



**DUBLIN CITY UNIVERSITY**

## **AUGUST/RESIT EXAMINATIONS 2018/2019**

**MODULE:** CA4003 - Compiler Construction

**PROGRAMME(S):**

CASE	BSc in Computer Applications (Sft.Eng.)
CPSSD	BSc in Computational Problem Solv&SW Dev.
ECSAO	Study Abroad (Engineering & Computing)

**YEAR OF STUDY:** 4,O

**EXAMINERS:**

Dr. David Sinclair	(Internal)	(Ph:5510)
Dr. Hitesh Tewari	(External)	External
Prof. Brendan Tangney	(External)	External

**TIME ALLOWED:** 3 hours

**INSTRUCTIONS:** Answer all questions. All questions carry equal marks.

---

**PLEASE DO NOT TURN OVER THIS PAGE UNTIL INSTRUCTED TO DO SO**

The use of programmable or text storing calculators is expressly forbidden.

Please note that where a candidate answers more than the required number of questions, the examiner will mark all questions attempted and then select the highest scoring ones.

---

*There are no additional requirements for this paper.*

Note: In the following questions, non-terminal symbols are represented by strings starting with an upper case letter, e.g. A, Aa, Name, and terminal symbols are represented by either individual symbols (e.g. +) or sequence of symbols (e.g. >=), or by strings starting with a lower case letter, e.g. a, xyz. The  $\epsilon$  symbol represents an empty symbol or null string as appropriate. The \$ symbol represents the end-of-file.

### QUESTION 1

**[Total marks: 10]**

1(a) [4 Marks]

Given the alphabet  $\{0,1\}$ , write a regular expression that represents all the binary strings that start with a '0' digit and end with two consecutive '1' digits.

1(b) [6 Marks]

Use the subset construction method to derive a deterministic finite state automaton that recognises the language from part (a).

**[End Question 1]**

### QUESTION 2

**[Total marks: 10]**

[10 Marks]

Calculate the FIRST and FOLLOW sets for the following grammar.

$E \rightarrow T E'$   
 $E' \rightarrow + T E' \mid \epsilon$   
 $T \rightarrow F T'$   
 $T' \rightarrow * F T' \mid \epsilon$   
 $F \rightarrow ( E ) \mid id$

**[End Question 2]**

**QUESTION 3****[Total marks: 10]**

[10 Marks]

Clearly show the step(s) involved in converting the following grammar into an equivalent LL(1) grammar which recognises the same language (you may assume that the grammar is unambiguous).

$$\begin{aligned} F &\rightarrow T * F \\ F &\rightarrow T \\ T &\rightarrow \text{int} \\ T &\rightarrow \text{int} * T \\ T &\rightarrow (F) \end{aligned}$$
**[End Question 3]****QUESTION 4****[Total marks: 10]**

[10 Marks]

Construct the LL(1) parse table for the following grammar, and using this table determine whether or not it is an LL(1) grammar.

$$\begin{aligned} Z &\rightarrow d|XYZ \\ Y &\rightarrow c|\epsilon \\ X &\rightarrow Y|a \end{aligned}$$
**[End Question 4]****QUESTION 5****[Total marks: 10]**

[10 Marks]

Construct the LR(1) parse table for the following grammar and using it determine whether or not the following grammar is LR(1):

$$\begin{aligned} S' &\rightarrow S \\ S &\rightarrow aBc \\ S &\rightarrow bCc \\ S &\rightarrow aCd \\ S &\rightarrow bBd \\ B &\rightarrow e \\ C &\rightarrow e \end{aligned}$$
**[End Question 5]**

**QUESTION 6****[Total marks: 10]**

[10 Marks]

Construct the LALR(1) parse table for the grammar in question 5 and using it determine whether or not the grammar is LALR(1).

**[End Question 6]****QUESTION 7****[Total marks: 10]**

7(a)

[6 Marks]

Convert the following source code into 3-address intermediate code using the syntax-directed approach given in the appendix. Assume that all variables are stored in 4 bytes.

```
max = 0;
i = 0;
while (i < 10)
{
    if (a[i] > max)
    {
        max = a[i];
    }
    i = i + 1;
}
```

7(b)

[4 Marks]

Generate a *Control Flow Graph* from the intermediate code generated in part (a). Clearly describe the rules used to generate the *Control Flow Graph*.

**[End Question 7]**

**QUESTION 8****[Total marks: 10]**

8(a) [4 Marks]

Describe how *Data Flow Analysis* is used to calculate the liveness of variables.

8(b) [6 Marks]

For the following intermediate code, assuming variable  $d$ ,  $k$  and  $j$  are live on exit from this code, calculate which variables are live on entry.

```
t1 = j + 12
g = a[t1]
h = k - 1
f = g * h
t2 = j + 8
e = a[t2]
t3 = j + 16
m = a[t3]
b = a[f]
c = e + 8
d = c
k = m + 4
j = b
```

**[End Question 8]****QUESTION 9****[Total marks: 10]**

9(a) [5 Marks]

Generate the *directed acyclical graph* (DAG) representation of the following basic block.

```
w = v + x
v = v - y
x = x + y
z = v + x
```

9(b) [5 Marks]

Explain and demonstrate, using the DAG in part (a) of this question and assuming that  $v$  and  $z$  are not live on exit from the block, how *dead code* can be eliminated from a basic block.

***[End Question 9]***

**QUESTION 10**

***[Total marks: 10]***

[10 Marks]

With the aid of example code, describe the *Visitor* pattern. Why is it particularly suited to “*walking an abstract syntax tree*”?

***[End Question 10]***

## [APPENDICES]

Syntax-directed definition approach to build the 3-address code

Production	Semantic Rule
$S \rightarrow \text{id} = E;$	$gen(get(\text{id.lexeme}) '=' E.addr);$
$S \rightarrow L = E;$	$gen(L.addr.base '[' L.addr ']' '=' E.addr);$
$E \rightarrow E_1 + E_2$	$E.addr = \text{newTemp}();$ $gen(E.addr '=' E_1.addr '+' E_2.addr);$
$E \rightarrow \text{id}$	$E.addr = get(\text{id.lexeme});$
$E \rightarrow L$	$E.addr = \text{newTemp}();$ $gen(E.addr '=' L.array.base '[' L.addr ']);$
$L \rightarrow \text{id}[E]$	$L.array = get(\text{id.lexeme});$ $L.type = L.array.type.elem;$ $L.addr = \text{newTemp}();$ $gen(L.addr '=' E.addr '*' L.type.width);$
$L \rightarrow L_1[E]$	$L.array = L_1.array;$ $L.type = L_1.type.elem$ $t = \text{newTemp}();$ $L.addr = \text{newTemp}();$ $gen(t '=' E.addr '*' L.type.width);$ $gen(L.addr '=' L_1.addr '+' t);$
$B \rightarrow B_1    B_2$	$B_1.true = B.true$ $B_1.false = \text{newlabel}()$ $B_2.true = B.true$ $B_2.false = B.false$ $B_1.code    \text{label}(B_1.false)    B_2.code$
$B \rightarrow B_1 \&\& B_2$	$B_1.true = \text{newlabel}()$ $B_1.false = B.false$ $B_2.true = B.true$ $B_2.false = B.false$ $B_1.code    \text{label}(B_1.true)    B_2.code$
$B \rightarrow !B_1$	$B_1.true = B.false$ $B_1.false = B.true$ $B.code = B_1.code$
$B \rightarrow E_1 \text{ rel } E_2$	$B.code = E_1.code    E_2.code$ $   gen('if' E_1.addr \text{ rel } E_2.addr 'goto' B.true)$ $   gen('goto' B.false)$
$B \rightarrow \text{true}$	$B.code = gen('goto' B.true)$
$B \rightarrow \text{false}$	$B.code = gen('goto' B.false)$

Production	Semantic Rule
$P \rightarrow S$	$S.next = newlabel()$ $P.code = S.code    label(S.next)$
$S \rightarrow \text{assign}$	$S.code = \text{assign}.code$
$S \rightarrow \text{if } ( B ) S_1$	$B.true = newlabel()$ $B.false = S_1.next = S.next$ $S.code = B.code    label(B.true)    S_1.code$
$S \rightarrow \text{if } ( B ) S_1 \text{ else } S_2$	$B.true = newlabel()$ $B.false = newlabel()$ $S_1.next = S_2.next = S.next$ $S.code = B.code    label(B.true)    S_1.code$ $gen('goto' S.next)    label(B.false)    S_2.code$
$S \rightarrow \text{while } ( B ) S_1$	$begin = newlabel()$ $B.true = newlabel()$ $B.false = S.next$ $S_1.next = begin$ $S.code = label(begin)    B.code$ $   label(B.true)    S_1.code    gen('goto' begin)$
$S \rightarrow S_1 S_2$	$S_1.next = newlabel()$ $S_2.next = S.next$ $S_1.code    label(S_1.next)    S_2.code$

**[END OF APPENDICES]**

**[END OF EXAM]**