# Test Report for Quarters

Team 6
James Anthony (anthonjb)
Wenqiang Chen (chenw25)
Carolyn Chong (chongce)
Kevin Ly (lyk2)

March 21, 2016

# Contents

1	$\mathbf{Intr}$	roduction	3
2	Aut	comated Testing	3
3	Syst	tem Tests	3
	3.1	User Registration	3
	3.2	User Login	4
	3.3	Calendar	5
	3.4	Maintenance Tracking	6
	3.5	House Management	7
	3.6	Landing Page	9
	3.7	Finance	9
	3.8	Notifications	10
	3.9	File Storage	10
	3.10	~	12
4	Non	n-Functional Tests	12
	4.1	Usability	12
		4.1.1 Results	12
		4.1.2 Discussion	12
	4.2	Performance	14
	4.3	Robustness	15
5	Sun	nmary of Changes	15
$\mathbf{L}$	$\mathbf{ist}$	of Figures	
	1	Task 1 Pre-Questionnaire Responses	13
	2	Average time for Task 2	13
	3	Task 3 Post-Questionnaire Responses	14
	4	Load Test result	

# List of Tables

# **Revision History**

Date	Comments
March 20, 2016	Created first draft.

### 1 Introduction

This testing report shows the results of both system tests and non-functional tests on the Quarters application. The system tests are reported based on each individual module. Non-functional tests included tests on usability, performance and robustness.

## 2 Automated Testing

Automatic testing is being used in this project to unit test various parts of the system. The project's components are broken up into several parts: Client-side JavaScript components, Server-side access and security.

The client side JavaScript is tested every week once a week on an alternate web server using QUnit, a JavaScript unit-testing framework. Unit tests were written for each component to ensure every method does their intended action. The unit tests are rigorously tested to ensure all exceptions are handled.

Server Side access is tested via a Python web crawler. To ensure that ever page is reachable. This is run once a week similarly to the client-side tests. The web crawler also crawls through all available link in a demonstration and checks for broken links. Security also tested within the web crawler by crawling with authentication and without authentication. A predetermined set of pages can only be accessible with out authentication such as the landing page, login and registration.

The unit tests will be updated and modified as more development continues. In practice, these unit tests should ensure that updates to the code base and other changes to the server end do not break the system.

## 3 System Tests

In this section the test cases carried out on each individual module are described. Trivial cases for some modules are not explicitly written out but instead described at a high level. Additional details are provided when necessary.

# 3.1 User Registration

	Test	Initial	Input	Expected Output	Actual	Result
No.	Case	State			Output	
	User	Landing	Email and	Redirected to	As	PASS
1.1	Regis-	page.	password entered.	application main	expected.	
	tration	Empty	Clicks register.	page.		
		fields.				
	User	Landing	Empty field(s).	Stays on the same	As	PASS
1.2	Regis-	page.	Clicks register.	page. Error message	expected.	
	tration	Empty		appears. Empty field		
		fields.		is highlighted.		
	User	Landing	Email address	Stays on the same	As	PASS
1.3	Regis-	page.	already stored in	page. Error message	expected.	
	tration	Empty	database. Clicks	appears. Email field		
		fields.	register.	is highlighted.		

# 3.2 User Login

	Test	Initial	Input	Expected Output	Actual	Result
No.	Case	State			Output	
	User	Landing	Valid username	Redirected to	As	PASS
2.1	Login	page.	and password	application main	expected.	
		Empty	combination.	page.		
		username	Clicks login.			
		and				
		password				
		fields.				
	User	Landing	Invalid	Stays on the same	As	PASS
2.2	Login	page.	username and	page. Error message	expected.	
		Empty	password	appears. Fields are		
		username	combination.	highlighted. After 5		
		and	Clicks login.	unsuccessful		
		password		attempts, user cannot		
		fields.		login for 10 minutes.		
	User	Landing	Empty	Stays on the same	As	PASS
2.3	Login	page.	username	page. Error message	expected.	
		Empty	and/or	appears. Fields are		
		username	password fields.	highlighted.		
		and	Clicks login.			
		password				
		fields.				

	User	Applica-	Clicks logout.	User is successfully	As	PASS
2.4	Logout	tion main		logged out from	expected.	
		page.		system. Redirected to		
				login page.		
	User	Landing	Valid username	Stays on the same	As	PASS
2.5	Login	page.	and password	page. Error message	expected.	
		Empty	combination.	appears.		
		username	Clicks login.			
		and				
		password				
		fields.				
		User				
		attempting				
		to login on				
		another				
		device				
		while				
		already				
		logged in				
		on a				
		device.				

## 3.3 Calendar

No.	Test Case	Initial	Input	Expected	Actual	Result
		State		Output	Output	
3.1-3.4	Add event	Calendar	User selects	Modal opens	As	PASS
	to	page.	date to add	with fields, and	expected.	
	Calendar.		new event,	closes upon		
			enters	save. Event is		
			information,	updated		
			clicks save.	correctly on		
				Calendar. The		
				same output		
				results if user		
				selects existing		
				event to modify.		

3.5	Delete	Calendar	User selects	Modal opens	As	PASS
	event from	page.	event to	with fields.	expected.	
	Calendar		delete,	Upon clicking		
			clicks	delete, the		
			delete.	modal closes		
				and the event is		
				removed from		
				the Calendar.		

# 3.4 Maintenance Tracking

No.	Test Case	Initial	Input	Expected	Actual	Result
		State		Output	Output	
4.1-4.5	Navigating to mainte- nance page	Quarters applica- tion.	User clicks on mainte- nance tab in the navigation bar	Application navigates to maintenance page, all maintenance tickets relevant to the house are shown	As expected	PASS
4.6	Delete ticket from mainte- nance page	Mainte- nance System.	User clicks on "X" button beside the mainte- nance ticket, user clicks confirm when confir- mation window pops up.	confirmation window will appear. upon deletion conformation, close confirmation window, and ticket is removed from the page.	As expected	PASS
4.7-4.12	Create new main- tenance ticket	Mainte- nance System.	User clicks on "new request".	Modal opens with fields, and closes upon save. New ticket is added into the page	As expected	PASS

# 3.5 House Management

No.	Test Case	Initial	Input	Expected	Actual	Result
		State		Output	Output	
5.1	Modify	House	Click	Nothing.	As	PASS
	house in-	Manage-	modify		expected.	
	formation,	ment, not	information.			
	not admin.	admin.				
5.2	Modify	House	Click	Input fields	As	PASS
	house in-	Manage-	modify	become	expected.	
	formation	ment,	information.	editable.		
	as admin.	admin.				
5.3	Modify	House	Modify	Save button	As	PASS
	house in-	Manage-	information	opens, discard	expected.	
	formation	ment,	fields.	changes		
	as admin.	admin.		appears.		
5.4	Modify	House	Click on	Redirects to	As	PASS
	house in-	Manage-	View	new page	expected.	
	formation	ment, any	Documents.	showing all		
	as user.	user.		uploaded		
				documents in		
				House.		
5.5	Modify	House	Clicks on a	Retrieves	As	PASS
	house in-	Docu-	document.	documents and	expected.	
	formation	ments, any		initiates file		
	as user.	user.		transfer.		
5.6	Modify	House	Clicks on	Upload window	As	PASS
	house in-	Docu-	Add	opens for user	expected.	
	formation	ments,	Documents.	upload, file will		
	as admin.	admin.		be transfer to		
				server and		
				information is		
				updated in		
				database.		
5.7	Modify	House	Clicks on	Prompt opens.	As	PASS
	house in-	Docu-	delete		expected.	
	formation	ments,	document.			
	as admin.	admin.				

5.8	Modify house information as admin.	Deletion prompt, admin.	Clicks on yes.	Prompt closed, file is removed from display, database is updated.	As expected.	PASS
5.9	Modify house information as admin.	Deletion prompt, admin.	Clicks on no.	Prompt closed.	As expected.	PASS
5.10	Modify house in- formation as user	House Manage- ment, any user.	Clicks on view members.	Shows all members of the house and their role.	As expected.	PASS
5.11	Modify house information as admin.	House Manage- ment, admin, members list visible.	Clicks on add member.	Dialog will appear.	As expected.	PASS
5.12	Modify house information as admin.	Member Dialog, admin, fields empty.	Clicks on ok.	Prompt opens, notifying missing fields.	As expected.	PASS
5.13	Modify house information as admin.	Member Dialog, admin, fields complete.	Clicks on ok.	Window closes, new user is notified, database is updated, member status pending.	As expected.	PASS
5.14	Modify house information as admin.	Member Dialog, admin.	Clicks on cancel.	Window closes.	As expected.	PASS

# 3.6 Landing Page

No.	Test Case	Initial	Input	Expected	Actual	Result
		State		Output	Output	
6.1,6.2	Access	Not logged	Clicks on	Modal opens	As	PASS
	login or	in.	login.	and email and	expected.	
	registra-			password fields		
	tion.			appear. The		
				same output		
				results if user		
				clicks on		
				register.		

## 3.7 Finance

No.	Test Case	Initial	Input	Expected	Actual	Result
		State		Output	Output	
7.6	Add a new	Finance	User clicks	Modal window	As	PASS
	bill to the	page	on "+"	opens with	expected.	
	house		button, fills	fields, upon save		
			in all	with all fields		
			required	filled in, a list of		
			information	tenants that		
			in modal	owes the user		
			window and	money will be		
			click "save"	added to the		
				page		
7.7	Mark bill	Finance	User clicks	Confirmation	As	PASS
	as paid	page	on "Paid"	window will	expected.	
			button	appear, upon		
			beside the	clicking ok, the		
			bill, clicks	bill will have a		
			ok on the	√beside it		
			confirma-			
			tion			
			window			

7.8	Delete bill	Finance	User clicks	Confirmation	As	PASS
		page	on "Delete"	window will	expected.	
			button	appear, upon		
			beside the	clicking ok, the		
			bill, clicks	bill will be		
			ok on the	deleted from the		
			confirma-	page		
			tion			
			window			

## 3.8 Notifications

No.	Test Case	Initial	Input	Expected	Actual	Result
		State		Output	Output	
8.1-8.15	User Logs	Quarters	no input	Number of	As	PASS
	in		required	unread	Expected	
				notifications is		
				displayed		
8.16	Viewed	Quarters	viewed	notification	As	PASS
	Notifica-		notifications	count is cleared	expected	
	tion					
8.17	Clicking	Quarters	click on	brings up	As	PASS
	on notifi-		notification	updated post	expected	
	cation					

## 3.9 File Storage

No.	Test Case	Initial	Input	Input Expected		Result
		State		Output	Output	
9.1	File	0 files in	User tries to	Successful file	As	PASS
	Upload	storage.	upload a file	upload.	expected.	
			of size $s$ ,			
			where $s \leq$			
			max file			
			size.			
9.2	File	0 files in	User tries to	Error message	As	PASS
	Upload	storage.	upload a file	indicating file	expected.	
			of size $s$ ,	has not been		
			where $s >$	uploaded.		
			max file			
			size.			

9.3	File Upload	n files in storage.	User tries to upload a file of size $s$ , where $s \leq$ total remaining space.	Successful file upload.	As expected.	PASS
9.4	File Upload	n files in storage.	User tries to upload a file of size $s$ , where $s >$ total remaining space.	Error message indicating file has not been uploaded.	As expected.	PASS
9.5	File Upload	n files in storage.	User tries to upload a file with an invalid type.	Error message indicating file has not been uploaded.	As expected.	PASS
9.6	File Download	n files in storage.	User requests to download a file.	Successful file download.	As expected.	PASS
9.7	File Download	n files in storage.	Connection interrupted while download is in progress.	Error message indicating file has not been downloaded.	As expected.	PASS
9.8	File Upload	n files in storage.	User tries to upload $n > 1$ files.	Error message indicating only one file can be uploaded at a time.	As expected.	PASS
9.9	File Delete	n files in storage.	User clicks delete file.	File removed.	As expected.	PASS

#### 3.10 Bulletin Board

No.	Test Case	Initial	Input	Expected	Actual	Result
		State		Output	Output	
10.2-	Open	In quarters	opening	latest 15 posts	As	PASS
10.6	Bulletin		action	are displayed	expected	
	Board					
10.7	Adding a	Bulletin	Data for the	Posts is added	As	PASS
	post	Board	new post is	to the database,	expected	
			added; text,	and displayed		
			or files	on the board		
10.8	Adding	Bulletin	text reply	Reply added to	As	PASS
	sub-reply	Board	for a	the database,	expected	
			comment	and displayed		
				under the post		
10.9-	Removing	Bulletin	request for	reply is deleted	As	PASS
10.10	post,	Board	removing a	from database	expected	
	sub-reply		post,	and from		
			subreply	display		

#### 4 Non-Functional Tests

#### 4.1 Usability

The usability of Quarters was evaluated by asking test participants to complete a pre-defined task, as well as a pre- and post-test questionnaire, as outlined in the Test Plan. The participants' performance was measured by the total time to complete the task. The average time of all participants to complete the task on Quarters was measured. Think-aloud results provided subjective feedback on the user experience of Quarters. The post-questionnaire provided subjective feedback on Quarters itself.

#### 4.1.1 Results

Figure 1 shows the participants. This data was collected during Task 1. The task completion rate was 100% for both tasks 2a and 2b, and the average times were both less than 60 seconds. Therefore the success metric stated in the Test Plan was met for completion rate and completion time, as shown in Figure 2. Figure 3 illustrates the results from Task 3. The average response rating for each question is shown.

#### 4.1.2 Discussion

The usability evaluation proved there were many positive aspects of the Quarters user interface. Every participant was able to complete their task, and in an efficient time, regardless

Participant	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J
Type	Tenant	Tenant	Tenant	Tenant	Tenant	Tenant	Tenant	Tenant	Landlord	Landlord
Age	22	18	22	21	20	18	22	22	47	58
Gender	Male	Female	Female	Male	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Male
Device	Computer	Computer	Computer	Computer	Mobile	Mobile	Mobile	Mobile	Computer	Mobile
Browser	Firefox	Opera	Safari	Explorer	Chrome	Chrome	Safari	Safari	Chrome	Safari
Pre Survey	Weekly	Never	Daily	Weekly	Daily	Never	Weekly	Weekly	Daily	Never
Post Survey	Weekly	Never	Daily	Weekly	Daily	Monthly	Weekly	Weekly	Daily	Monthly

Figure 1: Task 1 Pre-Questionnaire Responses.

Task	2a	2b
Completion Rate	100%	100%
Avg. Time (s)	59.02	38.81

Figure 2: Average time for Task 2.

of the browser or the device. The straightforward navigation of the application allowed the participants to navigate easily across the web application and communicate quickly, which is a high-level goal of the software. The questionnaire results showed that participants agreed that Quarters was easy and intuitive to use. Based on these usability results, one could infer that the design and implementation support Normans Design Principles, as discussed in the Detailed Design document. The participants of the usability test unanimously strongly agreed that they would use the Maintenance Ticketing, File Upload and Notifications features. After testing Quarters, in response to how frequently they would use Quarters, participants either did not change their mind, or said they would use it more frequently relative to what they had stated prior to testing Quarters. Several participants noted during the talk-aloud that they could see Quarters solving a lot of issues they experience in their current households. These positive test results prove that Quarters has marketability.

Quarters was not without its weaknesses though. Not every participant saw the value in using Quarters on a daily basis and not every participant would recommend Quarters to a friend. Additionally, Quarters performed poorly on questions 5 and 6, which tested the usability of the Chat feature and the Finances feature, respectively. Participants noted during the talk-aloud that they could not see a use for the Chat feature when the Bulletin Board allowed them the same functionality. Additionally, they noted that the purpose of the Finances feature was not initially clear. One landlord noted that they saw value in the File Upload feature, but not so much in the other features. Lastly, some users with a keen eye for design noted some glitches or flaws in our interface.




Figure 3: Task 3 Post-Questionnaire Responses.

#### 4.2 Performance

To load test our server, we used the free trial of Load Impact(loadimpact.com) to simulate 25 users making requests to the server simultaneously; result is shown in 4.

From the graph, you can see that the load time is fairly consistent as the number of users increase.




Figure 4: Load Test result

#### 4.3 Robustness

Quarters application is tested against various browser and hardware devices to ensure that it will work in all environments.

Browser	Device	Look and feel	Functionalities	Bugs
Edge	Computer	As expected	As expected	None
	Computer	As expected	As expected	None
Firefox	Android	As expected (Auto resize)	As expected	None
	iOS	As expected (Auto resize)	As expected	None
	Computer	As expected	As expected	None
Chrome	Android	As expected (Auto resize)	As expected	None
	iOS	As expected (Auto resize)	As expected	None
	Computer	As expected	As expected	None
Opera	Android	As expected (Auto resize)	As expected	None
	iOS	As expected (Auto resize)	As expected	None
Safari	Computer	As expected	As expected	None
Salail	iOS	As expected (Auto resize)	As expected	None

**Permission**: When the user logs in and select a house, he is assigned a role(admin/member), each role has different permissions, for example, only admin can invite new members into the house. In additional to having different roles, the user can only edit/delete "contents" owned by himself.

**Session time out**: Session timed out after 30 minutes of inactivity, in which the user is logged out.

### 5 Summary of Changes

Moving forward, there is room for improvement with regard to the non-functional tests. Removing the Chat feature is something to consider to ensure all of our features collectively integrate well into Quarters. Redesigning the Finances feature or adding more functionality to it may help users understand its purpose more intuitively. Devoting more time and focus to styling would help resolve any design concerns and give the interface a more polished and professional appearance. Hopefully, with these changes, more participants would consider using Quarters more frequently and recommending the application to a friend. The results of the usability test have low external validity; in future usability tests, it would be worthwhile to seek a more diverse testing population outside of a school setting, with more landlords participating. Furthermore, a more complex set of tasks for test participants could give a more accurate reading of the effectiveness and efficiency of our application.