Supplementary Document for Pedersen et al. (2013)

Lykke Pedersen, Peter H Hagedorn, Marie Lindholm, Morten Lindow

This document is the Supplementary Document for the manuscript entitled "A kinetic model of enzyme recruiting oligonucleotides predicts an optimal affinity and explains why shorter and less affine oligonucleotides can be more potent" and it is a vignette for the R-package ASOmodels.

With the aim of maximising reproducibility, the functions and data used to produce the figures in the main manuscript and this supplementary document are available after installing the ASOmodels package in R.

```
> require(devtools)
> #install_github('ASOmodel',username='lykkep')
> require(ASOmodels)
```

The ASO models package defines and documents the following functions that are used in this document:

- 1. Trel
- 2. TrelNO
- 3. Trelstoc
- 4. plot.doseresponse
- 5. EC50
- 6. EC50NO
- 7. EC50stoc
- 8. diffASO
- 9. pretty10expLP


Contents

Supplementary Figure S1	3
Supplementary Figure S2	4
Supplementary Figure S3	4
Supplementary Figure S4	6
Supplementary Figure S5	7
Supplementary Figure S6	8

The R-function Trel() calculates $T_{\rm rel}$ as a function of O_t and the set of parameters as in the example below:

[1] 0.6538694

 $T_{\rm rel}$ can be calculated for a range of different oligonucleotide concentrations (O_t) and from this a dose-response curve is obtained. Supplementary Figure S1 shows the change in the dose-reponse curves as the parameters vary. These plots are produced using plot.doseresponse().



Supplementary Figure S1: Dose-response curves for different values of E_t , α , v_{prod} , $k_{\text{T}\to\emptyset}$, K_{dOT} , $k_{\text{O+T}\to\text{OT}}$, K_{dOTE} , $k_{\text{OT+E}\to\text{OTE}}$, and $k_{\text{OTE}\to\text{OCE}}$ (top,left to bottom,right). Black lines correspond to the parameter values listed in Supplementary Table.

Using the R-function drm() from the drc package (v2.3-0) a dose-response curve is fitted to $T_{\rm rel}$ as a function of O_t to obtain an EC_{50} -value. We are interested in EC_{50} as a function of $K_{\rm dOT}$. This is calculated through the ASOmodel-function EC50() that takes $K_{\rm dOT}$ and the set of parameters as input:


> EC50(KdOT=0.1,param=parms)


EC50

1.218908

For a range of K_{dOT} -values, the corresponding EC_{50} -values can be calculated. These can be fitted to a parabola using the R-function lm(), see Supplementary Figure S2.

```
> D1_seq <- 10^seq(-3,3.2,by=0.25)
> ECseq <- sapply(D1_seq,EC50)
```






Supplementary Figure S2: EC_{50} as a function of K_{dOT} is fitted on a log-log scale to a parabola.

Supplementary Figure S3

The stochastic simulation of the model is carried out by use of the ssa() R-function from the GillespieSSA package (v.0.5-4). The inputs to ssa are an initial state vector (x0), which is the initial number of molecules, a propensity vector (a), which denotes the different states of the system, a state-change matrix (nu), which is the change in number of molecule (rows) if a reaction occur (column), the model-parameters (parms) and the final time (tf).


```
> library(GillespieSSA)
> #Model parameters
> parms1 < -c(k0pT = 2E-5, k0TpE = 50E-5, vprod = 150, kdegrad = 0.04,
                 kcleav = 2, kOT = 0.06, kOTE = 2, kC = 0.1)
> #Initital state vector
> x0 <- c(Tt=parms1["vprod"]/parms1["kdegrad"],</pre>
          OT=0, OTE=0, E=1e3, O=1e5, OCE=0, OC=0)
> names(x0) <- c('Tt','OT','OTE','E','O','OCE','OC')
> #Propensity vector
> a <- c("vprod", "k0pT*0*Tt", "kdegrad*Tt", "k0T*0T", "k0TE*0TE", "kdegrad*0T",
           "kOTpE*OT*E","kdegrad*OTE","kcleav*OTE","kC*OC","kOTE*OCE" )
> #State-change matrix
> nu <- matrix(0,7,length(a))
> dimnames(nu) <- list(names(x0),a)</pre>
> nu['Tt',c('vprod','k0T*0T')] <- 1</pre>
> nu['Tt',c('k0pT*0*Tt','kdegrad*Tt')] <- -1</pre>
> #OT
> nu['OT',c('kOpT*O*Tt','kOTE*OTE')] <- 1</pre>
> nu['OT',c('kOT*OT','kOTpE*OT*E','kdegrad*OT')] <- -1</pre>
> #OTE
> nu['OTE',c('kOTpE*OT*E')] <- 1
> nu['OTE',c('kOTE*OTE','kdegrad*OTE','kcleav*OTE')] <- -1</pre>
> nu['E',c('kOTE*OTE','kdegrad*OTE','kOTE*OCE')] <- 1</pre>
> nu['E',c('kOTpE*OT*E')] <- -1
> nu['0',c('k0T*0T','kdegrad*0TE','kdegrad*0T','kC*0C')] <- 1</pre>
> nu['0',c('k0pT*0*Tt')] <- -1
> #0CE
> nu['OCE',c('kcleav*OTE')] <- 1</pre>
> nu['OCE',c('kOTE*OCE')] <- -1
> #OC
> nu['OC',c('kOTE*OCE')] <- 1
> nu['OC',c('kC*OC')] <- -1
> #The Gillespie simulation
> Gillespie <- ssa( x0=x0,a=a,nu=nu,</pre>
        parms = parms1,tf=1E3,method = "ETL")
```

Supplementary Figure S3 shows $T_{\rm rel}$ from the Gillespie simulation.




Supplementary Figure S3: The time-trace for the relative total target level when the model is simulated stochastically.


After a while the stochastic simulation reaches a plateau. In Supplementary Figure S3 the plateu starts around 50min. The mean of $T_{\rm rel}$ within the plateu is calculated through the R-function ${\tt Trelstoc}$ (). Using this function we can generate dose-response curves (Supplementary Figure S4,left). From these EC_{50} -values can be calculated using ${\tt EC50stoc}$ () and they are subsequently plotted as a function of $k_{{\tt OT} \to {\tt O+T}}$ (Supplementary Figure S4,right). Note that as in the deterministic case (see main manuscript) an optimal affinity is observed.



Supplementary Figure S4: Left: Dose-response curves for various values of $k_{\text{OT}\to\text{O+T}}$ (compare to Supplementary Figure S1,middle). Right: EC_{50} as a function of $k_{\text{OT}\to\text{O+T}}$. A high value of $k_{\text{OT}\to\text{O+T}}$ corresponds to a low affinity.



Supplementary Figure S5: The effect on T_m of a phosphorothioate backbone was estimated using published data from Ref. [2].



Supplementary Figure S6: Measured melting temperature versus predicted melting temperature. There are clear correlations (r > 0.92, P < 0.01, Pearson's correlation) between predicted and measured T_m . Pedersen et al: 4 LNA-modified oligonucleotides targeting apolipoprotein B (this work), Stanton et al: 14 LNA-modified oligonucleotides targeting the glucocorticoid receptor [3]. Frieden et al: 21 LNA-modified oligonucleotides targeting the luciferase firefly gene [1]. Melting curves were recorded with a Perkin Elmer spectrophotometer. Oligonucleotide and its complementary RNA, both at $1.5\mu M$, were dissolved in buffer (20mM phosphate buffer, 100mM NaCl, 0.1nM EDTA, pH 7). Samples were denatured at 95°C for 3min and slowly cooled to 20°C prior to measurements. Melting curves were recorded at 260nm using a heating rate of 1°C/min, a slit of 2nm and a response of 0.2s. From this, T_m -values were obtained from the maxima of the first derivatives of the melting curves.

References

- [1] M. Frieden, S. M. Christensen, N. D. Mikkelsen, C. Rosenbohm, C. A. Thrue, M. Westergaard, H. F. Hansen, H. Ørum, and T. Koch. Expanding the design horizon of antisense oligonucleotides with alpha-L-LNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 31(21):6365–6372, 2003.
- [2] G. M. Hashem, L. Pham, M. R. Vaughan, and D. M. Gray. Hybrid oligomer duplexes formed with phosphorothicate DNAs: CD spectra and melting temperatures of S-DNA.RNA hybrids are sequence-dependent but consistent with similar heteronomous conformations. *Biochemistry*, 37(1):61–72, Jan. 1998.
- [3] R. Stanton, S. Sciabola, C. Salatto, Y. Weng, D. Moshinsky, J. Little, E. Walters, J. Kreeger, D. Dimattia, T. Chen, T. Clark, M. Liu, J. Qian, M. Roy, and R. Dullea. Chemical Modification Study of Antisense Gapmers. *Nucleic Acid Ther*, 22(5):344–359, Aug. 2012.