Dummit & Foote Abstract Algebra 3rd Ed

November 23, 2013

Chapter 0

0.1.1

This exercise is contained within 0.1.4

0.1.2

$$(Q+P)X = QX + PX = XQ + XP = X(Q+P)$$
 Thus $(Q+P) \in B$

0.1.3

$$(QP)X = Q(PX) = Q(XP) = (QX)P = (XQ)P = X(QP)$$
 Thus $(QP) \in B$

0.1.4

Take $p, q, r, s \in \Re$ s.t.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } AX = XA \implies \begin{pmatrix} p & p+q \\ r & r+s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p+r & q+s \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}$$

From here, we compare the entries on either side; we see $p=p+r \implies r=0$, and $p+q=q+s \implies p=s$

Thus the general form for $A \in B$ is $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$

As a sanity check, we check AX = XA and get $\begin{pmatrix} a & a+b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & a+b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$

0.1.5

- (a) No, take f(1/2) = 1 and f(2/4) = 2, thus $a = a \implies f(a) = f(a)$
- (b) Yes, since there is no information lost in this map, it must be well defined (i.e. you aren't throwing away any piece of the input)

0.1.6

This is a well defined map; each real number has a unique decimal representation, thus there is no way to change the first digit after the decimal point.

0.1.7

This relation is predicated on the = relation under the image of f, so this is clearly a equivalence relation, but we will show the properties nonetheless:

```
Reflexive - a \sim a \implies f(a) = f(a) \checkmark
```

Symmetric -
$$a \sim b \implies f(a) = f(b) \implies f(b) = f(a) \implies b \sim a \checkmark$$

Transitive - $a \sim b$, $b \sim c \implies f(a) = f(b)$, $f(b) = f(c) \implies f(a) = f(c) \implies a \sim c \checkmark$

This relation is the definition of a fiber, as it relates all elements of the domain with the same value under f. If f were not surjective, we could find some element $b \in B$ such that $f(a) \neq b \ \forall a \in A$, and the fiber of f over b is the empty set. This empty set breaks our equivalence partitioning for our relation; however if we restrict f to surjection, the relation partitions A nicely into equivalence classes!

0.2.1

Syntax: ax + by = gcd; lcm = (xy)/gcd

- (a) 2 * 20 + (-3) * 13 = 1; lcm = (20 * 13)
- (b) 27 * 69 + (-5) * 372 = 3; lcm = (23 * 372)
- (c) 8*792 + (-23)*275 = 11; lcm = (792*25)
- (d) (-126) * 11391 + 253 * 5673 = 3; lcm = (3797 * 5673)
- (e) (-105) * 1761 + 118 * 1567 = 1; lcm = (1761 * 1567)
- (f) (-17) * 507885 + 142 * 60808 = 691; lcm = (735 * 60808)

0.2.2

We have for $a, b, n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$; a = nk; $b = mk \implies as + bt = (nk)s + (mk)t = k(ns + mt)$ and k divides $as + bt \ \forall s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$

0.2.3

We have n = mk for some $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Take a = mq and b = kp where $k \nmid q$ and $m \nmid p$, thus $n \nmid a$ and $n \nmid b$. Consider $ab = mqkp = (mk)qp = n(qp) \implies n|ab$

0.2.4

 $ax + by = a(x_0 + \frac{b}{d}t) + b(y_0 - \frac{a}{d}t) = ax_0 + \frac{ab}{d}t + by_0 - \frac{ab}{d}t = ax_0 + by_0 + (\frac{ab}{d} - \frac{ab}{d})t = ax_0 + by_0 + 0t$; this is clearly invariant under choice of t and represents a valid solution space.

0.2.5

$$\begin{array}{l} \varphi(1)=1; \ \varphi(2)=1; \ \varphi(3)=2; \ \varphi(4)=2; \ \varphi(5)=4; \\ \varphi(6)=2; \ \varphi(7)=6; \ \varphi(8)=4; \ \varphi(9)=6; \ \varphi(10)=4; \\ \varphi(11)=10; \ \varphi(12)=4; \ \varphi(13)=12; \ \varphi(14)=6; \ \varphi(15)=8; \\ \varphi(16)=8; \ \varphi(17)=16; \ \varphi(18)=6; \ \varphi(19)=18; \ \varphi(20)=8; \\ \varphi(21)=12; \ \varphi(22)=10; \ \varphi(23)=22; \ \varphi(24)=8; \ \varphi(25)=20; \\ \varphi(26)=12; \ \varphi(27)=18; \ \varphi(28)=12; \ \varphi(29)=28; \ \varphi(30)=8; \end{array}$$

0.2.6

Take $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ and P to be the complement of S with $1 \in S$ and $s \in S \implies s+1 \in S$ Now take $p \in P$ such that p is the minimal element in P. We know $p \neq 1$ since $1 \in S$ Thus p-1 exists and can't be in P since p is the minimal element of P. $p-1 \notin P \implies p-1 \in S \implies p-1+1=p \in S$. From here we see p is in S and the complement of S and can not exist. Thus S must be empty and S and S is S and S and S is S an

0.2.7

The power of p in pb^2 is bound to be odd, where the power of p in a^2 is bound to be even. More explicitly, taking $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$ we can write $a=k_1^{a_1}\dots k_n^{a_n}p^{a_p}; b=q_1^{b_1}\dots q_n^{b_n}p^{b_p}$ where q_i,k_i are primes. This means $a^2=k_1^{2a_1}\dots k_n^{2a_n}p^{2a_p}$ and $pb^2=q_1^{2b_1}\dots q_n^{2b_n}p^{2b_p+1}$, so we need to find a_p,b_p s.t. $2a_p=2b_p+1$ though this is impossible.

0.2.8

First we start by counting up to n by multiples of p. Note there are $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor$ such numbers. At this point, we have counted up all single multiples of p, though we have yet to account for the multiples of p^2 (i.e. every p^{th} multiple of p). In order to get the number of p^2 terms, we count up to p^2 over multiples of p^2 for a total number of $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^2} \right\rfloor$ (looks familiar). This counting method continues up to the p^2 terms. Now to arrive at the largest power of p^2 that divides into p^2 0, we sum up all these terms: p^2 1 p^2 2 p^2 3 p^2 4.

0.2.9

```
\begin{aligned} & \text{Haskell implementation} \\ & linearGCD :: Integer - > Integer - > (Integer, Integer, Integer) \\ & linearGCD \ a \ b = (d, u, v) \ where \\ & (d, x, y) = eGCD \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ (abs \ a) \ (abs \ b) \\ & u \mid a < 0 = negate \ x \\ & \mid otherwise = x \\ & v \mid b < 0 = negate \ y \\ & \mid otherwise = y \\ & eGCD \ n1 \ o1 \ n2 \ o2 \ r \ s \\ & \mid (s == 0) = (r, o1, o2) \\ & \mid otherwise = case \ r \ `quotRem` \ s \ of \\ & (q, t) - > eGCD \ (o1 - q * n1) \ n1 \ (o2 - q * n2) \ n2 \ s \ t \end{aligned}
```

0.2.10

Let p be a prime larger than N+1 such that $\varphi(p^k)=(p-1)(p^{k-1})>N$. Therefore any prime q dividing n is no larger than N+1 and there are only finitely many choices for this q. Furthermore, we know $\varphi(n)=\varphi(q^k)\varphi(m)$ for some number m that is not divisible by q. Note that $\varphi(m)$ is constant, so this equation relies on k. This limits $k \leq log_q(\frac{N}{m})$. Now we see that both the choice for q and k are of a finite set, thus there are finitely many numbers such that $\varphi(n)=N$.

Let's say there is some number M such that $\varphi(n) < M \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since we are mapping an infinite set, \mathbb{N} , onto a finite set, we are bound to break the finite ceiling we set in the last previous portion (i.e. at least one of the numbers from $1 \dots M$ will have an infinite amount of numbers mapped to it). Thus we may not incur a maximum M.

0.2.11

Take $d=p_1^{a_1}\dots p_n^{a_n}$ where p_i is a prime that divides d. Since $d|n,\,n=p_1^{b_1}\dots p_n^{b_n}q$ where $a_i\leq b_i$. From here, $\varphi(d)=\varphi(p_1^{a_1})\dots\varphi(p_n^{a_n})=p_1^{a_1-1}\dots p_n^{a_n-1}(p_1-1)\dots(p_n-1)$ and $\varphi(n)=\varphi(p_1^{b_1})\varphi(p_2^{b_2})\dots\varphi(p_n^{b_n})\varphi(q)=p_1^{b_1-1}\dots p_n^{b_n-1}(p_1-1)\dots(p_n-1)\varphi(q)$ Now since $a_i-1\leq b_i-1,\,\varphi(d)|\varphi(n)$

0.3.1

$$\mathbb{Z}/18\mathbb{Z} = \{\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}, \overline{5}, \overline{6}, \overline{7}, \overline{8}, \overline{9}, \overline{10}, \overline{11}, \overline{12}, \overline{13}, \overline{14}, \overline{15}, \overline{16}, \overline{17}\}\$$
 Where $\overline{a} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x = 18k + a\}$

0.3.2

For some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $a = qn + r \implies a \equiv r \pmod{n} \implies \overline{a} = \overline{r}$ Since $0 \le r < n$, $\overline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$; if we take $0 \le a, b < n$ where $a \ne b$ and $a - b > 0 \implies n \not\mid a - b \implies \overline{a} \ne \overline{b}$ thus the residue classes are distinct.

0.3.3

First, note that $10 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ so $10^n \equiv 1^n \pmod{9}$. Now we have $a \equiv a_n 10^n + a_{n-1} 10^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \equiv \overline{a_n 10^n} + \cdots + \overline{a_0} \equiv \overline{a_n} \overline{10^n} + \cdots + \overline{a_0} \equiv \overline{a_n} 10^n + \cdots$

0.3.4

$$37 \equiv 8 \pmod{29}; 8^2 \equiv 6 \pmod{29}; 8^4 \equiv 7 \pmod{29}; 8^8 \equiv 20 \pmod{29}; 8^{16} \equiv 23 \pmod{29}; 8^{32} \equiv 7 \pmod{29}; 8^{64} \equiv 20 \pmod{29}; 8^{100} = 8^{64}8^{32}8^4 \equiv 20 * 7 * 7 \pmod{29} \equiv 23 \pmod{29}$$

0.3.5

$$9^5 \equiv 49 \pmod{100}; 9^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{100}; (9^{10})^{150} \equiv (1)^{150} \equiv 1 \pmod{100}$$

0.3.6

$$0*0 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; 1*1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; 2*2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; 3*3 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

0.3.7

As seen in the previous exercise, the addition of any two squares can only equal 0, 1, and 2.

0.3.8

We can see right away the only way $a^2, b^2, c^2 \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ can satisfy this is if $a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = 0$. This means a, b, c are all even. We now see a^2, b^2, c^2 all have a factor of 2^2 which implies there is a smaller solution available.

0.3.9

This problem reduces to showing that the odd elements of $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$ square to $\overline{1}$ $1*1 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}; 3*3 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}; 5*5 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}; 7*7 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$

0.3.10

The elements in $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$ are all numbers with multiplicative inverses modulo n. In order for a^{-1} to exist, (a, n) = 1. By definition, $\varphi(n)$ is the number of relatively prime numbers less than n. This will be hashed out in more detail in the following exercises!

0.3.11

Take $a, b \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^* \implies \exists a^{-1}, b^{-1} \text{ such that } a*b*b^{-1}*a^{-1} = a*1*a^{-1} = a*a^{-1} = 1$ Thus $a*b \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$ where $b^{-1}*a^{-1}$ is the inverse

0.3.12

We can write $a = a_1 * (a, n); n = n_1 * (a, n); a * n_1 = a_1 * n_1 * (a, n) = a_1 * n \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Now assume $\exists c \ s.t. \ ac \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \implies \exists k \ s.t. \ kn = ac - 1 \ \text{Since} \ (a, n)|ac - kn \ \forall c, k \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \implies (a, n)|1 \implies (a, n) = 1$. However, we have taken (a, n) > 1 and a contradiction arises!

0.3.13

From Euclid's algorithm, we have ac + kn = (a, n) = 1 for some $c, k \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \implies ac \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

0.3.14

From 12 we see all relatively prime a can't have a multiplicative inverse in \mathbb{Z} , and from 13 we see all relatively prime a has an inverse than may be computed with Euclid's algorithm; thus we have $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^* = a|(a,n) = 1$ For a concrete example, we can provide elements that either send some a to 0, or 1

```
\begin{array}{c} 1*1 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}; 2*6 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}; 3*4 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}; 5*5 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}; \\ 7*7 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}; 8*3 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}; 9*4 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}; 10*6 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}; 11*11 \equiv 1 \pmod{12} \end{array}
```

0.3.15

```
(a) (13)^{-1} \equiv 17 \pmod{20}

(b) (69)^{-1} \equiv 40 \pmod{89}

(c) (1891)^{-1} \equiv 253 \pmod{3797}

(d) (6003722857)^{-1} \equiv 77695236753 \pmod{77695236973}
```

0.3.16

```
Haskell implementation  reduceMod :: Integer - > Integer \\ reduceMod a \ n \mid (a < 0) = reduceMod \ (a + n) \ n \\ \mid (a > n - 1) = reduceMod \ (a - n) \ n \\ \mid otherwise = a \\  multiMod :: Integer - > Integer - > Integer - > Integer \\ multiMod a \ b \ n = reduceMod \ (a * b) \ n \\  addMod :: Integer - > Integer - > Integer - > Integer \\ addMod \ a \ b \ n = reduceMod \ (a + b) \ n \\  getInverse :: Integer - > Integer - > Maybe \ Integer \\ getInverse \ a \ n = relativePrime \ where \\ relativePrime \ \mid (gcd \ = 1) = Just \ (reduceMod \ inverse \ n) \\ \mid otherwise = Nothing \\ (gcd, inverse, \_) = linearGCD \ a \ n \\
```

Chapter 1

- 1.1.1
- 1.1.2
- 1.1.3
- 1.1.4
- 1.1.5
- 1.1.6
- 1.1.7
- 1.1.8
- 1.1.9
- 1.1.10
- 1.1.11
- 1.1.12
- 1.1.13
- 1.1.14
- 1.1.15
- 1.1.16
- 1.1.17
- 1.1.18
- 1.1.19
- 1.1.20
- 1.1.21
- 1.1.22
- 1.1.23
- 1.1.24
- 1.1.25
- 1.1.26
- 1.1.27
- 1.1.28
- 1.1.29
- 1.1.30
- 1.1.31
- 1.1.32
- 1.1.33