

Evaluating the wild Brauer group

Rachel Newton

King's College London

International Seminar on Automorphic Forms
February 2024

Local-global principles

This talk is about joint work with **Martin Bright**.

Set-up:

- L number field
- X/L smooth, projective, geometrically irreducible variety

$$X(L) \subset X(\mathbb{A}_L) = \prod_{v \text{ place of } L} X(L_v)$$

so

$$X(L) \neq \emptyset \implies X(\mathbb{A}_L) \neq \emptyset.$$

- If ' \Leftarrow ' holds in a family of varieties, we say the **Hasse principle** holds for that family.
- If $\overline{X(L)} = X(\mathbb{A}_L)$, we say **weak approximation** holds.

Brauer–Manin obstruction

Definition 1

The Brauer group of X is $\mathrm{Br} X = H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$.

Let $P_v \in X(L_v)$. Then evaluation at P_v gives a map

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Br} X &\rightarrow \mathrm{Br} L_v \\ \mathcal{A} &\mapsto \mathcal{A}(P_v).\end{aligned}$$

For finite v , the Hasse invariant gives a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{inv}_v : \mathrm{Br} L_v \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

We also have $\mathrm{Br} \mathbb{C} = 0$ and $\mathrm{Br} \mathbb{R} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Brauer–Manin obstruction

Theorem 2 (Manin, 1970)

Summing up the invariants gives a pairing

$$X(\mathbb{A}_L) \times \mathrm{Br} X \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

$$((P_v)_v, \mathcal{A}) \longmapsto \sum_v \mathrm{inv}_v \mathcal{A}(P_v)$$

such that $\overline{X(L)} \subset X(\mathbb{A}_L)^{\mathrm{Br} X} := \text{adelic points orthogonal to } \mathrm{Br} X$.

- If $X(\mathbb{A}_L) \neq \emptyset$ but $X(\mathbb{A}_L)^{\mathrm{Br} X} = \emptyset$ then $X(L) = \emptyset$ and we say there's a **Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle**.
- If $X(\mathbb{A}_L)^{\mathrm{Br} X} \neq X(\mathbb{A}_L)$ then $\overline{X(L)} \neq X(\mathbb{A}_L)$ and we say there's a **Brauer–Manin obstruction to weak approximation**.

Brauer–Manin obstruction

Suppose $X(\mathbb{A}_L) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $X(\mathbb{A}_L)^{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the set of adelic points orthogonal to $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Br } X[n]$.

Observations:

- If $|\mathcal{A}| : X(L_v) \rightarrow \text{Br } L_v[n]$ is non-constant for some v then $X(\mathbb{A}_L)^{\mathcal{A}} \neq X(\mathbb{A}_L)$, i.e. **\mathcal{A} obstructs weak approximation.**

Proof: Let $(P_w)_w \in X(\mathbb{A}_L)$. If $\sum_w \text{inv}_w \mathcal{A}(P_w) = 0$ then replace P_v with some Q_v such that $\text{inv}_v \mathcal{A}(Q_v) \neq \text{inv}_v \mathcal{A}(P_v)$. \square

- If $|\mathcal{A}| : X(L_v) \rightarrow \text{Br } L_v[n] = \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ is surjective for some finite v then $X(\mathbb{A}_L)^{\mathcal{A}} \neq \emptyset$, i.e. **\mathcal{A} does not obstruct the Hasse principle.**

Which primes can be involved in the Brauer–Manin obstruction?

Notation and assumptions:

- S set containing the Archimedean primes of L and the primes of bad reduction for X
- $\text{Pic } \bar{X}$ torsion-free

Question (Swinnerton-Dyer)

Is there an open and closed set $Z \subset \prod_{v \in S} X(L_v)$ such that

$$X(\mathbb{A}_L)^{\text{Br } X} = Z \times \prod_{v \notin S} X(L_v)?$$

Are the evaluation maps $|\mathcal{A}| : X(L_v) \rightarrow \text{Br } L_v$ constant for all $v \notin S$?

Does the Brauer–Manin obstruction involve only Archimedean primes and primes of bad reduction?

Which primes can be involved in the Brauer–Manin obstruction?

Why the assumption on $\text{Pic } \bar{X}$?

Non-example

E/\mathbb{Q} elliptic curve with $\#\text{III}(E) < \infty$ and $E(\mathbb{Q}) = \{\mathcal{O}_E\}$. Then

$$E(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br } E} = E(\mathbb{R})^0 \times \prod_p \{\mathcal{O}_E\}$$

where $E(\mathbb{R})^0$ is the connected component of the identity in $E(\mathbb{R})$.

Which primes can be involved in the Brauer–Manin obstruction?

Theorem 3 (Colliot-Thélène and Skorobogatov, 2013)

If $\text{Pic } \bar{X}$ is torsion free and $\text{Im}(\text{Br } X \rightarrow \text{Br } \bar{X})$ is finite then the only primes that can play a rôle in the Brauer–Manin obstruction are:

- *Archimedean primes;*
- *primes of bad reduction;*
- *primes dividing $\# \text{Im}(\text{Br } X \rightarrow \text{Br } \bar{X})$.*

Which primes can be involved in the Brauer–Manin obstruction?

Theorem 4 (Bright–N., 2023)

If $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2) \neq 0$ then every prime of good ordinary reduction is involved in a Brauer–Manin obstruction over some finite extension of L .

More precisely: Suppose $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2) \neq 0$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ be a prime of good ordinary reduction. Then $\exists L'/L$ finite, a prime $\mathfrak{p}' \mid \mathfrak{p}$, and $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Br } X_{L'} \setminus \{p\}$ such that

$$|\mathcal{A}| : X(L'_{\mathfrak{p}'}) \rightarrow \text{Br } L'_{\mathfrak{p}'}$$

is non-constant. In particular, \mathcal{A} obstructs weak approximation on $X_{L'}$.

Which primes can be involved in the Brauer–Manin obstruction?

Theorem 5 (Margherita Pagano, 2022)

Let X/\mathbb{Q} be given by

$$X : x^3y + y^3z + z^3w + w^3x + xyzw = 0$$

and let $\mathcal{A} = \left(\frac{z^3 + w^2x + xyz}{x^3}, \frac{-z}{x} \right) \in \text{Br } X$. Then:

- 2 is a prime of good reduction for X , and
- $|\mathcal{A}| : X(\mathbb{Q}_2) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ is not constant.

Which primes can be involved in the Brauer–Manin obstruction?

Why assume $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2) \neq 0$?

Hodge theory:

$$H^2(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) = H^{0,2}(X(\mathbb{C})) \oplus H^{1,1}(X(\mathbb{C})) \oplus H^{2,0}(X(\mathbb{C}))$$

where $H^{p,q}(X(\mathbb{C})) \cong H^q(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \Omega^p)$.

Let $b_2 = \dim H^2(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})$ and $\rho = \text{rk NS } X_{\mathbb{C}} \leq \dim H^{1,1}(X(\mathbb{C}))$.

Then $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2) \neq 0 \implies b_2 - \rho > 0$.

Which primes can be involved in the Brauer–Manin obstruction?

Grothendieck: $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^{b_2-\rho} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Br} \bar{X}$.

So $\exists L'/L$ finite such that the transcendental Brauer group $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Br} X_{L'} \rightarrow \mathrm{Br} \bar{X})$ is non-trivial.

On the other hand, if the transcendental Brauer group is trivial, then Theorem 3 (Colliot-Thélène and Skorobogatov) shows that the answer to Swinnerton-Dyer's question is yes.

Which primes can be involved in the Brauer–Manin obstruction?

Question

Suppose $\text{Pic } \bar{X}$ is torsion-free. Is there a finite set S of primes that can be involved in the Brauer–Manin obstruction for X/L ? Can we describe S ?

Which primes can be involved in the Brauer–Manin obstruction?

Theorem 6 (Bright–N., 2023)

Assume $\text{Pic } \bar{X}$ is torsion-free. Then \exists a finite set of primes S such that, for all $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Br } X$ and all $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$, $|\mathcal{A}| : X(L_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \text{Br } L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is constant. The set S can be taken to consist of:

- 1 *Archimedean primes;*
- 2 *primes of bad reduction;*
- 3 *primes \mathfrak{p} satisfying $e_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq p - 1$, where $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ and $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the absolute ramification index;*
- 4 *primes \mathfrak{p} for which $H^0(\mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{p}), \Omega^1) \neq 0$, where $\mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{p})$ is the special fibre.*

Which primes can be involved in the Brauer–Manin obstruction?

Corollary 7

Let X/\mathbb{Q} be a K3 surface. Let $S = \{\infty, 2\} \cup \{\text{primes of bad reduction}\}$. Then, for all $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Br } X$ and all $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$, $|\mathcal{A}| : X(L_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \text{Br } L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is constant.

Proof.

For all odd primes p , $e_p = 1 < p - 1$.

If p is a good prime then the special fibre $\mathcal{X}(p)$ is also a K3 surface and hence $H^0(\mathcal{X}(p), \Omega^1) = 0$. □

Which primes can be involved in the Brauer–Manin obstruction?

Note: in Theorem 6 we do not require the transcendental Brauer group to be finite.

Question: Can the transcendental Brauer group of X be infinite?

Answer: No, if X is an abelian variety or K3 surface (Skorobogatov–Zarhin, 2008). Unknown in general.

The local picture

From now on:

- k p -adic field
- π uniformiser
- \mathbb{F} residue field
- X/k smooth geometrically irreducible variety
- $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_k$ smooth model
- $Y = \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}_k} \mathbb{F}$ special fibre, assumed geometrically irreducible

The evaluation filtration

Suppose $Q \in X(k)$ extends to $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ and let $Q_0 \in Y(\mathbb{F})$ be its reduction. Then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \mathrm{Br} X(p') \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^1(Y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})(p') \\ \downarrow & \downarrow Q^* & \downarrow Q_0^* \\ \mathcal{A}(Q) & \mathrm{Br} k(p') \xrightarrow[\cong]{\partial} & H^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})(p') \end{array}$$

where $\mathrm{Br} X(p')$ denotes the prime-to- p torsion and ∂ denotes the residue map.

Key point: If $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Br} X(p')$ then $\mathcal{A}(Q)$ only depends on Q_0 .

This is **not true** for $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Br} X[p]$.

The evaluation filtration

Classifying elements of $\mathrm{Br} X$ according to the π -adic accuracy needed to evaluate them yields a filtration on $\mathrm{Br} X$ called the evaluation filtration.

Definition 8 (Evaluation filtration)

For $n \geq -1$, $\mathrm{Ev}_n \mathrm{Br} X$ consists of elements whose evaluation factors through $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_k/\pi^{n+1})$.

Kato's filtration by Swan conductor

Now let $K = \text{Frac}(\text{henselisation}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, \gamma}))$.

$$\text{Br } X \hookrightarrow \text{Br}(k(X)) \rightarrow \text{Br } K$$

Kato defined a filtration fil_n on $\text{Br } K$ called the filtration by Swan conductor.

Proposition 9 (Kato)

$$\mathrm{fil}_0 \mathrm{Br} K = \ker(\mathrm{Br} K \rightarrow \mathrm{Br} K^{nr}).$$

There is a residue map $\partial : \mathrm{fil}_0 \mathrm{Br} K[n] \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, where F is the residue field of K , which is the function field of Y .

The refined Swan conductor

Theorem 10 (Kato)

For $n \geq 1$, \exists an injective homomorphism

$$\mathrm{rsw}_n : \frac{\mathrm{fil}_n \mathrm{Br} K}{\mathrm{fil}_{n-1} \mathrm{Br} K} \hookrightarrow \Omega_F^2 \oplus \Omega_F^1$$

$\mathcal{A} \mapsto (\alpha, \beta) =: \mathrm{rsw}_n(\mathcal{A})$ “refined Swan conductor”.

The refined Swan conductor

If $\zeta_p \in K$ then $\mathrm{fil}_n \mathrm{Br} K[p]$ is generated by cyclic algebras of the form

$$(1 + x\pi^{e'-n}, y)_p$$

where $x \in \mathcal{O}_K, y \in K^\times$ and $e' = \frac{pe}{p-1}$ where $e = \mathrm{ord}_K(p)$.

In this case for $0 < n < e'$ and $p \nmid n$,

$$(1 + x\pi^{e'-n}, y)_p \longleftarrow \bar{x} \frac{d\bar{y}}{\bar{y}}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{fil}_n \mathrm{Br} K}{\mathrm{fil}_{n-1} \mathrm{Br} K} \xleftarrow{\cong} \Omega_F^1 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rsw}_n} \Omega_F^2 \oplus \Omega_F^1$$

$$\gamma \longmapsto (d\gamma, n\gamma).$$

Comparison of filtrations

Recall:

$$\mathrm{Br} X \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(k(X)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br} K$$

so fil_n gives a filtration on $\mathrm{Br} X$.

Theorem 11 (Bright–N., 2023)

- For $n \geq 1$, $\mathrm{Ev}_n \mathrm{Br} X = \{\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{fil}_{n+1} \mathrm{Br} X \mid \mathrm{rsw}_{n+1}(\mathcal{A}) \in \Omega_F^2 \oplus 0\};$
- $\mathrm{Ev}_0 \mathrm{Br} X = \mathrm{fil}_0 \mathrm{Br} X;$
- $\mathrm{Ev}_{-1} \mathrm{Br} X = \{\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{fil}_0 \mathrm{Br} X \mid \partial \mathcal{A} \in H^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})\}.$

Comparison of filtrations

Claim:

$\mathrm{fil}_n \mathrm{Br} X \subset \{\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{fil}_{n+1} \mathrm{Br} X \mid \mathrm{rsw}_{n+1}(\mathcal{A}) \in \Omega_F^2 \oplus 0\}$, with equality if $p \nmid n+1$.

Proof of Claim:

$$\mathrm{rsw}_{n+1} : \frac{\mathrm{fil}_{n+1} \mathrm{Br} X}{\mathrm{fil}_n \mathrm{Br} X} \hookrightarrow \Omega_F^2 \oplus \Omega_F^1.$$

- Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{fil}_{n+1} \mathrm{Br} X$. Then $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{fil}_n \mathrm{Br} X \iff \mathrm{rsw}_{n+1}(\mathcal{A}) = (0, 0)$.
So $\mathrm{fil}_n \mathrm{Br} X \subset \{\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{fil}_{n+1} \mathrm{Br} X \mid \mathrm{rsw}_{n+1}(\mathcal{A}) \in \Omega_F^2 \oplus 0\}$.
- Let $\mathrm{rsw}_{n+1}(\mathcal{A}) = (\alpha, \beta)$. If $p \nmid n+1$ then $\beta = 0 \implies \alpha = 0$. \square

Variation of wild evaluation maps on p -adic discs

Definition 12

For $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_k)$, let $B(P, n) = \{Q \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_k) \mid Q \equiv P \pmod{\pi^n}\}$.

Theorem 13 (Bright-N., 2023)

Let $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_k)$. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \text{fil}_n \text{Br } X$ with $\text{rsw}_n(\mathcal{A}) = (\alpha, \beta) \in \Omega_F^2 \oplus \Omega_F^1$. Then:

- $|\mathcal{A}|$ varies linearly on $B(P, n)$, controlled by β_{P_0} ;
- if $\beta = 0$ then $|\mathcal{A}|$ is constant on $B(P, n)$ and varies quadratically on larger discs, controlled by α_{P_0} .

Variation of wild evaluation maps on p -adic discs

More precisely, for

$$B(P, n) \ni Q = P + \pi^n \underline{v}$$

write

$$[\overrightarrow{PQ}]_n := \underline{v} \pmod{\pi} \in T_{P_0} Y.$$

Then for $Q \in B(P, n)$,

$$\mathrm{inv} \mathcal{A}(Q) = \mathrm{inv} \mathcal{A}(P) + \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p} \beta_{P_0}([\overrightarrow{PQ}]_n).$$

In particular, if $\beta_{P_0} \neq 0$, and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Br} X[p]$ then $|\mathcal{A}|$ maps $B(P, n)$ surjectively to $\mathrm{Br} k[p]$.

Applications to the Brauer–Manin obstruction

Recall:

Theorem 6 (Bright–N., 2023)

Assume $\text{Pic } \bar{X}$ is finitely generated and torsion-free. Then \exists a finite set of places S such that, for all $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Br } X$ and all $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$, $|\mathcal{A}| : X(L_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \text{Br } L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is constant. The set S can be taken to consist of:

- ❶ *Archimedean places;*
- ❷ *places of bad reduction ;*
- ❸ *places \mathfrak{p} satisfying $e_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq p - 1$, where $p \mid p$ and $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the absolute ramification index;*
- ❹ *places \mathfrak{p} for which $H^0(\mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{p}), \Omega^1) \neq 0$, where $\mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{p})$ is the special fibre.*

Idea of the proof of Theorem 6

Let $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$. Let $X_{\mathfrak{p}} = X \times_L L_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Aim: show that $\mathrm{Br} X_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{Ev}_{-1} \mathrm{Br} X_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Step 1: show that $\mathrm{Br} X_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{fil}_0 \mathrm{Br} X_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Step 2: show that $\mathrm{fil}_0 \mathrm{Br} X_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{Ev}_{-1} \mathrm{Br} X_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Idea of the proof of Theorem 6

Step 1: show that $\mathrm{Br} X_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{fil}_0 \mathrm{Br} X_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Sketch of Step 1: Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{fil}_n \mathrm{Br} X_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $n \geq 1$. Write $\mathrm{rsw}_n(\mathcal{A}) = (\alpha, \beta)$.
Need to show $\mathrm{rsw}_n(\mathcal{A}) = (0, 0)$.

Fact: $\beta \in H^0(\mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{p}), \Omega^1)$.

- Now $H^0(\mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{p}), \Omega^1) = 0$, since $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$. So $\beta = 0$. Remains to show $\alpha = 0$.
- If $p \nmid n$ then $\beta = 0 \implies \alpha = 0$. ☺
- Since $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$, we have $e < p - 1 \implies e' = \frac{pe}{p-1} < p$. Thus, $p \nmid n \forall n \leq e'$.
- Remaining case: $n > e'$ and $p \mid n$. In this case, $p\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{fil}_{n-e} \mathrm{Br} X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathrm{rsw}_{n-e}(p\mathcal{A}) = (\frac{p}{\pi^e}\alpha, \frac{p}{\pi^e}\beta)$. Since $p \nmid n - e$ and $\beta = 0$, we get $\alpha = 0$.
□

Idea of the proof of Theorem 6

Step 2: show that $\mathrm{fil}_0 \mathrm{Br} X_p = \mathrm{Ev}_{-1} \mathrm{Br} X_p$.

Sketch of Step 2: Colliot-Thélène–Skorobogatov: $\mathrm{Br} X_p(p') \subset \mathrm{Ev}_{-1} \mathrm{Br} X_p$.

Remains to deal with $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{fil}_0 \mathrm{Br} X_p[p^r]$.

$|\mathcal{A}|$ factors through $\partial : \mathrm{fil}_0 \mathrm{Br} X_p \rightarrow H^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/p^r)$.

The Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence gives a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r) \rightarrow H^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/p^r) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{Y}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r).$$

Since $\mathrm{Pic} \bar{X}$ is torsion-free, $H^1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r) = 0$.

With some work, this implies that $H^1(\bar{Y}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r) = 0$ and hence $H^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r) = H^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/p^r)$. \square