## STAT 598Y STATISTICAL LEARNING THEORY

INSTRUCTOR: JIAN ZHANG

## LECTURE 7: GLIVENKO-CANTELLI THEOREM

Recall that if we use empirical minimization to obtain our predictor

$$\hat{h}_n = \arg\min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \hat{R}_n(h),$$

then in order to bound the quantity  $R(\hat{h}_n) - \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}} R(h)$ , it suffices to bound the quantity

$$\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} |R(h) - \hat{R}_n(h)|.$$

Thus the uniform bound plays an important role in statistical learning theory. The Glivenko-Cantelli class is defined such that the above property holds as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Definition.**  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Glivenko-Cantelli class with respect to a probability measure P if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$P\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{h\in\mathcal{H}}|\mathbb{P}f-\mathbb{P}_nf|=0\right)=1,$$

i.e.  $\sup_{h\in\mathcal{H}} |\mathbb{P}f - \mathbb{P}_n f|$  converges to zero almost surely (with probability 1).  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be a uniformly GC Class if the convergence is uniformly over all probability measures P.

Note that Vapnik and Chervonenkis have shown that a function class is a uniformly GC class if and only if it is a VC class.

Given a set of iid real-valued random variables  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$  and any  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ , we know that the quantity  $I(Z_i \leq z)$  is a Bernoulli random variable with mean  $P(Z \leq z) = F(z)$ , where F(.) is the CDF. Furthermore, by strong law of large numbers, we know that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}I(Z_{i}\leq z)\to F(z)$$

almost surely. The following theorem is one of the most fundamental theorems in mathematical statistics, which generalizes the strong law of large numbers: the empirical distribution function uniformly almost surely converges to the true distribution function.

**Theorem (Glivenko-Cantelli)**. Let  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$  be iid real-valued random variables with distribution function  $F(z) = P(Z_i \leq z)$ . Denote the standard empirical distribution function by

$$F_n(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(Z_i \le z).$$

Then

$$P\left(\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}}|F(z)-F_n(z)|>\epsilon\right)\leq 8(n+1)\exp\left(-\frac{n\epsilon^2}{32}\right),$$

and in particular, by the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |F(z) - F_n(z)| = 0 \ almost \ surely.$$

Proof

We use the notation  $\nu(A) := P(Z \in A)$  and  $\nu_n(A) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(Z_i \in A)$  for any measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ . If we let A denote the class of sets of the form  $(-\infty, z]$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ , then we have

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |F(z) - F_n(z)| = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |\nu(A) - \nu_n(A)|.$$

We assume  $n\epsilon^2 > 2$  since otherwise the result holds trivially. The proof consists of several key steps.

(1) SYMMETRIZATION BY A GHOST SAMPLE: Introduce a ghost sample  $Z'_1, \ldots, Z'_n$  which are iid together with the original sample, and denote by  $\nu'_n$  the empirical measure with respect to the ghost sample. Then for  $n\epsilon^2 > 2$  we have (by the symmetrization lemma)

$$P\left(\sup_{A\in\mathcal{A}}|\nu_n(A)-\nu(A)|>\epsilon\right)\leq 2P\left(\sup_{A\in\mathcal{A}}|\nu_n(A)-\nu_n'(A)|>\epsilon/2\right).$$

(2) SYMMETRIZATION BY RADEMACHER VARIABLES: Let  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$  be iid random variables, independent of  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n, Z'_1, \ldots, Z'_n$ , with  $P(\sigma_i = 1) = P(\sigma_i = -1) = 1/2$ . Such random variables are called Rademacher random variables. Observe that the distribution of

$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (I(Z_i \in A) - I(Z_i' \in A)) \right|$$

is the same as

$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(I(Z_i \in A) - I(Z_i' \in A)) \right|$$

by the definition of  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n; Z'_1, \ldots, Z'_n$  and  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ . Thus we have

$$P\left(\sup_{A\in\mathcal{A}}|\nu_n(A)-\nu(A)|>\epsilon\right)$$

$$\leq 2P\left(\sup_{A\in\mathcal{A}}|\nu_n(A)-\nu_n'(A)|>\epsilon/2\right)$$

$$= 2P\left(\sup_{A\in\mathcal{A}}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\sigma_i(I(Z_i\in A)-I(Z_i'\in A)\right|>\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)$$

$$\leq 2P\left(\sup_{A\in\mathcal{A}}\left|\frac{1}{n}\left|\sum_{i=1}^n\sigma_iI(Z_i\in A)\right|>\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)+2P\left(\sup_{A\in\mathcal{A}}\left|\frac{1}{n}\left|\sum_{i=1}^n\sigma_iI(Z_i'\in A)\right|>\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)$$

$$= 4P\left(\sup_{A\in\mathcal{A}}\left|\frac{1}{n}\left|\sum_{i=1}^n\sigma_iI(Z_i\in A)\right|>\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right).$$

(3) Conditioning: To bound the proability

$$P\left(\sup_{A\in\mathcal{A}}\frac{1}{n}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sigma_{i}I(Z_{i}\in A)\right|>\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)=P\left(\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{n}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sigma_{i}I(Z_{i}\leq z)\right|>\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)$$

we condition on  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ . Fix  $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}$  and note that the vector  $[I(z_1 \leq z), \ldots, I(z_n \leq z)]$  can take at most (n+1) possible values for any z. Thus conditioned on  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ , the supremum is just a maximum over at most n+1 random variables. Applying union bound we obtain

$$P\left(\sup_{A\in\mathcal{A}}\frac{1}{n}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sigma_{i}I(Z_{i}\in A)\right|>\frac{\epsilon}{4}\mid Z_{1},\ldots,Z_{n}\right)\leq\left(n+1\right)\sup_{A\in\mathcal{A}}P\left(\frac{1}{n}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sigma_{i}I(Z_{i}\in A)\right|>\frac{\epsilon}{4}\mid Z_{1},\ldots,Z_{n}\right)$$

where the sup is outside of the probability. The next step is to find an exponential bound for the RHS.

(4) HOEFFDING'S INEQUALITY: With  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  fixed,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i I(z_i \in A)$  is a sum of n independent zero mean random variables between [-1,1]. Thus, by Hoeffding's inequality we have

$$P\left(\sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i} I(Z_{i} \in A) \right| > \frac{\epsilon}{4} \mid Z_{1}, \dots, Z_{n}\right)$$

$$\leq (n+1) \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} P\left(\frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i} I(Z_{i} \in A) \right| > \frac{\epsilon}{4} \mid Z_{1}, \dots, Z_{n}\right)$$

$$\leq 2(n+1) \exp\left(-\frac{n\epsilon^{2}}{32}\right).$$

Taking expectation on both side we obtain the claimed result

$$P\left(\sup_{A\in\mathcal{A}}|\nu_n(A)-\nu(A)|>\epsilon\right)\leq 8(n+1)\exp\left(-\frac{n\epsilon^2}{32}\right).$$