

Chapter 5 Resampling

Overall Purpose of the Chapter

Resampling methods repeatedly draw (sub)samples from the **training data** and refit models to gain additional insight that is not available from a single model fit on the original data.

Main goals:

- Estimate **test error** (model assessment)
- Perform **model selection** (choose best level of flexibility / complexity)
- Estimate **uncertainty** / variability of parameter estimates or predictions

Two main families are covered:

1. **Cross-validation** → mainly used for **model assessment** and **model selection** (estimating test error)
2. **Bootstrap** → mainly used for estimating **standard errors** / uncertainty of estimates

5.1 Cross-Validation

Main Idea

Estimate how well a model will perform on **new/unseen data** (test error) using only the available training data.

5.1.1 Validation Set Approach (Hold-out / Train–Test split)

- Randomly split data into **training set** + **validation set**
- Fit model(s) on training set → evaluate on validation set (usually using MSE or misclassification rate)
- Problems:
 - High variability (depends heavily on which points go into validation)
 - Tends to **overestimate** test error (validation set is small → training set lacks data)
 - Only uses part of the data for training

5.1.2 Leave-One-Out Cross-Validation (LOOCV)

Special case of k-fold CV where **k = n**

- **LOOCV**: A special case of k-fold CV where $k=n$ (n = number of observations). For each of the n iterations, you leave out one data point, train on the remaining $n-1$, predict the left-out point, and compute its error. Average these errors for the CV estimate.

Procedure:

- For each $i = 1$ to n :

- Train on all data **except** observation i
- Predict \hat{y}_i using the left-out point
- Compute error on that single point: $MSE_i = (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$ or $Err_i = I(y_i \neq \hat{y}_i)$
- Final CV error:

LOOCV (regression):

$$CV_{(n)} = (1/n) \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

LOOCV (classification):

$$CV_{(n)} = (1/n) \sum I(y_i \neq \hat{y}_i)$$

Shortcut formula (linear models / least squares / polynomials):

$$CV_{(n)} = (1/n) \sum [(y_i - \hat{y}_i) / (1 - h_i)]^2$$

where h_i = leverage of observation i

Advantages:

- Almost unbiased estimate of test error
- Uses almost all data for training each time

Disadvantages:

- Very high variance (predictions are highly correlated — each model uses $n-1 \approx n$ points)
- Computationally expensive unless shortcut formula is used

5.1.3 k-Fold Cross-Validation (most commonly used)

- Randomly divide data into k roughly equal-sized folds (usually $k = 5$ or $k = 10$)
- For each fold $j = 1$ to k :
 - Train on $k-1$ folds
 - Test on the held-out fold j
 - Compute MSE_j (or error rate on fold j)
- Final estimate:

k-fold CV:

$$CV_{(k)} = (1/k) \sum MSE_j$$

Advantages over LOOCV:

- Much lower variance
- Computationally much cheaper ($k \ll n$)
- $k = 5$ or 10 usually gives good **bias-variance trade-off**

Example

10-Fold CV: General k-fold with $k=10$. Split data into 10 equal folds; for each iteration, train on 9 folds (90% of data), test on the held-out fold (10%), compute error (e.g., MSE_j for fold j). Average over 10 folds.

Bias-variance trade-off summary

METHOD	BIAS	VARIANCE	COMPUTATION	TYPICAL CHOICE
Validation set	high	high	low	—
LOOCV	very low	very high	high	rare
5-fold CV	low–moderate	moderate	moderate	very common
10-fold CV	very low	low–moderate	higher	very common

- **Bias:** How much the method systematically over- or under-estimates the true test error or variability.
 - LOOCV: Very low bias because each model is trained on nearly all data ($n-1 \approx n$), so it's close to the full model's performance.
 - 10-Fold CV: Slightly higher bias than LOOCV (trains on 90% of data), but still low—especially for larger n . It can overestimate test error a bit more than LOOCV for small datasets.
 - Bootstrap: Low bias for estimating variability if the statistic is unbiased, but it can underestimate variance in small samples (since samples are with replacement, leading to 63% unique data per bootstrap on average).
- **Variance:** How much the estimate fluctuates across different data splits or resamples.
 - LOOCV: High variance because the n models are highly correlated (each overlaps by $n-2$ points), so the CV error can swing based on outliers.
 - 10-Fold CV: Lower variance than LOOCV (fewer, less correlated models), making it more stable.
 - Bootstrap: Moderate to low variance if B is large; it's robust because resamples are independent draws.

5.1.4 Bias-Variance Trade-off in k-fold CV

- k increase \rightarrow bias decrease but variance increase
- $k = 5$ or 10 usually preferred in practice (good compromise)

5.1.5 Cross-Validation for Classification

Same logic applies, just replace MSE with misclassification error rate (or 0-1 loss):

$\text{Err}_j = (\text{number of misclassifications in fold } j) / (\text{size of fold } j)$

CV error = average Err_j over k folds

5.2 The Bootstrap

"to pull yourself up by your bootstraps"

Goal: Estimate **standard error** (or confidence intervals) of any statistic / estimator using only the original data.

Core idea: Treat the **original sample** as if it were the population \rightarrow repeatedly draw samples **with replacement** from it.

Procedure (basic bootstrap):

1. Original dataset Z with n observations
2. Draw B bootstrap samples Z^1, Z^2, \dots, Z^B (each of size n , sampling **with replacement**)
3. Compute the statistic/estimate θ^{*b} on each bootstrap sample $b = 1 \dots B$
4. Bootstrap estimate of standard error:

$$\text{SE}_B(\hat{\theta}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{B-1} \sum_{b=1}^B \left(\hat{\theta}^{*b} - \bar{\theta}^* \right)^2}$$

where $\bar{\theta}^* = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^B \hat{\theta}^{*b}$. Typically $B=1000+$ for stability.

Ex: $\text{SE} = 0.018 \rightarrow$ this 0.082 estimate has an uncertainty of about ± 0.018 (very roughly speaking).

Most common uses:

- Standard error of regression coefficients
- Standard error of a complicated estimator (e.g. best α in portfolio allocation)
- Accuracy of any fitted model / prediction method

Example (portfolio allocation):

Minimize variance of return: $\alpha X + (1-\alpha)Y$

\rightarrow analytical solution exists, but bootstrap gives $\text{SE}(\hat{\alpha})$ without assuming normality

Key Figures Summary (from the excerpts)

FIGURE	CONTENT	MAIN MESSAGE
5.1	Validation set approach (one split)	High variability, tends to overestimate test error
5.2	10 different validation splits on Auto data	Large variability among curves
5.3	Schematic of LOOCV	Each point left out once

FIGURE	CONTENT	MAIN MESSAGE
5.4	LOOCV vs 10-fold CV curves on Auto	LOOCV more variable
5.5	Schematic of 5-fold CV	Random non-overlapping folds
5.6	True MSE vs LOOCV vs 10-fold CV (simulated data)	5/10-fold usually better compromise
5.7	Logistic fits + Bayes boundary on 2D classification	Decision boundaries
5.8	Test / train / 10-fold CV error curves (classification)	CV approximates U-shaped test error
5.9	Simulated investment returns (different α)	Variability of α estimates
5.10	Histogram: true sampling vs bootstrap of α	Bootstrap mimics true sampling distribution
5.11	Graphical illustration of bootstrap (n=3)	Sampling with replacement

Quick Reference – Most Important Formulas


- **k-fold CV (MSE)**

$$CV_{(k)} = (1/k) \sum_{j=1}^k MSE_j$$
- **LOOCV shortcut (linear models)**

$$CV_{(n)} = (1/n) \sum [(y_i - \hat{y}_i) / (1 - h_i)]^2$$
- **Bootstrap standard error**

$$SE_B(\theta) = \sqrt{[(1/(B-1)) \sum (\theta^b - \theta)^2]}$$
- **Portfolio example (optimal weight α)**

$$\hat{\alpha} = (\hat{\sigma}_Y^2 - \hat{\sigma}_{X\hat{Y}}) / (\hat{\sigma}_X^2 + \hat{\sigma}_Y^2 - 2\hat{\sigma}_{X\hat{Y}})$$

Aspect	LOOCV	10-Fold CV	Bootstrap  
Primary Use	Test error estimation; model selection in small data	General test error; hyperparameter tuning (e.g., GridSearchCV)	Uncertainty (SEs, CIs); works for any statistic, even non-parametric
Best For Datasets	Small n (low bias helps); linear models (shortcut)	Medium-large n; any model	Any n; when variance/SE is key (e.g., finance, biostats)
Pros	Unbiased; uses max data per fit	Good bias-variance balance; stable; fast	Flexible (any estimator); quantifies uncertainty; bias correction possible (e.g., BCa bootstrap)
Cons	High variance; slow for non-linear models	Slight bias; depends on fold randomness (repeat for stability)	No direct test error; underestimates variance in dependent data; high compute for B large
Real-World Examples	Medical studies with few patients (e.g., predict disease from 50 scans); polynomial regression	Kaggle competitions (tune models on 10k+ rows); deep learning (though often 5-fold for speed)	Finance (SE of portfolio α , as in Figure 5.10); hypothesis testing (bootstrap p-values); ML feature importance
ISLR Figure Ties	Fig 5.4/5.6: More variable than k-fold	Fig 5.6/5.8: Tracks test error U-shape well in classification	Fig 5.9-5.11: Mimics true sampling dist. for α in investments
Software Tips	scikit-learn: <code>LeaveOneOut()</code> ; use with <code>LinearRegression</code> for speed	scikit-learn: <code>KFold(n_splits=10)</code> ; default for <code>GridSearchCV</code>	scikit-learn: <code>resample()</code> or boot library; R's <code>boot</code> package 