Continued Fractions and Hardy Sums

Alessandro Lägeler

ETH Zürich D-MATH

International Seminar on Automorphic Forms

October 28, 2020

D MATH



• Hardy sums are the integer-valued analogs of Dedekind sums. The latter arises from the transformation of $\log \eta(z)$ under the modular group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, where $\eta(z)$ is the Dedekind η -function

$$\eta(z) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{12}z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n z}).$$

• Hardy sums are the integer-valued analogs of Dedekind sums. The latter arises from the transformation of $\log \eta(z)$ under the modular group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, where $\eta(z)$ is the Dedekind η -function

$$\eta(z) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{12}z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n z}).$$

• The Hardy sums arise from the transformations of the logarithms of θ -functions under various subgroups of the modular group.

• Hardy sums are the integer-valued analogs of Dedekind sums. The latter arises from the transformation of $\log \eta(z)$ under the modular group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, where $\eta(z)$ is the Dedekind η -function

$$\eta(z) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{12}z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n z}).$$

- The Hardy sums arise from the transformations of the logarithms of θ -functions under various subgroups of the modular group.
- Dedekind sums are finite sums, which are in itself arithmetically interesting, as they have many symmetries. They also appear in other parts of mathematics, e.g. combinatorics, topology, geometry, physics, etc.

• Hardy sums are the integer-valued analogs of Dedekind sums. The latter arises from the transformation of $\log \eta(z)$ under the modular group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, where $\eta(z)$ is the Dedekind η -function

$$\eta(z) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{12}z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n z}).$$

- The Hardy sums arise from the transformations of the logarithms of θ -functions under various subgroups of the modular group.
- Dedekind sums are finite sums, which are in itself arithmetically interesting, as they have many symmetries. They also appear in other parts of mathematics, e.g. combinatorics, topology, geometry, physics, etc.
- Hardy sums can be used in the theory of Gaussian sums (see Sczech 1995) and in the representation of integers as sums of squares.

A continued fraction is defined to be

$$[a_0; a_1, a_2, ..., a_n] = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{... + \frac{1}{a_n}}}},$$

where $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_1, ..., a_n \geq 1$.

A continued fraction is defined to be

$$[a_0; a_1, a_2, ..., a_n] = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{... + \frac{1}{a_n}}}},$$

where $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_1, ..., a_n \geq 1$.

Similarly, we may define the negative continued fraction by

$$[c_0; c_1, c_2, ..., c_n] = c_0 - \frac{1}{c_1 - \frac{1}{c_2 - \frac{1}{... - \frac{1}{c_n}}}},$$

where $c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c_1, ..., c_n \geq 2$.

The continued fraction expansions (CFE) of a rational number

$$\frac{a}{c} = [a_0; a_1, ..., a_n], \ c > 0 \ \mathrm{and} \ \big(a, c\big) = 1,$$

is connected to words in the modular group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ under a set of generators.

The continued fraction expansions (CFE) of a rational number

$$\frac{a}{c} = [a_0; a_1, ..., a_n], \ c > 0 \ \mathrm{and} \ (a, c) = 1,$$

is connected to words in the modular group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ under a set of generators.

The group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $T=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $V=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0\\1&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ or by T and $S=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&-1\\1&0\end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

The word $T^{a_0}V^{a_1}\cdots V^{a_n}\in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ gives rise to the CFE of $\frac{a}{c}$ by acting on the cusp ∞ by linear fractional transformations:

The word $T^{a_0}V^{a_1}\cdots V^{a_n}\in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ gives rise to the CFE of $\frac{a}{c}$ by acting on the cusp ∞ by linear fractional transformations:

$$\frac{a}{c} = (T^{a_0}V^{a_1}\cdots V^{a_n}).\infty = [a_0; a_1, ..., a_n].$$

The word $T^{a_0}V^{a_1}\cdots V^{a_n}\in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ gives rise to the CFE of $\frac{a}{c}$ by acting on the cusp ∞ by linear fractional transformations:

$$\frac{a}{c} = (T^{a_0}V^{a_1}\cdots V^{a_n}).\infty = [a_0; a_1, ..., a_n].$$

We have $\binom{a \ b}{c \ d} = T^{a_0} V^{a_1} \cdots V^{a_n} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $0 \leq d < c$ and $0 \leq a < b$.

The word $T^{a_0}V^{a_1}\cdots V^{a_n}\in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ gives rise to the CFE of $\frac{a}{c}$ by acting on the cusp ∞ by linear fractional transformations:

$$\frac{a}{c} = (T^{a_0}V^{a_1}\cdots V^{a_n}).\infty = [a_0; a_1, ..., a_n].$$

We have $\binom{a \ b}{c \ d} = T^{a_0} V^{a_1} \cdots V^{a_n} \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $0 \leq d < c$ and $0 \leq a < b$.

Similarly, the negative CFE of $\frac{a}{c}$ gives rise to a word in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ in terms of T and S.

The representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ in terms of T,V or T,S and the CFE of a rational number are a reformulation of Euclidean division.

The representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ in terms of T,V or T,S and the CFE of a rational number are a reformulation of Euclidean division.

Algorithm CFE: Let $r \in \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}$.

• Pick $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r_0 = r - a_0 \in [0, 1)$. If $r_0 = 0$, we are done.

The representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ in terms of T,V or T,S and the CFE of a rational number are a reformulation of Euclidean division.

Algorithm CFE: Let $r \in \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}$.

- Pick $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r_0 = r a_0 \in [0, 1)$. If $r_0 = 0$, we are done.
- Else, we have $\frac{1}{r_0} > 1$. Pick $a_1 \ge 1$ such that $r_1 = \frac{1}{r_0} a_1 \in [0,1)$. If $r_1 = 0$, we are done, as then $r = [a_0; a_1]$.

The representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ in terms of T,V or T,S and the CFE of a rational number are a reformulation of Euclidean division.

Algorithm CFE: Let $r \in \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}$.

- Pick $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r_0 = r a_0 \in [0, 1)$. If $r_0 = 0$, we are done.
- Else, we have $\frac{1}{r_0} > 1$. Pick $a_1 \ge 1$ such that $r_1 = \frac{1}{r_0} a_1 \in [0,1)$. If $r_1 = 0$, we are done, as then $r = [a_0; a_1]$.
- Else, we have $\frac{1}{r_1} > 1$. Pick $a_2 \ge 1$ such that $r_2 = \frac{1}{r_1} a_2 \in [0,1)$. If $r_2 = 0$, we are done, as then $r = [a_0; a_1, a_2]$.

The representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ in terms of T,V or T,S and the CFE of a rational number are a reformulation of Euclidean division.

Algorithm CFE: Let $r \in \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}$.

- Pick $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r_0 = r a_0 \in [0, 1)$. If $r_0 = 0$, we are done.
- Else, we have $\frac{1}{r_0} > 1$. Pick $a_1 \ge 1$ such that $r_1 = \frac{1}{r_0} a_1 \in [0,1)$. If $r_1 = 0$, we are done, as then $r = [a_0; a_1]$.
- Else, we have $\frac{1}{r_1} > 1$. Pick $a_2 \ge 1$ such that $r_2 = \frac{1}{r_1} a_2 \in [0,1)$. If $r_2 = 0$, we are done, as then $r = [a_0; a_1, a_2]$.
- ..

Let s(d, c) be the classical Dedekind sum

$$s(d,c) = \frac{1}{4c} \sum_{k=1}^{|c|-1} \cot \frac{\pi k}{c} \cot \frac{\pi k d}{c}, \ (d,c) = 1.$$

Let s(d, c) be the classical Dedekind sum

$$s(d,c) = \frac{1}{4c} \sum_{k=1}^{|c|-1} \cot \frac{\pi k}{c} \cot \frac{\pi k d}{c}, \ (d,c) = 1.$$

It exhibits many properties, e.g.

• the reciprocity law

$$s(d,c)+s(c,d)=\frac{c^2+d^2+1}{cd}-\frac{1}{4},\ (d,c)=1.$$

Let s(d, c) be the classical Dedekind sum

$$s(d,c) = \frac{1}{4c} \sum_{k=1}^{|c|-1} \cot \frac{\pi k}{c} \cot \frac{\pi k d}{c}, \ (d,c) = 1.$$

It exhibits many properties, e.g.

• the reciprocity law

$$s(d,c) + s(c,d) = \frac{c^2 + d^2 + 1}{cd} - \frac{1}{4}, \ (d,c) = 1.$$

Theorem 1 (Hickerson 1977)

The set $\{(d/c, s(d,c)) : (d,c) = 1\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.



To prove the density of Dedekind sums, Hickerson provided an expression for s(d,c) in terms of the CFE of the rational

$$\frac{d}{c} = [0; a_1, ..., a_{2n+1}] = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{... + \frac{1}{a_{2n+1}}}} \neq 1, \ n > 0,$$

namely

$$s(d,c) = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{a+d}{c} - \sum_{k=1}^{2n+1} (-1)^k a_k \right), \ 0 < a < c, \ ad \equiv 1 \pmod{c}.$$

Dedekind sums first arose in the context of the transformation of $\log \eta(z)$ under the modular group, where $\eta(z) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{12}z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n z})$.

Dedekind sums first arose in the context of the transformation of $\log \eta(z)$ under the modular group, where $\eta(z) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{12}z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n z})$.

For $A = \binom{a \ b}{c \ d} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with c > 0, the transformation of $\log \eta(z)$ is:

$$\log \eta(A.z) - \log \eta(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{cz+d}{i} \right) + \frac{\pi i}{12} \left(\frac{a+d}{c} - 12 \, s(d,c) \right).$$

Dedekind sums first arose in the context of the transformation of $\log \eta(z)$ under the modular group, where $\eta(z) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{12}z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n z})$.

For $A = \binom{a \ b}{c \ d} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with c > 0, the transformation of $\log \eta(z)$ is:

$$\log \eta(A.z) - \log \eta(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{cz+d}{i} \right) + \frac{\pi i}{12} \left(\frac{a+d}{c} - 12 \, s(d,c) \right).$$

Reciprocity law for Dedekind sums: Compare the transformation of $\log \eta(z)$ under the matrices AS and A.

Differentiating $\log \eta(A.z) - \log \eta(z)$ with respect to z, yields

$$\begin{split} \partial_z(\log\eta(A.z) - \log\eta(z)) &= \partial_z \left(\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{cz+d}{i}\right) + \frac{\pi i}{12}\left(\frac{a+d}{c} - 12\,s(d,c)\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{c}{cz+d} \\ &= \frac{\pi i}{12}\left(E_2(z)|_2A - E_2(z)\right), \end{split}$$

where

$$E_2(z) = 1 - 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_1(n) e^{2\pi i n z}, \ \sigma_1(n) = \sum_{d|n} d$$

is the Eisenstein series of weight 2.



Hence, the function

$$\nu(A) = \log \eta(A.z) - \log \eta(z) - \frac{\pi i}{12} \int_{z}^{A.z} E_2(w) dw$$

is constant in z and a group homomorphism $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to (\mathbb{C},+)$:

Hence, the function

$$\nu(A) = \log \eta(A.z) - \log \eta(z) - \frac{\pi i}{12} \int_{z}^{A.z} E_2(w) dw$$

is constant in z and a group homomorphism $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) o (\mathbb{C},+)$:

For $A, B \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$\nu(AB) = \log \eta(AB.z) - \log \eta(z) - \frac{\pi i}{12} \int_{z}^{AB.z} E_{2}(w) dw$$

$$= \eta(A.(B.z)) - \log \eta(B.z) + \log \eta(B.z) - \log \eta(z)$$

$$- \frac{\pi i}{12} \int_{B.z}^{AB.z} E_{2}(w) dw - \frac{\pi i}{12} \int_{z}^{B.z} E_{2}(w) dw$$

$$= \nu(A) + \nu(B).$$

Hence, the function

$$\nu(A) = \log \eta(A.z) - \log \eta(z) - \frac{\pi i}{12} \int_{z}^{A.z} E_2(w) dw$$

is constant in z and a group homomorphism $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) o (\mathbb{C},+).$

But as $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the torsion-elements S (with $S^4=I$) and $U=ST=\binom{0}{1}\binom{-1}{1}$ (with $U^6=I$), every group-homomorphism $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\to (\mathbb{C},+)$ is trivial.

11/31

We have hence shown that $\nu \equiv 0$, which leads to the well-known formula

$$\log \eta(A.z) - \log \eta(z) = \frac{\pi i}{12} \int_z^{A.z} E_2(w) dw.$$

We have hence shown that $\nu \equiv 0$, which leads to the well-known formula

$$\log \eta(A.z) - \log \eta(z) = \frac{\pi i}{12} \int_{z}^{A.z} E_2(w) dw.$$

This formula can be used to prove Hickerson's representation of s(d, c) by continued fractions.

Idea of the Proof:

Idea of the Proof:

Let
$$(d, c) = 1$$
 and $c > 0$ with $\frac{d}{c} = [0; a_1, a_2, ..., a_{2n+1}], n \ge 0$ and $a_k \ge 1$.

Idea of the Proof:

Let
$$(d,c)=1$$
 and $c>0$ with $\frac{d}{c}=[0;a_1,a_2,...,a_{2n+1}],\ n\geq 0$ and $a_k\geq 1$. For $0< a< c$ and $ad\equiv 1\pmod c$, we have $\frac{a}{c}=[0;a_{2n+1},...,a_2,a_1]$ and $\binom{a}{c}\binom{b}{d}=V^{a_{2n+1}}T^{a_{2n}}\cdots T^{a_2}V^{a_1}\in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$

Idea of the Proof:

Let (d,c)=1 and c>0 with $\frac{d}{c}=[0;a_1,a_2,...,a_{2n+1}],\ n\geq 0$ and $a_k\geq 1$. For 0< a< c and $ad\equiv 1\pmod c$, we have $\frac{a}{c}=[0;a_{2n+1},...,a_2,a_1]$ and $\binom{a\ b}{c\ d}=V^{a_{2n+1}}T^{a_{2n}}\cdots T^{a_2}V^{a_1}\in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$

Write $A = A_1 A_2 \cdots A_N$ with $A_k \in \{T, V\}$ for k = 1, ..., N (i.e. all coefficients of the continued fraction expansion are positive). Write $A_1 \cdots A_k = \binom{*}{c_k} \binom{*}{d_k}$.

13/31

Idea of the Proof:

Let (d,c)=1 and c>0 with $\frac{d}{c}=[0;a_1,a_2,...,a_{2n+1}],\ n\geq 0$ and $a_k\geq 1$. For 0< a< c and $ad\equiv 1\pmod c$, we have $\frac{a}{c}=[0;a_{2n+1},...,a_2,a_1]$ and $\binom{a}{c}\binom{b}{d}=V^{a_{2n+1}}T^{a_{2n}}\cdots T^{a_2}V^{a_1}\in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$

Write $A = A_1 A_2 \cdots A_N$ with $A_k \in \{T, V\}$ for k = 1, ..., N (i.e. all coefficients of the continued fraction expansion are positive). Write $A_1 \cdots A_k = \binom{*}{c_k} \binom{*}{d_k}$.

Set

$$t = |\{k : A_k = T\}| = a_2 + a_4 + ... + a_{2n} \text{ and } v = |\{k : A_k = V\}| = a_1 + a_3 + ... + a_{2n+1}.$$

13/31

Idea of the Proof:

Let (d,c)=1 and c>0 with $\frac{d}{c}=[0;a_1,a_2,...,a_{2n+1}],\ n\geq 0$ and $a_k\geq 1$. For 0< a< c and $ad\equiv 1\pmod c$, we have $\frac{a}{c}=[0;a_{2n+1},...,a_2,a_1]$ and $\binom{a}{c}\binom{b}{d}=V^{a_{2n+1}}T^{a_{2n}}\cdots T^{a_2}V^{a_1}\in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$

Write $A=A_1A_2\cdots A_N$ with $A_k\in\{T,V\}$ for k=1,...,N (i.e. all coefficients of the continued fraction expansion are positive). Write $A_1\cdots A_k=\left(\begin{smallmatrix} k & k \\ c_k & d_k \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

Set

$$t = |\{k : A_k = T\}| = a_2 + a_4 + ... + a_{2n} \text{ and } v = |\{k : A_k = V\}| = a_1 + a_3 + ... + a_{2n+1}.$$

With this notation, Hickerson's formula is

$$s(d,c) = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{a+d}{c} - (t-v) \right).$$

Idea of the Proof:

Specialize to $z=
ho^2=e^{rac{2\pi i}{3}}$ in the formula

$$\log \eta(A.z) - \log \eta(z) = \frac{\pi i}{12} \int_z^{A.z} E_2(w) dw.$$

Idea of the Proof:

Specialize to $z=\rho^2=e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$ in the integral formula of $\log \eta(A.z)-\log \eta(z)$.

Crucial point: We have $T \cdot \rho^2 = V \cdot \rho^2 = \rho^2 + 1 = \rho$.

Idea of the Proof:

Specialize to $z = \rho^2 = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$ in the integral formula of $\log \eta(A.z) - \log \eta(z)$.

Crucial point: We have $T \cdot \rho^2 = V \cdot \rho^2 = \rho^2 + 1 = \rho$.

We may now split the integral $\int_{
ho^2}^{A.
ho^2} E_2(w) dw$ as follows:

Idea of the Proof:

Specialize to $z=\rho^2=e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$ in the integral formula of $\log \eta(A.z)-\log \eta(z)$.

Crucial point: We have $T \cdot \rho^2 = V \cdot \rho^2 = \rho^2 + 1 = \rho$.

We may now split the integral $\int_{
ho^2}^{A.
ho^2} E_2(w) dw$ as follows:

$$\int_{\rho^{2}}^{A.\rho^{2}} E_{2}(w)dw = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{A_{1} \cdots A_{k-1} \cdot \rho^{2}}^{A_{1} \cdots A_{k} \cdot \rho^{2}} E_{2}(w)dw$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{\rho^{2}}^{A_{k} \cdot \rho^{2}} E_{2}(w)|_{2}(A_{1} \cdots A_{k-1})dw$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left(\int_{\rho^{2}}^{\rho^{2}+1} E_{2}(w)dw + \frac{6}{\pi i} \int_{\rho^{2}}^{\rho^{2}+1} \frac{c_{k-1}}{c_{k-1}w + d_{k-1}} dw \right).$$

Idea of the Proof:

We may now split the integral $\int_{\rho^2}^{A.\rho^2} E_2(w) dw$ as follows:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\rho^2}^{A.\rho^2} E_2(w) dw = \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\int_{\rho^2}^{\rho^2+1} E_2(w) dw + \frac{6}{\pi i} \int_{\rho^2}^{\rho^2+1} \frac{c_{k-1}}{c_{k-1}w + d_{k-1}} dw \right) \\ &= \underbrace{t + v}_{-N} + \frac{6}{\pi i} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\log(c_{k-1}\rho^2 + (c_{k-1} + d_{k-1})) - \log(c_{k-1}\rho^2 + d_{k-1}) \right), \end{split}$$

as
$$\int_{
ho^2}^{
ho} E_2(w)dw = 1$$
.

Idea of the Proof:

A careful analysis of the sum

$$\frac{6}{\pi i} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left(\log(c_{k-1}\rho^2 + (c_{k-1} + d_{k-1})) - \log(c_{k-1}\rho^2 + d_{k-1}) \right)$$

gives that it is equal to it is equal to

$$\frac{6}{\pi i} \log \left(\frac{c\rho^2 + d}{i} \right) + 3 - 2 v.$$

Idea of the Proof:

A careful analysis of the sum

$$\frac{6}{\pi i} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left(\log(c_{k-1}\rho^2 + (c_{k-1} + d_{k-1})) - \log(c_{k-1}\rho^2 + d_{k-1}) \right)$$

gives that it is equal to it is equal to

$$\frac{6}{\pi i} \log \left(\frac{c\rho^2 + d}{i} \right) + 3 - 2 v.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\rho^2}^{A.\rho^2} E_2(w) dw = \frac{6}{\pi i} \log \left(\frac{c\rho^2 + d}{i} \right) + 3 + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{2n+1} (-1)^k a_k}_{=t-v}.$$

Idea of the Proof:

A careful analysis of the sum

$$\frac{6}{\pi i} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left(\log(c_{k-1}\rho^2 + (c_{k-1} + d_{k-1})) - \log(c_{k-1}\rho^2 + d_{k-1}) \right)$$

gives that it is equal to it is equal to

$$\frac{6}{\pi i} \log \left(\frac{c\rho^2 + d}{i} \right) + 3 - 2 v.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\rho^2}^{A.\rho^2} E_2(w) dw = \frac{6}{\pi i} \log \left(\frac{c\rho^2 + d}{i} \right) + 3 + \sum_{k=1}^{2n+1} (-1)^k a_k.$$

The claim follows by comparing the integral of E_2 with

$$\log \eta(A.\rho^2) - \log \eta(\rho^2) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{c\rho^2 + d}{i} \right) + \frac{\pi i}{12} \left(\frac{a+d}{c} - 12 \ s(d,c) \right).$$

Analogously to the logarithm of the η -function, we may look at the transformation behavior of the logarithm of θ -functions:

Analogously to the logarithm of the η -function, we may look at the transformation behavior of the logarithm of θ -functions:

$$\theta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i n^2 z} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i n z}\right) \left(1 + e^{\pi i (2n-1)z}\right)^2$$

Analogously to the logarithm of the η -function, we may look at the transformation behavior of the logarithm of θ -functions:

$$\theta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i n^2 z} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n z}) (1 + e^{\pi i (2n-1)z})^2$$
 and

$$\theta_4(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n e^{\pi i n^2 z} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i n z}\right) \left(1 - e^{\pi i (2n-1)z}\right)^2.$$

Analogously to the logarithm of the η -function, we may look at the transformation behavior of the logarithm of θ -functions:

$$\theta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i n^2 z} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n z}) (1 + e^{\pi i (2n-1)z})^2$$
 and

$$\theta_4(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n e^{\pi i n^2 z} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i n z}\right) \left(1 - e^{\pi i (2n-1)z}\right)^2.$$

The functions $\theta(z)$ and $\theta_4(z)$ exhibit modular transformations for the subgroups

$$\Gamma_{\theta} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) : a \equiv d, \ b \equiv c \pmod{2} \right\}, \text{ resp.}$$

$$\Gamma^{0}(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) : b \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \right\}$$

instead of the full modular group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.



The correction factors of the modular transformations of $\log \theta(z)$ and $\log \theta_4(z)$ are called Hardy sums:

The correction factors of the modular transformations of $\log \theta(z)$ and $\log \theta_4(z)$ are called Hardy sums:

• For $A = \binom{*}{c} \stackrel{*}{d} \in \Gamma_{\theta}$ with c > 0:

$$\log \theta(A.z) - \log \theta(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{cz + d}{i} \right) + \frac{\pi i}{4} S(d, c).$$

The correction factors of the modular transformations of $\log \theta(z)$ and $\log \theta_4(z)$ are called Hardy sums:

• For $A = \binom{* \ *}{c \ d} \in \Gamma_{\theta}$ with c > 0:

$$\log \theta(A.z) - \log \theta(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{cz + d}{i} \right) + \frac{\pi i}{4} S(d, c).$$

• For $B = \binom{*}{c} \binom{*}{d} \in \Gamma^0(2)$ with c > 0:

$$\log \theta_4(B.z) - \log \theta_4(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{cz+d}{i} \right) - \frac{\pi i}{4} S_4(d,c).$$

The Hardy sums take the following explicit forms:

S(d,c) = 8 s(d,2c) + 8 s(2d,c) - 20 s(d,c)

and

•

$$S_4(d,c) = -4 s(d,c) + 8 s(d,2c)$$

(Rademacher 1967; see also Sitaramachandrarao 1987).

The Hardy sums take the following explicit forms:

•

$$S(d,c) = 8 s(d,2c) + 8 s(2d,c) - 20 s(d,c)$$

and

$$S_4(d,c) = -4 s(d,c) + 8 s(d,2c)$$

(Rademacher 1967; see also Sitaramachandrarao 1987).

•

$$S(d,c) = \sum_{k=1}^{|c|-1} (-1)^{k+1+\left\lfloor \frac{kd}{c} \right\rfloor}$$

and

$$S_4(d,c) = \sum_{k=1}^{|c|-1} (-1)^{\left\lfloor \frac{kd}{c} \right\rfloor}$$

(Berndt 1978).



The Hardy sum S(d, c) satisfies a reciprocity law:

$$S(d,c) + S(c,d) = \operatorname{sign}(cd), \quad (d,c) = 1, c + d \operatorname{odd},$$

as $\binom{0}{1} \binom{-1}{0} \in \Gamma_{\theta}$ (see Sczech 1995).

The Hardy sum S(d, c) satisfies a reciprocity law:

$$S(d,c) + S(c,d) = \operatorname{sign}(cd), \quad (d,c) = 1, c + d \operatorname{odd},$$

as $\binom{0}{1} \binom{-1}{0} \in \Gamma_{\theta}$ (see Sczech 1995).

Since $\binom{0}{1} - \binom{1}{0} \notin \Gamma^0(2)$, there is no reciprocity law for $S_4(d,c)$ (some results resembling reciprocity laws for $S_4(d,c)$ can be found in Meyer 1997, 2000).

19/31

Any matrix in $\binom{a\ b}{c\ d}\in \Gamma_{\theta}=\langle T^2,S\rangle$ has a representation as a word in T^2,S :

$$\binom{a \ b}{c \ d} = T^{2c_0} S T^{2c_1} \cdots T^{2c_n} S$$

with $c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c_1, ..., c_n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$.

Any matrix in $\binom{a\ b}{c\ d}\in \Gamma_{\theta}=\langle T^2,S\rangle$ has a representation as a word in T^2,S :

$$\binom{a \ b}{c \ d} = T^{2c_0} S T^{2c_1} \cdots T^{2c_n} S$$

with $c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c_1, ..., c_n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$.

Evaluating at the cusp ∞ gives a negative CFE of $\frac{a}{c}$:

20 / 31

Any matrix in $\binom{a\ b}{c\ d}\in \Gamma_{\theta}=\langle T^2,S\rangle$ has a representation as a word in T^2,S :

$$\binom{a \ b}{c \ d} = T^{2c_0} S T^{2c_1} \cdots T^{2c_n} S$$

with $c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c_1, ..., c_n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$.

Evaluating at the cusp ∞ gives a negative CFE of $\frac{a}{c}$:

$$\frac{a}{c} = \binom{a}{c} \binom{a}{c} \cdot \infty = (T^{2c_0} S T^{2c_1} \cdots T^{2c_n}) \cdot \infty
= [2c_0; 2c_1, ..., 2c_n] = 2c_0 - \frac{1}{2c_1 - \frac{1}{... - \frac{1}{2c_n}}}.$$

Any matrix in $\binom{a\ b}{c\ d}\in \Gamma_{\theta}=\langle T^2,S\rangle$ has a representation as a word in T^2,S :

$$\binom{a \ b}{c \ d} = T^{2c_0} S T^{2c_1} \cdots T^{2c_n} S$$

with $c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c_1, ..., c_n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$.

Evaluating at the cusp ∞ gives a negative CFE of $\frac{a}{c}$:

$$\frac{a}{c} = \binom{a}{c} \binom{a}{c} . \infty = (T^{2c_0} S T^{2c_1} \cdots T^{2c_n}) . \infty
= [2c_0; 2c_1, ..., 2c_n] = 2c_0 - \frac{1}{2c_1 - \frac{1}{... - \frac{1}{2c_0}}}.$$

Similarly, we get continued fractions associated to the subgroup $\Gamma^0(2) = \langle T^2, V \rangle$.

Algorithm for the Γ_{θ} -CFE:

Algorithm for the Γ_{θ} -CFE:

Algorithm for the Γ_{θ} -CFE:

Let $\frac{d}{c} \in \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}$ with c + d odd.

• Pick $c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r_0 = r - 2c_0 \in (-1, 1)$. If $r_0 = 0$, we are done.

21/31

Algorithm for the Γ_{θ} -CFE:

- Pick $c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r_0 = r 2c_0 \in (-1,1)$. If $r_0 = 0$, we are done.
- Else, we have $\frac{1}{|r_0|} > 1$. Pick $c_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \{0\}$ such that $r_1 = 2c_1 + \frac{1}{r_0} \in (-1,1)$. If $r_1 = 0$, we are done, as then $\frac{d}{c} = [2c_0; 2c_1]$.

Algorithm for the Γ_{θ} -CFE:

- Pick $c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r_0 = r 2c_0 \in (-1, 1)$. If $r_0 = 0$, we are done.
- Else, we have $\frac{1}{|r_0|} > 1$. Pick $c_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \{0\}$ such that $r_1 = 2c_1 + \frac{1}{r_0} \in (-1,1)$. If $r_1 = 0$, we are done, as then $\frac{d}{c} = [2c_0; 2c_1]$.
- Else, we have $\frac{1}{|r_1|} > 1$. Pick $c_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \{0\}$ such that $r_2 = 2c_2 + \frac{1}{r_1} \in (-1,1)$. If $r_2 = 0$, we are done as then $\frac{d}{c} = [\![2c_0; 2c_1, 2c_2]\!]$.

Algorithm for the Γ_{θ} -CFE:

- Pick $c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r_0 = r 2c_0 \in (-1, 1)$. If $r_0 = 0$, we are done.
- Else, we have $\frac{1}{|r_0|} > 1$. Pick $c_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \{0\}$ such that $r_1 = 2c_1 + \frac{1}{r_0} \in (-1,1)$. If $r_1 = 0$, we are done, as then $\frac{d}{c} = [2c_0; 2c_1]$.
- Else, we have $\frac{1}{|r_1|} > 1$. Pick $c_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \{0\}$ such that $r_2 = 2c_2 + \frac{1}{r_1} \in (-1,1)$. If $r_2 = 0$, we are done as then $\frac{d}{c} = [\![2c_0; 2c_1, 2c_2]\!]$.
- ...

Theorem 2 (L. 2020)

Let d be an integer and c > 0 such that (d, c) = 1.

• For c+d odd, let $\frac{d}{c}=[\![2c_0;2c_1,...,2c_n]\!]=2c_0-\frac{1}{2c_1-\frac{1}{\cdots-\frac{1}{2c_n}}}$ with $c_0\in\mathbb{Z}$ and

 $c_1,...,c_n$ non-zero integers be the negative continued fraction of $\frac{d}{c}$. The Hardy sum S(d,c) takes the form

$$S(d,c) = -\sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{sign}(c_k).$$

② For d odd, let $\frac{d}{c} = [2a_0; a_1, 2a_2, a_3, ..., 2a_{n-1}, a_n]$ with $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_1, ..., a_n$ non-zero integers such that $|a_k| > 1$ for k = 1, ..., n-2 an odd integer. The Hardy sum $S_4(d, c)$ takes the form

$$S_4(d,c) = (a_1 + a_3 + ... + a_n) + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \operatorname{sign}(a_k).$$

Idea of the Proof:

Idea of the Proof:

By the same argument as we used before to prove Hickerson's formula, we can prove the representation of Hardy sums by the Γ_{θ} -CFE resp. $\Gamma^{0}(2)$ -CFE.

Idea of the Proof:

By the same argument as we used before to prove Hickerson's formula, we can prove the representation of Hardy sums by the Γ_{θ} -CFE resp. $\Gamma^{0}(2)$ -CFE.

Recall that

$$\nu(A) = \log \eta(A.z) - \log \eta(z) - \frac{\pi i}{12} \int_{z}^{A.z} E_2(w) dw$$

is a group homomorphism $\nu: \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) o (\mathbb{C},+).$

Idea of the Proof:

By the same argument as we used before to prove Hickerson's formula, we can prove the representation of Hardy sums by the Γ_{θ} -CFE resp. $\Gamma^{0}(2)$ -CFE.

Recall that

$$\nu(A) = \log \eta(A.z) - \log \eta(z) - \frac{\pi i}{12} \int_{z}^{A.z} E_2(w) dw$$

is a group homomorphism $\nu: \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) o (\mathbb{C}, +)$.

The function

$$\phi(A) = \log \theta(A.z) - \log \theta(z) - \frac{\pi i}{12} \int_{z}^{A.z} E_2(w) dw$$

is also a group homomorphism $\phi: \Gamma_{\theta} \to (\mathbb{C}, +)$.

Idea of the Proof:

The group homomorphisms $\Gamma_{\theta} \to (\mathbb{C}, +)$ form a vector-space, which is one-dimensional and spanned by the function

$$A \mapsto \int_{z}^{A.z} R(w)dw,$$

where

$$\begin{split} R(z) &= 2 \; E_2(2z) - E_2\left(\frac{z+1}{2}\right) \\ &= 1 + 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \sigma_1^{\text{odd}}(n) e^{\pi i n z}, \; \sigma_1^{\text{odd}}(n) = \sum_{\substack{d \mid n, \\ d \text{ odd}}} d, \end{split}$$

is the unique modular form for Γ_{θ} of weight 2.



Idea of the Proof:

The evaluation $\phi(T^2) = -\frac{\pi i}{6}$ gives the constant of proportionality of ϕ to $T^2 \mapsto \int_{-\pi}^{T^2 \cdot z} R(w) dw = 2$.

Idea of the Proof:

The evaluation $\phi(T^2) = -\frac{\pi i}{6}$ gives the constant of proportionality of ϕ to

$$T^2 \mapsto \int_z^{T^2.z} R(w) dw = 2.$$

Instead of

$$\log \eta(A.z) - \log \eta(z) = \frac{\pi i}{12} \int_{z}^{A.z} E_{2}(w) dw, \ A \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}),$$

we have

$$\log \theta(A.z) - \log \theta(z) = \frac{\pi i}{12} \int_{z}^{A.z} (E_2(w) - R(w)) dw, \ A \in \Gamma_{\theta},$$

where R(z) is the unique modular form of weight 2 for Γ_{θ}

Idea of the Proof:

With the formula

$$\log \theta(A.z) - \log \theta(z) = \frac{\pi i}{12} \int_{z}^{A.z} (E_2(w) - R(w)) dw, \ A \in \Gamma_{\theta},$$

we can prove the formula for S(d,c) in terms of coefficients of the Γ_{θ} -CFE similarly to how we proved Hickerson's formula for s(d,c).

Idea of the Proof:

With the formula

$$\log \theta(A.z) - \log \theta(z) = \frac{\pi i}{12} \int_{z}^{A.z} (E_{2}(w) - R(w)) dw, \ A \in \Gamma_{\theta},$$

we can prove the formula for S(d,c) in terms of coefficients of the Γ_{θ} -CFE similarly to how we proved Hickerson's formula for s(d,c).

Since we also allow negative coefficients in the Γ_{θ} -CFE, this is technically more involved.

Hickerson used his formula to prove that $\{(d/c, s(d,c)) : (d,c) = 1, c > 0\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. What is known for Hardy sums?

Hickerson used his formula to prove that $\{(d/c, s(d,c)) : (d,c) = 1, c > 0\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. What is known for Hardy sums?

Theorem 3 (Meyer, 1997)

The sets $\{(d/c, S(d,c)) : c > 0, (d,c) = 1, c + d \text{ odd}\}$ and $\{(d/c, S_4(d,c)) : c > 0, (d,c) = 1, d \text{ odd}\}$ are dense in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

The set $\{(d/c, S(d,c)): c>0, (d,c)=1, c+d \text{ odd}\}$ being dense in $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{Z}$ means that

The set $\{(d/c, S(d,c)): c>0, (d,c)=1, c+d \text{ odd}\}$ being dense in $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{Z}$ means that

• for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there are coprime integers c, d with c > 0 and c + d being odd such that

$$\left|x-\frac{d}{c}\right|<\varepsilon \text{ and } S(d,c)=N.$$

Numerical example: Take $x = \sqrt{13} - 3 \approx 0.60555127...$, N = -8, and $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{1000}$.

Numerical example: Take $x=\sqrt{13}-3\approx 0.60555127...$, N=-8, and $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{1000}$.

We want to find a $\frac{d}{c}$ with (d,c)=1, c>0 and c+d odd such that

$$\left| (\sqrt{13} - 3) - \frac{d}{c} \right| < \frac{1}{1000} \text{ and } S(d, c) = -8.$$

Numerical example: Take $x = \sqrt{13} - 3 \approx 0.60555127...$, N = -8, and $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{1000}$.

We want to find a $\frac{d}{c}$ with (d,c)=1, c>0 and c+d odd such that

$$\left| (\sqrt{13} - 3) - \frac{d}{c} \right| < \frac{1}{1000} \text{ and } S(d, c) = -8.$$

We will find such a rational number by approximating x with a Γ_{θ} -type continued fraction $\frac{d}{c} = [\![2c_0; 2c_1, ..., 2c_n]\!]$ with $c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c_1, ..., c_n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ and the formula

$$S(d,c) = -\sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{sign}(c_k).$$

Numerical example: Take $x = \sqrt{13} - 3 \approx 0.60555127...$, N = -8, and $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{1000}$.

We want to find a $\frac{d}{c}$ with (d,c)=1, c>0 and c+d odd such that

$$\left| (\sqrt{13} - 3) - \frac{d}{c} \right| < \frac{1}{1000} \text{ and } S(d, c) = -8.$$

• Approximate x by a Γ_{θ} -type continued fraction $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -closely, e.g.

$$|(\sqrt{13}-3)-[0;-2,-2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2]|<\frac{1}{2000}.$$

Here $\frac{23}{38} = [0; -2, -2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]$.

Numerical example: Take $x = \sqrt{13} - 3 \approx 0.60555127...$, N = -8, and $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{1000}$.

We want to find a $\frac{d}{c}$ with (d,c)=1, c>0 and c+d odd such that

$$\left| (\sqrt{13} - 3) - \frac{d}{c} \right| < \frac{1}{1000} \text{ and } S(d, c) = -8.$$

• Approximate x by a Γ_{θ} -type continued fraction $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -closely, e.g.

$$|(\sqrt{13}-3)-[0;-2,-2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2]|<\frac{1}{2000}.$$

Here $\frac{23}{38} = [0; -2, -2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]$.

• Compute S(23,38) to see how far away we are from N=-8, i.e. $S(23,38)=-(2\cdot \mathrm{sign}(-2)+7\cdot \mathrm{sign}(2))=-5$.

Numerical example: Take $x = \sqrt{13} - 3 \approx 0.60555127...$, N = -8, and $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{1000}$.

We want to find a $\frac{d}{c}$ with (d,c)=1, c>0 and c+d odd such that

$$\left| (\sqrt{13} - 3) - \frac{d}{c} \right| < \frac{1}{1000} \text{ and } S(d, c) = -8.$$

The fraction

would yield S(d,c) = -8 as

$$S(d,c) = \underbrace{-(2 \cdot \operatorname{sign}(-2) + 7 \cdot \operatorname{sign}(2))}_{=-5} - \operatorname{sign}(y_1) - \operatorname{sign}(y_2) - \operatorname{sign}(y_3).$$

Numerical example: Take $x=\sqrt{13}-3\approx 0.60555127...$, N=-8, and $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{1000}$.

We want to find a $\frac{d}{c}$ with (d,c)=1, c>0 and c+d odd such that

$$\left| (\sqrt{13} - 3) - \frac{d}{c} \right| < \frac{1}{1000} \text{ and } S(d, c) = -8.$$

Since

$$\lim_{y\to\pm\infty} [0;2c_1,...,2c_n,y] = -\frac{1}{2c_1 - \frac{1}{... - \frac{1}{2c_n - \lim_y \frac{1}{y}}}} = [0;2c_1,...,2c_n],$$

we may choose $y_1,y_2,y_3>0$ big enough so that $\frac{d}{c}$ is $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -close to $\frac{23}{38}$.

Numerical example: Take $x = \sqrt{13} - 3 \approx 0.60555127...$, N = -8, and $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{1000}$.

We want to find a $\frac{d}{c}$ with (d,c)=1, c>0 and c+d odd such that

$$\left| (\sqrt{13} - 3) - \frac{d}{c} \right| < \frac{1}{1000} \text{ and } S(d, c) = -8.$$

Since

$$\lim_{y\to\pm\infty} [0;2c_1,...,2c_n,y] = -\frac{1}{2c_1 - \frac{1}{... - \frac{1}{2c_n - \lim_y \frac{1}{y}}}} = [0;2c_1,...,2c_n],$$

we may choose $y_1,y_2,y_3>0$ big enough so that $\frac{d}{c}$ is $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -close to $\frac{23}{38}$.

Changing the size of y_1, y_2, y_3 does not change the value of the Hardy sum!

Numerical example: Take $x = \sqrt{13} - 3 \approx 0.60555127...$, N = -8, and $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{1000}$.

We want to find a $\frac{d}{c}$ with (d,c)=1, c>0 and c+d odd such that

$$\left| (\sqrt{13} - 3) - \frac{d}{c} \right| < \frac{1}{1000} \text{ and } S(d, c) = -8.$$

In our case, it suffices to pick $y_1 = 2$ and $y_2 = y_3 = 1$, so that

$$\frac{170}{281} = [0; -2, -2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 4, 2, 2]$$

differs from $x = \sqrt{13} - 3$ by less than $\frac{1}{1000}$ and S(170, 281) = -8.

For the Hardy sum $S_4(d,c)$ a similar argument yields that

$$\{(d/c, S_4(d,c)): c > 0, (d,c) = 1, d \text{ odd}\}$$

is dense in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

For the Hardy sum $S_4(d,c)$ a similar argument yields that

$$\{(d/c, S_4(d,c)): c > 0, (d,c) = 1, d \text{ odd}\}$$

is dense in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

In fact, one can even show more, namely that

$$\{(d/c, S(d,c) + S_4(d,c), S_4(d,c)) : c > 0 \text{ even}, (d,c) = 1, d \text{ odd}\}$$

is dense in $\mathbb{R} \times 2\mathbb{Z} \times (2\mathbb{Z} + 1)$.

For the Hardy sum $S_4(d,c)$ a similar argument yields that

$$\{(d/c, S_4(d,c)): c > 0, (d,c) = 1, d \text{ odd}\}$$

is dense in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

In fact, one can even show more, namely that

$$\{(d/c, S(d,c) + S_4(d,c), S_4(d,c)) : c > 0 \text{ even}, (d,c) = 1, d \text{ odd}\}$$

is dense in $\mathbb{R} \times 2\mathbb{Z} \times (2\mathbb{Z} + 1)$.

Theorem 4 (L. 2020)

The set $\{(d/c, S(d,c) + S_4(d,c)) : c > 0 \text{ even}, (d,c) = 1, d \text{ odd}\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R} \times 2\mathbb{Z}$.



References

References:

- Berndt, Bruce C. Analytic Eisenstein series, theta-functions, and series relations in the spirit of Ramanujan. (1978)
- Hardy, G. H. On certain series of discontinuous functions connected with the modular functions. (1905)
- Hickerson, Dean. Continued fractions and density results for Dedekind sums. (1977)
- Meyer, Jeffrey. Properties of certain integer-valued analogues of Dedekind sums. (1997)
- Meyer, Jeffrey. A reciprocity congruence for an analogue of the Dedekind sum and quadratic reciprocity. (2000)
- Rademacher, Hans. Über die Transformation der Logarithmen der Thetafunktionen. (1967)
- Sczech, Robert. Gaussian sums, Dedekind sums and the Jacobi triple product identity. (1995)
- Sitaramachandrarao, R. Dedekind and Hardy sums. (1987)