

Number 3-1 Performance Explanation

Q. Did performance for each operation (add(), remove(), and get()) differ depending on the index? Why is that?

	ArrayList	LinkedList	Index = 1

Add	15378527	69984	
Get	10357	10033	
Remove	6978425	18845	
	ArrayList	LinkedList	Index = 49999

Add	623332	4197259	
Get	1612	1741371	
Remove	573848	1241927	
	ArrayList	LinkedList	Index = 99999

Add	1489	5294682	
Get	1495	4260339	
Remove	2861	3215490	

Each Operation(add(), remove(), and get()) differs depending on the index(1, 14999 or 99999). MyLinkedList is linear list, it has 'head' and 'next' Node. When we approach a specific index, the linkedlist implements this operation with head and next Node. For 'i'th index of each operation of LinkedList such as get(i), add(i), remove(i), it takes $O(i)$, so for the 'n' length of the list, then it takes about $O(n)$ time complexity as a worst time Complexity. So, for each index 1, 14999 and 99999, as you can see above the results, the time Complexity gets increasing for the operation add, get and remove, and so forth $O(n)$ time Complexity.

In case of Array, we can simply approach the element with index number, so the time Complexity of get() operation (for each 1, 14999 and 99999 index) is O(1), the constant time. However, for the add(i) and remove(i) it takes about O(n-i) time Complexity and as a result O(n) time Complexity for the worst case. Consequently, as you can see the results above, the time gets decreasing for the operation add and remove, and so forth O(n) time Complexity.

Q. How would a doubly-linked list implementation of myLinkedList change the performance?

‘myLinkedList’ is singly linked list and it uses just one direction Node which is head(linked with next), however, doubly linked list has two pointers, which are ‘prev’ and ‘tail’(previous and next). Therefore, it is possible that can be accessed in both sides of a Node, when the linked list is doubly linked list. On the other hand, ‘myLinkedList’ has only one direction from head to next. Since myLinkedList is linear List, it takes O(n) time Complexity for implementing the operations. Doubly linked list uses slightly a bit more memory because of the two way directions(next and previous) and still the time Complexity for the operations is also O(n). Because it is linked list, that can be operated with Node and next Node(or previous Node).

worst Time Complexity	add()	remove()	get()
Array Linear List	O(n)	O(n)	O(1)
Linked Linear List	O(n)	O(n)	O(n)

Number 3-2 Arrange the following functions in the non-decreasing order of their asymptotic growth

$$n^2(\log n)^6, n^{2.5}, 6^{\log n}, 2^{3 \log n}, (\log n)^{(1/2) \log n}, n^{\log n} = 2^{2^{\log \log n}}, 4^{\sqrt{n}}, (1.1)^n, (\log n)^{n/3},$$