Chapter 3 Testing

Hakbae Lee

The Department of Statistics and Data Science, Yonsei University

More About Models: Two approaches for linear model

Parameter-free approach

$${\bf Y}={\sf E}({\bf Y})+{\bf Y}-{\sf E}({\bf Y})=\mu+\epsilon$$
 where ${\sf E}({\bf Y})=\mu$ and $\epsilon={\bf Y}-{\sf E}({\bf Y}).$

Parameter approach

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathsf{E}(\mathbf{Y}) + \mathbf{Y} - \mathsf{E}(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon$$
 where $\mathsf{E}(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $\epsilon = \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

•

$$\mathsf{E}(\epsilon) = 0, \, \mathsf{Cov}(\epsilon) = \sigma^2 I \quad \mathsf{Ordinary Least Square}(\mathsf{OLS})$$

or

$$E(\epsilon) = 0$$
, $Cov(\epsilon) = \sigma^2 \Sigma$ Generalized Least Square(GLS)

More About Models

Consider

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \quad \mathsf{E}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = 0, \quad \mathsf{Cov}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{I}$$

- C(X) = Estimation space
- $M = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}^T = \text{orthogonal projection onto } \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$
- $E(Y) = X\beta \in C(X)$, $Cov(Y) = \sigma^2 I$
- $C(\mathbf{X})^{\perp} = \text{Error space}$
- $\mathbf{I} M = \mathbf{I} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^T = \text{orthogonal projection onto } \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})^{\perp}$
- $\epsilon \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})^T$, $Cov(\epsilon) = \sigma^2 I$
- Any two linear models with the same estimation space are the same model.

More About Models

One-Way ANOVA

$$\mathbf{y}_{ij} = \mu_i + \epsilon_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}, \text{ with } \mu_i = \mathsf{E}(\mathbf{y}_{ij}) = \mu + \alpha_i$$

$$\bar{\mu} = \mu + \bar{\alpha}_+$$

$$\mu_1 - \mu_2 = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$$

The parameters in the two models are different, but they are related.

• Simple Linear Regression

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i$$

= $\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 (x_i - \bar{x}) + \epsilon_i$

where

$$\mathsf{E}(y_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 (x_i - \bar{x})$$

More About Models

•

$$C(\mathbf{X}_1) = C(\mathbf{X}_1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{X}_2 T$$

so that

$$\mathbf{X}_1\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 = \mathbf{X}_2T\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 = \mathbf{X}_2\boldsymbol{\beta}_2$$

which implies that

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_2 = T\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 + \nu \quad \text{for } \nu \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_2^T)^{\perp}$$

- NOTE: A unique parameterization for \mathbf{X}_j , j=1,2 occurs if and only if $\mathbf{X}_i^T \mathbf{X}_j$ is nonsingular.
- Exercise: Show that a unique parameterization for \mathbf{X}_j , j=1,2 means $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_2^T)^{\perp}=\{0\}$.

Consider

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{Y} &=& \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, & \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \textit{N}(0, \mathbf{I}_{\textit{n}}) \\ \text{Let's partition } \mathbf{X} \text{ into } \mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X}_{0}, \mathbf{X}_{1}) \colon \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_{0}) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \\ \mathbf{Y} &=& \mathbf{X}_{0}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0} + \mathbf{X}_{1}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} & \colon \text{Full Model(FM)} \\ \mathbf{Y} &=& \mathbf{X}_{0}\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} & \colon \text{Reduced Model(RM)} \end{array}$$

Hypothesis testing procedure can be described as

$$H_0$$
: Reduced Model(RM) H_1 : Full Model(FM)

Example 3.2.0: pp. 52–54

- Let M and M_0 be the orthogonal projection onto $C(\mathbf{X})$ and $C(\mathbf{X}_0)$ respectively.
- Note that with $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$, $M-M_0$ is the orthogonal projection onto the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0)$ with respect to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$, that is,

$$\mathcal{C}(X_0)_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})}^{\perp} = \mathcal{C}(M-M_0) = \mathcal{C}(M\cap M_0^{\perp})$$
 check! and $\hat{\mu} = \hat{\mathsf{E}}(\mathbf{Y}) = M\mathbf{Y}$ under FM $\hat{\mu}_0 = \hat{\mathsf{E}}(\mathbf{Y}) = M_0\mathbf{Y}$ under RM

- If RM is true, then $M\mathbf{Y} M_0\mathbf{Y} = (M M_0)\mathbf{Y}$ should be reasonably small.
- Note that $E(M M_0)Y = 0$



The decision about whether RM is appropriate hinges on deciding whether the vector $(M - M_0)$ **Y** is large.

An obvious measure of the size of $(M - M_0)\mathbf{Y}$ is

$$[(M-M_0)\mathbf{Y}]^T[(M-M_0)\mathbf{Y}] = \mathbf{Y}^T(M-M_0)\mathbf{Y}.$$

A reasonable measure of the size of $(M - M_0)\mathbf{Y}$ is given by $\mathbf{Y}^T(M - M_0)\mathbf{Y}/r(M - M_0)$

Note that

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\frac{\mathbf{Y}^{\mathsf{T}}(M-M_0)\mathbf{Y}}{r(M-M_0)}\right] = \sigma^2 + \frac{\beta^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}}(M-M_0)\mathbf{X}\beta}{r(M-M_0)}$$

Theorem 3.2.1. Consider $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}_n)$ with $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}_0 \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + \mathbf{X}_1 \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$
: Full Model(FM)

 $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}_0 \gamma + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$: Reduced Model(RM)

(i) Under the Full Model(FM),

$$\frac{\mathbf{Y}^{T}(M-M_{0})\mathbf{Y}/r(M-M_{0})}{\mathbf{Y}^{T}(\mathbf{I}-M)\mathbf{Y}/r(\mathbf{I}-M)} \sim F\left(df_{1}, df_{2}, \frac{\beta^{T}\mathbf{X}^{T}(M-M_{0})\mathbf{X}\beta}{2\sigma^{2}}\right)$$

where $df_1 = r(M - M_0)$, $df_2 = r(I - M)$

(ii) Under the Reduced Model(RM),

$$\frac{\mathbf{Y}^{T}(M-M_{0})\mathbf{Y}/r(M-M_{0})}{\mathbf{Y}^{T}(\mathbf{I}-M)\mathbf{Y}/r(\mathbf{I}-M)}\sim F(df_{1},df_{2},0)$$

where
$$df_1 = r(M - M_0)$$
, $df_2 = r(I - M)$



Note:

$$\mathbf{M} - M_0 = (I - M_0) - (I - M)$$

 $\mathbf{Y}^T (M - M_0) \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Y}^T (I - M_0) \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y}^T (I - M) \mathbf{Y}$
 $= SSE_{RM} - SSE_{FM}$

Example 3.2.2.; pp. 58-59

Assume that

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$
 is correct.

Want to test the adequacy of a model

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}_0 \gamma + \mathbf{X} b + \epsilon$$

where $C(\mathbf{X}_0) \subset C(\mathbf{X})$ and some known vector $\mathbf{X}b = \text{offset}$

Example 3.2.3.; Multiple Regression

$$\mathbf{Y} = \beta_0 J + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \epsilon$$

To test $H_0: \beta_2 = \beta_3 + 5, \beta_1 = 0,...$

In addition,

$$\frac{\mathbf{Y}^{*T}(M-M_0)\mathbf{Y}^*/r(M-M_0)}{\mathbf{Y}^{*T}(I-M)\mathbf{Y}^*/r(I-M)} \sim F(r(M-M_0), r(I-M), \delta^2)$$

where the noncentrality parameter δ^2 is

$$\delta^2 = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \beta^{*T} \mathbf{X}^T (M - M_0) \mathbf{X} \beta^*$$



Example 3.2.3.

$$H_0: \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}$$
 (1)

$$\Lambda^{T}\beta = 0 \iff \beta \in \mathcal{N}(\Lambda^{T}) = \mathcal{C}(X)^{\perp}
\iff \beta \perp \mathcal{C}(\Lambda)
\iff \beta \perp \mathcal{C}(\Gamma) \text{ if } \exists \Gamma \text{ so that } \mathcal{C}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{C}(\Lambda)
\iff \beta \perp \mathcal{C}(U) \text{ if } \exists U \text{ so that } \mathcal{C}(U) = \mathcal{C}(\Lambda)^{\perp}
\iff \beta = U\gamma \text{ for some } \gamma$$
(2)

Thus, letting
$$\mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{X}U$$
, (in general, $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$)
$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \mathbf{X}U\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \mathbf{X}_0\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$
(3)

Suppose $C(\mathbf{X}_0) = C(\mathbf{X})$. Then there is nothing to test and $\Lambda^T \beta = 0$ involves only arbitrary side conditions that do not affect the model.

EXAMPLE 3.3.1. pp. 62-64



Estimable
$$\Lambda^T \beta \iff \Lambda = \mathbf{X}^T P$$
 for some P

Remark:

$$\mathcal{C}(\textit{MP}) \equiv \mathcal{C}(\textit{M} - \textit{M}_0) = \mathcal{C}(\textbf{X} - \textbf{X}_0) = \mathcal{C}(\textbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{C}(\textbf{X}_0)^{\perp} = \mathcal{C}(\textbf{X}_0)^{\perp}_{\mathcal{C}(\textbf{X})}$$

Thus, its distribution for testing $H_0: \Lambda^T \beta = 0$ is given by

$$\frac{\mathbf{Y}^T M_{MP} \mathbf{Y} / r(M_{MP})}{\mathbf{Y}^T (I - M) \mathbf{Y} / r(I - M)} \sim F(r(M_{MP}), r(I - M), \delta^2)$$
 (5)

where $\delta^2 = \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T M_{MP} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$

Proposition 3.3.2

$$\mathcal{C}(\textit{M}-\textit{M}_0) = \mathcal{C}(\textbf{X}_0)_{\mathcal{C}(\textbf{X})}^{\perp} \equiv \mathcal{C}(\textbf{X}\textit{U})_{\mathcal{C}(\textbf{X})}^{\perp} = \mathcal{C}(\textit{MP})$$



$$\begin{split} H_0: \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^T\boldsymbol{\beta} = 0 \\ &\iff H_0: \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{P}^T\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = 0 \\ &\iff H_0: \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{P}^T\boldsymbol{M}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = 0 \quad (\boldsymbol{M}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}) \\ &\iff \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{Y}) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{Y}) \perp \mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{MP}) \\ &\iff \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{Y}) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{MP})^\perp \\ \text{and} \\ &\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{MP})^\perp = \mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{MP})^\perp_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})} \\ &\Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0)^\perp_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})} = \mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{MP}) \\ &\iff \mathbf{X}_0 = (I - \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{MP}})\mathbf{X} \end{split}$$

Theorem 3.3.3

$$\mathcal{C}[(I-M_{MP})\mathbf{X}] = \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{C}(MP)^{\perp} = \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{C}(P)^{\perp}$$

EXAMPLE 3.3.4.: pp.66-67



$$\begin{split} & \Lambda^{T}\boldsymbol{\beta} \quad \text{is} \quad \text{estimable, i.e., } \Lambda = \mathbf{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{P} \\ & \mathcal{C}(\Lambda) \quad = \quad \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{P}) = \mathcal{C}(M\boldsymbol{P}) \\ & \text{and } \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = M\mathbf{Y}, \text{ and } \Lambda^{T}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \boldsymbol{P}^{T}\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \boldsymbol{P}^{T}M\mathbf{Y} \\ & \mathbf{Y}^{T}\boldsymbol{M}_{M\boldsymbol{P}}\mathbf{Y} \quad = \quad \mathbf{Y}^{T}\boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{P}^{T}\boldsymbol{M}\boldsymbol{P})^{-}\boldsymbol{M}\boldsymbol{P}\mathbf{Y} \\ & = \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}[\boldsymbol{P}^{T}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{P}]^{-}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{T}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ & = \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}[\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{T}(\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X})^{-}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}]^{-}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{T}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \end{split}$$

$$\text{Thus,} \\ (5) \quad = \quad \frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}[\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{T}(\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X})^{-}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}]^{-}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{T}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}/r(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})}{\boldsymbol{MSF}} \sim \boldsymbol{F}(r(\boldsymbol{MP}), r(\boldsymbol{I}-\boldsymbol{M}), \boldsymbol{\delta}^{2}) \end{split}$$

 $\delta^{2} = \frac{\beta^{T} \Lambda [\Lambda^{T} (\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X})^{-} \Lambda]^{-} \Lambda^{T} \beta}{2\sigma^{2}}$ $Cov(\Lambda^{T} \hat{\beta}) = \sigma^{2} \Lambda^{T} (\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X})^{-} \Lambda$

where

For
$$H_0: \lambda^T \boldsymbol{\beta} = 0, \ \lambda \in \mathbf{R}^p$$
,

$$\mathbf{Y}^{T} M_{MP} \mathbf{Y} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{T} \lambda [\lambda^{T} (\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X})^{-} \lambda]^{-} \lambda^{T} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$$
$$= \frac{(\lambda^{T} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^{2}}{\lambda^{T} (\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X})^{-} \lambda}$$

and, under $H_0: \lambda^T \beta = 0$

$$F = (5) = \frac{(\lambda^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^2}{MSE[\lambda^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-} \lambda]} \sim F(1, r(I - M))$$

Definition 3.3.5. The condition $E(\mathbf{Y}) \perp \mathcal{C}(MP)$ is called the constraint by $\Lambda^T \beta = 0$ where $\Lambda = \mathbf{X}^T P$: $\mathcal{C}(MP) =$ the constraint by $\Lambda^T \beta = 0$.

Do Exercise 3.5: Show that a necessary and sufficient condition for $\rho_1^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} = 0$ and $\rho_2^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} = 0$ to determine orthogonal constraints on the model is that $\rho_1^T \mathbf{X} \rho_2 = 0$.

Theoretical Complements

- Consider testing $\Lambda^T \beta = 0$ when $\Lambda^T \beta$ is NOT estimable
- Let $\Lambda_0^T \beta$ be estimable part of $\Lambda^T \beta$.
- Λ_0 is chosen so that

$$\mathcal{C}(\Lambda_0) = \mathcal{C}(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}^T)$$

which means that $\Lambda^T\beta=0$ implies that $\Lambda^T_0\beta=0$ but $\Lambda^T_0\beta$ is estimable because

$$\mathcal{C}(\Lambda_0) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}^T).$$

Theoretical Complements

Theorem 3.3.6. Let $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda_0) = \mathcal{C}(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}^T)$ and $\mathcal{C}(U_0) = \mathcal{C}(\Lambda_0)^{\perp}$. Then $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}U) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}U_0)$. Thus $\Lambda^T\beta = 0$ and $\Lambda_0^T\beta = 0$ induce the same reduced model.

Proposition 3.3.7. Let $\Lambda_0^T \beta$ be estimable and $\Lambda \neq 0$. Then

$$\Lambda^{T}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0} \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}U) \neq \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}).$$

Corollary 3.3.8.

$$\mathcal{C}(\Lambda_0) = \mathcal{C}(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}^T) = \{0\} \iff \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}U) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}).$$

Consider $H_0: \Lambda^T \beta = d$, where $d \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}^T)$, which is solvable. Let b so that $\Lambda^T b = d$. Then

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} &= \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^T \boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{d} \Longleftrightarrow \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^T (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{b}) = \boldsymbol{0} \\ &\iff (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{b}) \perp \mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \\ &\iff (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{b}) \in \mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{U}) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{U}) = \mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})^\perp \\ &\iff \boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma} \quad \text{for some } \boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ &\iff \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{b} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma} \quad \text{i.e. } \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{b} \end{split}$$

and

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

$$= \mathbf{X}_0\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \text{ where } \mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{U}$$
 (6)

If $\Lambda = \mathbf{X}^T P$, then $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0)^{\perp}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})} = \mathcal{C}(MP)$ and its test statistic is

$$F = \frac{(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}b)^{T} M_{MP}(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}b)/r(M_{MP})}{(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}b)^{T} (I - M)(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}b)/r(I - M)}$$
$$= \frac{(\Lambda^{T} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{d})^{T} [\Lambda^{T} (\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X})^{-} \Lambda]^{-} (\Lambda^{T} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{d})/r(\Lambda)}{MSE} \sim F(?,?,?)$$

Remark: If $\Lambda^T \beta = d$, the same reduced model results if we take $\Lambda^T \beta = d_0$ where $d_0 = d + \Lambda^T \nu$ and $\nu \perp \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}^T)$. Note that, in this construction, if $\Lambda^T \beta = d$ is estimable, $d_0 = d$ for any ν .

EXAMPLE 3.3.9.: pp.71-72

EXAMPLE 3.4.1.: pp.75



Testing Single Degrees of Freedom in a Given Subspace

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}_0 \gamma + \epsilon$$
:RM vs $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon$:FM With $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$

Let $M_* = M - M_0$. Consider $H_0 : \Lambda^T \beta = 0$.

If $\Lambda = \mathbf{X}^T P$, i.e., $\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}^T)$, then $M_* = M_{MP}$.

Proposition 3.3.2 Since $MM_* = M_*$,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}(M - M_0) &= \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0)_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})}^{\perp} \equiv \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}U)_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})}^{\perp} = \mathcal{C}(MP) \\ &\Rightarrow \quad M\rho \in \mathcal{C}(M_*) \Rightarrow M\rho = M_*M\rho = M_*\rho \Rightarrow \rho^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \rho^T M_* \mathbf{Y} = \rho^T M \mathbf{Y} \end{split}$$

Thus the test statistic for $H_0: \Lambda^T \beta = 0$ is

$$\frac{\mathbf{Y}^T M_* \rho (\rho^T M_* \rho)^{-1} \rho^T M_* \mathbf{Y}}{MSE} = \frac{(\rho^T M_* \mathbf{Y})^2 / \rho^T M_* \rho}{MSE}$$



Breaking SS into Independent Components

Consider
$$\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{X}_1)$$
. Set
$$\mathrm{SSR}(\mathbf{X}_1 | \mathbf{X}_0) &\equiv \mathbf{Y}^T (M - M_0) \mathbf{Y} \\ &= \mathrm{Sum} \ \mathrm{of} \ \mathrm{Squares} \ \mathrm{for} \ \mathrm{regression} \ \mathbf{X}_1 \ \mathrm{after} \ \mathbf{X}_0 \\ \mathrm{SSR}(\mathbf{X}) &\equiv \mathbf{Y}^T M \mathbf{Y} \\ \mathrm{SSR}(\mathbf{X}_0) &\equiv \mathbf{Y}^T M_0 \mathbf{Y} \\ \mathrm{SSR}(\mathbf{X}) &= \mathrm{SSR}(\mathbf{X}_0) + \mathrm{SSR}(\mathbf{X}_1 | \mathbf{X}_0) \\ \mathbf{NOTE:} \ \mathrm{If} \ \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma \mathbf{I}), \ \mathrm{then}$$

 $SSR(\mathbf{X}_0)$ \perp $SSR(\mathbf{X}_1|\mathbf{X}_0)$

General Theory

Let M and M_* be the orthogonal projection operator into $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_*)$ respectively. Then, with $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_*) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$, M_* defines a test statistic

$$\frac{\mathbf{Y}^T M_* \mathbf{Y}/r(M_*)}{\mathbf{Y}^T (\mathbf{I} - M) \mathbf{Y}/r(\mathbf{I} - M)} \quad \text{for RM: } \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}_* \gamma + \epsilon$$

$$\mathcal{C}(M - M_*) = \quad \text{Estimation space under } H_0$$

$$\mathcal{C}(M_*) = \quad \text{Test space under } H_0$$

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{I} - (M - M_*)) = \quad \text{Error space under } H_0$$
 and
$$\mathbf{I} - (M - M_*) = (\mathbf{I} - M) + M_*$$

General Theory

Using Gram-Schmidt procedure, let's construct M_* so that

$$M_* = RR^T = \sum_{i=1}^r R_i R_i^T = \sum_{i=1}^r M_i$$
 where $R = (R_1, \dots, R_r)$ and $M_i M_i = 0$ for $i \neq j$. By **Theorem 1.3.7**,

$$\mathbf{Y}^T M_i \mathbf{Y} \perp \mathbf{Y}^T M_j \mathbf{Y} \Longleftrightarrow M_i M_j = 0$$

Next,
$$\mathbf{Y}^T M \mathbf{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{Y}^T M_i \mathbf{Y}$$
, when $r(M_i) = 1$,

$$\frac{\mathbf{Y}^T M_i \mathbf{Y} / r(M_i)}{\mathbf{Y}^T (\mathbf{I} - M) \mathbf{Y} / r(\mathbf{I} - M)} \sim F\left(1, r(\mathbf{I} - M), \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T M_i \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)$$

General Theory

$$0 = \beta^{T} \mathbf{X}^{T} M_{*} \mathbf{X} \beta = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \beta^{T} \mathbf{X}^{T} M_{i} \mathbf{X} \beta$$

$$\iff \beta^{T} \mathbf{X}^{T} M_{i} \mathbf{X} \beta = 0 \quad \text{for all } i$$

$$\iff R_{i}^{T} \mathbf{X} \beta = 0 \quad \text{for all } i$$

$$\iff H_{0} \text{ is true}$$

EXAMPLE 3.6.1.: Balanced design; pp.79–80

EXAMPLE 3.6.2.: Unbalanced design;pp.80–81

Two-Way ANOVA

$$egin{array}{lcl} egin{array}{lcl} egin{arra$$

Next,

$$\mathbf{y}_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ijk} : \mathsf{FM}$$
 $\mathbf{y}_{ijk} = \mu + \epsilon_{ijk} : \mathsf{RM}$
 $\mathbf{Y}^\mathsf{T} (M_0 - M_J) \mathbf{Y} = R(\alpha | \mu)$

Two-Way ANOVA

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ijk} : \mathsf{FM}$$
 $y_{ijk} = \mu + \epsilon_{ijk} : \mathsf{RM}$
 $\mathbf{Y}^T (M - M_J) \mathbf{Y} = R(\alpha, \eta | \mu)$
 $= R(\eta | \mu, \alpha) + R(\alpha | \mu)$

In general,

$$R(\eta|\alpha,\mu) \neq R(\eta|\mu)$$

 $R(\alpha|\eta,\mu) \neq R(\alpha|\mu)$

In paricular, for balanced design, if $C(\mathbf{X}_{\alpha}) \perp C(\mathbf{X}_{\eta})$,

$$R(\eta|\alpha,\mu) = R(\eta|\mu)$$

 $R(\alpha|\eta,\mu) = R(\alpha|\mu)$



Two-Way ANOVA

Proposition 3.6.3.

$$R(\eta|\alpha,\mu) = R(\eta|\mu) \Longleftrightarrow C(M_1 - M_J) \perp C(M_0 - M_J)$$

that is,

$$M_1 - M_J = M - M_0 \iff (M_1 - M_J)(M_0 - M_J) = 0$$

where

$$R(\eta|\alpha,\mu) = \mathbf{Y}^T(M-M_0)\mathbf{Y}$$

 $R(\eta|\mu) = \mathbf{Y}^T(M_1-M_0)\mathbf{Y}$

Confidence Regions

100(1 $-\alpha$)% Confidence Region(CR) for $\Lambda^T \beta$ consists of all the vectors d satisfying the inequality

$$\frac{[\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{d}]^T [\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^T (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^- \boldsymbol{\Lambda}]^- [\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{d}] / r(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})}{MSE} \leq (1 - \alpha, r(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}), r(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{M}))$$

These vectors form an ellipsoid in $r(\Lambda)$ -dimensional space.

For regression problems, if we take $P^T = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T$, then $\Lambda^T \beta = P^T \mathbf{X} \beta = \beta = d$. The 100(1 – α)% CR for β is

$$\frac{[\Lambda^{T}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{d}]^{T}[\Lambda^{T}(\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X})^{-}\Lambda]^{-}[\Lambda^{T}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{d}]/r(\Lambda)}{MSE}$$

$$= \frac{(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})^{T}(\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})/p}{MSE} \leq (1 - \alpha, p, n - p)$$

Let V be a known positive definite and also let $V = QQ^T$. With $\mathcal{C}(X_0) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$,

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 V) \tag{1}$$

$$Q^{-1}\mathbf{Y} = Q^{-1}\mathbf{X}\beta + Q^{-1}\epsilon, \quad Q^{-1}\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2\mathbf{I})$$
 (2)

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}_0 \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 V)$$
 (3)

$$Q^{-1}\mathbf{Y} = Q^{-1}\mathbf{X}_0\beta_0 + Q^{-1}\epsilon, \quad Q^{-1}\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2\mathbf{I})$$
 (4)

Testing (3) vs (1) \iff Testing (4) vs (2)

NOTE:

$$\mathcal{C}(Q^{-1}X_0)\subset\mathcal{C}(Q^{-1}\mathbf{X})$$

From Section 2.7,

$$A = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T V^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T V^{-1}$$

$$MSE = \mathbf{Y}^T (\mathbf{I} - A)^T V^{-1} (\mathbf{I} - A) \mathbf{Y} / (n - r(\mathbf{X}))$$

$$A_0 = \mathbf{X}_0 (\mathbf{X}_0^T V^{-1} \mathbf{X}_0)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_0^T V^{-1}$$

Theorem 3.8.1

$$\text{(i)} \quad \frac{\frac{\boldsymbol{Y}^T(\boldsymbol{A} - \boldsymbol{A}_0) \boldsymbol{V}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{A} - \boldsymbol{A}_0) \boldsymbol{Y}}{r(\boldsymbol{X}) - r(\boldsymbol{X}_0)}}{MSE} \sim F(r(\boldsymbol{X}) - r(\boldsymbol{X}_0), n - r(\boldsymbol{X}), \delta^2)$$

where
$$\delta^2 = \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T (A - A_0) V^{-1} (A - A_0) \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} / 2\sigma^2$$

(ii)
$$\beta^T \mathbf{X}^T (A - A_0) V^{-1} (A - A_0) \mathbf{X} \beta = 0 \iff \mathsf{E}(\mathbf{Y}) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0)$$

Theorem 3.8.2 Let $\Lambda^T \beta$ be estimable. Then the test statistic for $H_0: \Lambda^T \beta = 0$ is

(i)
$$\frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \Lambda [\Lambda^T (\mathbf{X}^T V^{-1} \mathbf{X})^- \Lambda]^- \Lambda^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} / r(\Lambda)}{MSE} \sim F(r(\lambda), n - r(\mathbf{X}), \delta^2)$$

where
$$\delta^2 = \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \Lambda [\Lambda^T (\mathbf{X}^T V^{-1} \mathbf{X})^- \Lambda]^- \Lambda^T \boldsymbol{\beta} / 2\sigma^2$$

(ii)
$$\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda} [\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^T (\mathbf{X}^T V^{-1} \mathbf{X})^- \boldsymbol{\Lambda}]^- \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} = 0 \iff \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} = 0$$

Theorem 3.8.3

(i)
$$\frac{\mathbf{Y}^T(A-A_0)V^{-1}(A-A_0)\mathbf{Y}}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(r(\mathbf{X})-r(\mathbf{X}_0),\delta^2)$$
 where $\delta^2=\beta^T\mathbf{X}^T(A-A_0)V^{-1}(A-A_0)\mathbf{X}\beta/2\sigma^2$ and
$$\delta^2=0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathsf{E}(\mathbf{Y}) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0)$$

(ii)
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \Lambda [\Lambda^T (\mathbf{X}^T V^{-1} \mathbf{X})^- \Lambda]^- \Lambda^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} / 2\sigma^2 \chi^2 (r(\Lambda), \delta^2)$$

where $\delta^2 = \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \Lambda [\Lambda^T (\mathbf{X}^T V^{-1} \mathbf{X})^- \Lambda]^- \Lambda^T \boldsymbol{\beta} / 2\sigma^2$ and
$$\delta^2 = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \Lambda^T \boldsymbol{\beta} = 0$$