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/StrangerThings\\_Bible.pdf](https://screencraft.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/StrangerThings_Bible.pdf)
70. Stranger Things - TV Writing - Google Sites, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://sites.google.com/site/tvwriting/us-drama/us-drama-collections/stranger-things>
71. Evaluating Step-by-step Reasoning Traces: A Survey - arXiv, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/html/2502.12289v1>
72. Chain-of-Thought SFT: Methods & Applications - Emergent Mind, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://www.emergentmind.com/topics/chain-of-thought-supervised-finetuning-sft>
73. Do Cognitively Interpretable Reasoning Traces Improve LLM Performance?, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Do-Cognitively-Interpretable-Reasoning-Traces-LLM-Bhambri-Biswas/9622432f1ec5bcdaabffdb86e5dad8100c3ffe5d>
74. Do Cognitively Interpretable Reasoning Traces Improve LLM Performance? - arXiv, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2508.16695>
75. DO EXPLANATIONS FROM LARGE REASONING MODELS, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://openreview.net/pdf/e25b483b86be553b2bc200fc8d8e1770dc686c9f.pdf>
76. Writing with AI - OpenAI, accessed November 16, 2025, <https://openai.com/chatgpt/use-cases/writing-with-ai/>