Advanced Quantum Theory Exercise Sheet 11

Markus Pawellek markuspawellek@gmail.com

January 26, 2019

Problem 18: Perturbation Theory

Let $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ be a separable Hilbert space which is modeling the perturbed and the unperturbed system. For the formal definition of both systems we will define \mathcal{I} as a countable index set with the same cardinality as an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} .

The Hamiltonian $H \colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ of the unperturbed system is assumed to have a discrete spectrum of real Energies.

$$\sigma(H) = \{ E_n \mid n \in \mathcal{I} \} \subset \mathbb{R}$$

For all $n \in \mathcal{I}$ we denote $|n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ to be the unique normalized eigenfunction of H with the eigenvalue E_n .

$$H|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$$
, $\langle n|n\rangle = 1$

$$\forall m \in \mathfrak{I}, m \neq n : E_m \neq E_n$$

Based on this and due to the self-adjointness of H, for all $n, m \in \mathcal{I}$ with $n \neq m$ we can derive that $|m\rangle$ and $|n\rangle$ are orthogonal.

$$\langle m|H|n\rangle = E_n \langle m|n\rangle = E_m \langle m|n\rangle$$

 $\implies (E_n - E_m) \langle m|n\rangle = 0$
 $\implies \langle m|n\rangle = 0$

Hence, for all $n, m \in \mathcal{I}$ we can state the following.

$$\langle m|n\rangle = \delta_{mn}$$

H is therefore non-degenerate and $\{|n\rangle \mid n \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is building an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} .

Further, we describe the perturbation of the system by the self-adjoint operator $V \colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$. For

the application of V to H we will use an interaction strength parameter which is introduced by using a function \tilde{H} as shown below.

$$\tilde{H}: [0,1] \to L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}), \qquad \tilde{H}(\lambda) := H + \lambda V$$

The continuous parameterization will enable us to analyze the solution of the perturbed system $\tilde{H}(\lambda)$ in form of a series expansion in terms of λ . Please note that $\tilde{H}(1)$ is describing the system under the full perturbation.

We will assume that the contribution of V is small and therefore not changes the essential properties of non-degeneracy and that of a discrete spectrum of $\tilde{H}(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in [0,1]$.

$$\sigma\left(\tilde{H}(\lambda)\right) = \{E_n(\lambda) \mid n \in \mathcal{I}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$$
$$\tilde{H}(\lambda) \mid n(\lambda)\rangle = E_n(\lambda) \mid n(\lambda)\rangle$$
$$\forall m \in \mathcal{I}, m \neq n : E_m(\lambda) \neq E_n(\lambda)$$
$$\langle m(\lambda) \mid n(\lambda)\rangle = \delta_{mn}$$

Additionally, we assume that we are able to expand $E_n(\lambda)$ and $|n(\lambda)\rangle$ in terms of λ for all $\lambda \in [0,1]$.

$$\{E_{nk}\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R} , \qquad E_n(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k E_{nk}$$

$$\{|n_k\rangle\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{H}, \qquad |n(\lambda)\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k |n_k\rangle$$

Taking the series expansions one can now derive inductive formulas for the coefficients. For that let $n \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\lambda \in [0,1]$ be arbitrary. We will start with left-hand side of the eigenvalue equation.

$$\begin{split} \tilde{H}(\lambda) &| n(\lambda) \rangle \\ &= (H + \lambda V) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k | n_k \rangle \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k H \left| n_k \right\rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{k+1} V \left| n_k \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k H \left| n_k \right\rangle + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k V \left| n_{k-1} \right\rangle \\ &= H \left| n_0 \right\rangle + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k \left(H \left| n_k \right\rangle + V \left| n_{k-1} \right\rangle \right) \end{split}$$

For the right-hand side of the eigenvalue equation we do get the following.

$$E_{n}(\lambda) |n(\lambda)\rangle$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{k} E_{nk}\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{k} |n_{k}\rangle\right)$$

$$= \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{p+q} E_{np} |n_{q}\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{k} \sum_{n=0}^{k} E_{np} |n_{k-p}\rangle$$

Looking at the eigenvalue equation as a whole we are now able to do a comparison of coefficients. This results in two equations. One for the starting values and one for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\begin{split} H \left| n_0 \right\rangle &= E_{n0} \left| n_0 \right\rangle \\ \\ H \left| n_k \right\rangle + V \left| n_{k-1} \right\rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^k E_{np} \left| n_{k-p} \right\rangle \end{split}$$

After inserting the series expansions we can do something similar for the normalization condition.

$$1 = \langle n(\lambda) | n(\lambda) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{p,q=0} \lambda^{p+q} \langle n_p | n_q \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \sum_{p=0}^k \langle n_p | n_{k-p} \rangle$$

Again we do comparison of coefficients and get two equations. One for starting value and one for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\langle n_0|n_0\rangle = 1$$

 $\langle n_0|n_k\rangle + \langle n_k|n_0\rangle = 2\Re(\langle n_0|n_k\rangle)$

$$= -\sum_{p=1}^{k-1} \langle n_p | n_{k-p} \rangle$$

To make the second equation simpler consider that the overall phase is not determined in quantum mechanics. Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume $\langle n_0|n_k\rangle=\Re(\langle n_0|n_k\rangle)\in\mathbb{R}$ is purely real. For all $k\in\mathbb{N}$ the second equations becomes the following.

$$\langle n|n_k\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{p=1}^{k-1}\langle n_p|n_{k-p}\rangle$$

After deriving these formulas we will first take a look at the starting value equations.

$$H|n_0\rangle = E_{n0}|n_0\rangle$$
, $\langle n_0|n_0\rangle = 1$

We see that $|n_0\rangle$ is a normalized eigenfunction of H with the eigenvalue E_{n0} . Therefore we can state the following.

$$E_{n0} \in \sigma(H)$$
, $|n_0\rangle \in \{|m\rangle \mid m \in \mathfrak{I}\}$

We are free to choose the specific eigenfunction because this is the freedom of permuting the eigenstates. But for consistency we will use the straightforward definition.

$$|n_0\rangle := |n\rangle$$
, $E_{n0} := E_n$

Now we take the inductive formula of the eigenvalue equation and will insert the starting values to get an operator equation for $|n_k\rangle$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$.

$$(H - E_n) |n_k\rangle = -V |n_{k-1}\rangle + \sum_{p=1}^{k} E_{np} |n_{k-p}\rangle$$

But this equation gives us also information about the energy shift E_{nk} . For that we will apply $\langle n|$ on the equation for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$0 = \langle n | (H - E_n) | n_k \rangle$$
$$= -\langle n | V | n_{k-1} \rangle + \sum_{p=1}^{k} E_{np} \langle n | n_{k-p} \rangle$$

By solving the equation for E_{nk} one gets the following for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$E_{nk} = \langle n | V | n_{k-1} \rangle - \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} E_{np} \langle n | n_{k-p} \rangle$$

For the eigenstates $|n_k\rangle$ we basically have to invert the operator $H-E_n$. But due to its singularity this is not possible. For that reason we will first express $|n_k\rangle$ in terms of the orthonormal eigenbasis of $\mathcal H$ with respect to H.

$$|n_{k}\rangle = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{J}} \langle m|n_{k}\rangle |m\rangle$$
$$= \langle n|n_{k}\rangle |n\rangle + \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{J} \\ m \neq n}} \langle m|n_{k}\rangle |m\rangle$$

Let now $m \in \mathcal{I}$ with $m \neq n$ be arbitrary as well and apply $\langle m |$ on the operator equation for $|n_k\rangle$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\langle m | (H - E_n) | n_k \rangle$$

$$= (E_m - E_n) \langle m | n_k \rangle$$

$$= -\langle m | V | n_{k-1} \rangle + \sum_{p=1}^k E_{np} \langle m | n_{k-p} \rangle$$

$$= -\langle m | V | n_{k-1} \rangle + \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} E_{np} \langle m | n_{k-p} \rangle$$

Solving this for $\langle m|n_k\rangle$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$ gives us the following equations.

$$\left\langle m|n_{k}\right\rangle =-\frac{\left\langle m|\,V\,|n_{k-1}\right\rangle }{E_{m}-E_{n}}+\sum_{p=1}^{k-1}\frac{E_{np}\left\langle m|n_{k-p}\right\rangle }{E_{m}-E_{n}}$$

Inserting now the equations for $\langle n|n_k\rangle$ and $\langle m|n_k\rangle$ into the expansion with respect to the eigenbasis yields the following for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$.

$$\begin{split} |n_{k}\rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} \left\langle n_{p} | n_{k-p} \right\rangle |n\rangle \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{J} \\ m \neq n}} \left[-\frac{\left\langle m | V | n_{k-1} \right\rangle}{E_{m} - E_{n}} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} \frac{E_{np} \left\langle m | n_{k-p} \right\rangle}{E_{m} - E_{n}} \right] |m\rangle \end{split}$$

With this we now have inductive explicit formulas with their respective starting values for E_{nk} and

 $|n_k\rangle$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Through an iterative procedure beginning by k=1 one can now directly obtain the formulas for the energy and state shifts.

$$E_{n1} = \langle n | V | n \rangle$$

$$|n_1\rangle = -\sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{J} \\ m \neq n}} \frac{\langle m | V | n \rangle}{E_m - E_n} | m \rangle$$

The same formulas will be used for k=2. But this time we will directly insert the equations for E_{n1} and $|n_1\rangle$ obtained above.

$$E_{n2} = \langle n | V | n_1 \rangle - E_{n1} \langle n | n_1 \rangle$$
$$= -\sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{J} \\ m \neq n}} \frac{\langle m | V | n \rangle}{E_m - E_n} \langle n | V | m \rangle$$

$$\begin{split} |n_{2}\rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} \left\langle n_{1} | n_{1} \right\rangle |n\rangle \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{J} \\ m \neq n}} \left[-\frac{\left\langle m | V | n_{1} \right\rangle}{E_{m} - E_{n}} \right. \\ &+ \frac{E_{n1} \left\langle m | n_{1} \right\rangle}{E_{m} - E_{n}} \right] |m\rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{m,k \in \mathbb{J} \\ m,k \neq n}} \frac{\left\langle n | V | m \right\rangle}{E_{m} - E_{n}} \frac{\left\langle k | V | n \right\rangle}{E_{k} - E_{n}} \left. \left\langle m | k \right\rangle |n\rangle \right. \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{J} \\ m \neq n}} \left[\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{J} \\ k \neq n}} \frac{\left\langle k | V | n \right\rangle}{E_{k} - E_{n}} \frac{\left\langle m | V | k \right\rangle}{E_{m} - E_{n}} \right. \\ &- \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{J} \\ k \neq n}} \frac{\left\langle k | V | n \right\rangle}{E_{k} - E_{n}} \frac{\left\langle n | V | n \right\rangle \left\langle m | k \right\rangle}{E_{m} - E_{n}} \right] |m\rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{J} \\ m \neq n}} \frac{\left| \left\langle m | V | n \right\rangle |^{2}}{\left(E_{m} - E_{n}\right)^{2}} |n\rangle \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{m,k \in \mathbb{J} \\ m,k \neq n}} \frac{\left\langle k | V | n \right\rangle \left\langle m | V | k \right\rangle}{\left(E_{k} - E_{n}\right)\left(E_{m} - E_{n}\right)} |m\rangle \\ &- \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{J} \\ m \notin \mathbb{J}}} \frac{\left\langle n | V | n \right\rangle \left\langle m | V | n \right\rangle}{\left(E_{m} - E_{n}\right)^{2}} |m\rangle \end{split}$$

This proves the proposition.