

---

# ADVANCED QUANTUM THEORY

## EXERCISE SHEET 11

---

Markus Pawellek  
markuspawellek@gmail.com

January 27, 2019

---

### Problem 18: Perturbation Theory

Let  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$  be a separable Hilbert space which is modeling the perturbed and the unperturbed system. For the formal definition of both systems we will define  $\mathcal{J}$  as a countable index set with the same cardinality as an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

The Hamiltonian  $H: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  of the unperturbed system is assumed to have a discrete spectrum of real Energies.

$$\sigma(H) = \{E_n \mid n \in \mathcal{J}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$$

For all  $n \in \mathcal{J}$  we denote  $|n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$  to be the unique normalized eigenstate of  $H$  with the eigenvalue  $E_n$ .

$$H|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle, \quad \langle n|n\rangle = 1$$

$$\forall m \in \mathcal{J}, m \neq n: \quad E_m \neq E_n$$

Based on this and due to the self-adjointness of the Hamiltonian  $H$  we can derive that  $H$  itself is non-degenerate and that the set  $\{|n\rangle \mid n \in \mathcal{J}\}$  is building an orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Further, we describe the perturbation of the system by the self-adjoint operator  $V: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ . For the application of  $V$  to  $H$  we will use an interaction strength parameter which is introduced by using a function  $\tilde{H}$  as shown below.

$$\tilde{H}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}), \quad \tilde{H}(\lambda) := H + \lambda V$$

The continuous parameterization will enable us to analyze the solution of the perturbed system  $\tilde{H}(\lambda)$  in form of a series expansion in terms of  $\lambda$ . Please note that  $\tilde{H}(1)$  is describing the system under the full perturbation.

We will assume that the contribution of  $V$  is small and therefore not changes the essential properties.

Hence, we choose  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  to be arbitrary.  $\tilde{H}(\lambda)$  has a discrete spectrum.

$$\sigma(\tilde{H}(\lambda)) = \{E_n(\lambda) \mid n \in \mathcal{J}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$$

$\tilde{H}(\lambda)$  is non-degenerate and we again assume its eigenstates to be normalized and therefore to build an orthonormal eigenbasis with respect to  $\tilde{H}(\lambda)$ <sup>1</sup>. So for all  $n \in \mathcal{J}$  it holds that

$$\tilde{H}(\lambda)|n(\lambda)\rangle = E_n(\lambda)|n(\lambda)\rangle$$

$$\forall m \in \mathcal{J}, m \neq n: \quad E_m(\lambda) \neq E_n(\lambda)$$

$$\langle n(\lambda)|n(\lambda)\rangle = 1$$

Additionally, we assume that we are able to expand  $E_n(\lambda)$  and  $|n(\lambda)\rangle$  in terms of  $\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  for some constant coefficients.

$$\{E_{nk}\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}, \quad E_n(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k E_{nk}$$

$$\{|n_k\rangle\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{H}, \quad |n(\lambda)\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k |n_k\rangle$$

Taking the series expansions one can now derive inductive formulas for the coefficients. For that let  $n \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  be arbitrary. We will start with the left-hand side of the eigenvalue equation.

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{H}(\lambda)|n(\lambda)\rangle \\ &= (H + \lambda V) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k |n_k\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k H|n_k\rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{k+1} V|n_k\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k H|n_k\rangle + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k V|n_{k-1}\rangle \\ &= H|n_0\rangle + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k (H|n_k\rangle + V|n_{k-1}\rangle) \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup>The non-degeneracy of  $\tilde{H}(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  is not used explicitly. Therefore the derived formulas should be valid even if the perturbation described by  $V$  introduces degeneracies into the non-degenerate system described by  $H$ .

For the right-hand side of the eigenvalue equation we do get the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
E_n(\lambda) |n(\lambda)\rangle &= \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k E_{nk} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k |n_k\rangle \right) \\
&= \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{p+q} E_{np} |n_q\rangle \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \sum_{p=0}^k E_{np} |n_{k-p}\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Looking at the eigenvalue equation as a whole we are now able to do a comparison of coefficients. This results in two equations. One for the starting values and one for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
H |n_0\rangle &= E_{n0} |n_0\rangle \\
H |n_k\rangle + V |n_{k-1}\rangle &= \sum_{p=0}^k E_{np} |n_{k-p}\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

After inserting the series expansions we can do something similar for the normalization condition.

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \langle n(\lambda) | n(\lambda) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{p+q} \langle n_p | n_q \rangle \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \sum_{p=0}^k \langle n_p | n_{k-p} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Again we do comparison of coefficients and get two equations. One for the starting value and one for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle n_0 | n_0 \rangle &= 1 \\
\langle n_0 | n_k \rangle + \langle n_k | n_0 \rangle &= 2\Re(\langle n_0 | n_k \rangle) \\
&= - \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} \langle n_p | n_{k-p} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

To make the second equation simpler consider that the overall phase is not determined in quantum mechanics. Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume  $\langle n_0 | n_k \rangle = \Re(\langle n_0 | n_k \rangle) \in \mathbb{R}$  is purely real. For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the second equation becomes the following.

$$\langle n | n_k \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} \langle n_p | n_{k-p} \rangle$$

After deriving these formulas we will first take a look at the starting value equations.

$$H |n_0\rangle = E_{n0} |n_0\rangle, \quad \langle n_0 | n_0 \rangle = 1$$

We see that  $|n_0\rangle$  is a normalized eigenfunction of  $H$  with the eigenvalue  $E_{n0}$ . Therefore we can state the following.

$$E_{n0} \in \sigma(H), \quad |n_0\rangle \in \{|m\rangle \mid m \in \mathcal{J}\}$$

We are free to choose the specific eigenfunction because this is the freedom of permuting the eigenstates. But for consistency we will use the straightforward definition.

$$|n_0\rangle := |n\rangle, \quad E_{n0} := E_n$$

Now we take the inductive formula of the eigenvalue equation and insert the starting values to get an operator equation for  $|n_k\rangle$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$(H - E_n) |n_k\rangle = -V |n_{k-1}\rangle + \sum_{p=1}^k E_{np} |n_{k-p}\rangle$$

But this equation gives us some information about the energy shift  $E_{nk}$  as well. For that we will apply  $\langle n |$  on the equation for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \langle n | (H - E_n) |n_k\rangle \\
&= -\langle n | V |n_{k-1}\rangle + \sum_{p=1}^k E_{np} \langle n | n_{k-p} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

By solving the equation for  $E_{nk}$  one gets the following for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$E_{nk} = \langle n | V |n_{k-1}\rangle - \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} E_{np} \langle n | n_{k-p} \rangle$$

For the eigenstates  $|n_k\rangle$  we basically have to invert the operator  $H - E_n$ . But due to its singularity this is not possible. For that reason we will first express  $|n_k\rangle$  in terms of the orthonormal eigenbasis of  $\mathcal{H}$  with respect to  $H$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
|n_k\rangle &= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{J}} \langle m | n_k \rangle |m\rangle \\
&= \langle n | n_k \rangle |n\rangle + \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathcal{J} \\ m \neq n}} \langle m | n_k \rangle |m\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Let now  $m \in \mathcal{J}$  with  $m \neq n$  be arbitrary as well and apply  $\langle m |$  on the operator equation for  $|n_k\rangle$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\langle m | (H - E_n) |n_k\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (E_m - E_n) \langle m | n_k \rangle \\
&= -\langle m | V | n_{k-1} \rangle + \sum_{p=1}^k E_{np} \langle m | n_{k-p} \rangle \\
&= -\langle m | V | n_{k-1} \rangle + \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} E_{np} \langle m | n_{k-p} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Solving this for  $\langle m | n_k \rangle$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  gives us the following equations.

$$\langle m | n_k \rangle = -\frac{\langle m | V | n_{k-1} \rangle}{E_m - E_n} + \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} \frac{E_{np} \langle m | n_{k-p} \rangle}{E_m - E_n}$$

Inserting now the equations for  $\langle n | n_k \rangle$  and  $\langle m | n_k \rangle$  into the expansion with respect to the eigenbasis yields the following for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
|n_k\rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} \langle n_p | n_{k-p} \rangle |n\rangle \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathcal{J} \\ m \neq n}} \left[ -\frac{\langle m | V | n_{k-1} \rangle}{E_m - E_n} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} \frac{E_{np} \langle m | n_{k-p} \rangle}{E_m - E_n} \right] |m\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

With this last equation we now have obtained explicit inductive formulas with their respective starting values for  $E_{nk}$  and  $|n_k\rangle$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Through an iterative procedure beginning by  $k = 1$  one can now directly obtain the formulas for the energy and state shifts.

$$E_{n1} = \langle n | V | n \rangle$$

$$|n_1\rangle = - \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathcal{J} \\ m \neq n}} \frac{\langle m | V | n \rangle}{E_m - E_n} |m\rangle$$

The same formulas will be used for  $k = 2$ . But this time we will directly insert the equations for  $E_{n1}$  and  $|n_1\rangle$  obtained above.

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{n2} &= \langle n | V | n_1 \rangle - E_{n1} \langle n | n_1 \rangle \\
&= - \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathcal{J} \\ m \neq n}} \frac{\langle m | V | n \rangle}{E_m - E_n} \langle n | V | m \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|n_2\rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} \langle n_1 | n_1 \rangle |n\rangle \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathcal{J} \\ m \neq n}} \left[ -\frac{\langle m | V | n_1 \rangle}{E_m - E_n} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{E_{n1} \langle m | n_1 \rangle}{E_m - E_n} \right] |m\rangle \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{m, k \in \mathcal{J} \\ m, k \neq n}} \frac{\langle n | V | m \rangle \langle k | V | n \rangle}{E_m - E_n E_k - E_n} \langle m | k \rangle |n\rangle \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathcal{J} \\ m \neq n}} \left[ \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathcal{J} \\ k \neq n}} \frac{\langle k | V | n \rangle \langle m | V | k \rangle}{E_k - E_n E_m - E_n} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathcal{J} \\ k \neq n}} \frac{\langle k | V | n \rangle \langle n | V | n \rangle \langle m | k \rangle}{E_k - E_n E_m - E_n} \right] |m\rangle \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathcal{J} \\ m \neq n}} \frac{|\langle m | V | n \rangle|^2}{(E_m - E_n)^2} |n\rangle \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{m, k \in \mathcal{J} \\ m, k \neq n}} \frac{\langle k | V | n \rangle \langle m | V | k \rangle}{(E_k - E_n)(E_m - E_n)} |m\rangle \\
&\quad - \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathcal{J} \\ m \neq n}} \frac{\langle n | V | n \rangle \langle m | V | n \rangle}{(E_m - E_n)^2} |m\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the proposition.  $\square$