## Instructions

- 1. It is a CLOSED BOOK examination.
- 2. This paper has three questions. The maximum marks is 20.
- 3. Write your answers on a paper, scan and submit them at the end of the exam.
- 4. Write your name, roll number and the subject number (CS 419M) on the top of each of your answer script.
- **5.** There will be partial credits for subjective questions, if you have made substantial progress towards the answer. However there will be NO credit for rough work.
- **6.** Please keep your answer sheets different from the rough work you have made. Do not attach the rough work with the answer sheet. You should ONLY upload the answer sheets.

**1.** Consider a dataset of 1-D points,  $\mathcal{D} = \{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_i, y_i), \dots, (x_N, y_N)\}, x_i \in \mathbb{R}, x_i > 0, y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . We want to find a lasso regression estimate  $w_R$  such that:

$$w_R = \arg\min_{w'} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - w'x_i)^2 + \lambda w \right)$$

Note that in above expression summation is over the whole equation  $(y_i - w'x_i)^2 + \lambda w$ 

Here,  $\lambda \geq 0$  is the lasso regression coefficient. Each  $y_i$  is assumed to be generated such that  $y_i = wx_i + \varepsilon_i$  where  $\varepsilon_i \sim N(0,1)$  (i.e.  $\varepsilon_i$  is zero-mean unit-variance Gaussian noise) and w is the true (unknown) linear relationship that we would like to estimate.

**1.a** Derive a closed-form expression for  $w_R$  in terms of  $\alpha, \beta$ , N and  $\lambda$ , where

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2, \quad \beta = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i y_i$$

**1.a** /2

Solution:-

$$w' = \frac{2\beta - N\lambda}{2\alpha}$$

**1.b** What is  $E[w_R]$  where the expectation is taken with respect to all  $y_i$  's? Write down your result in terms of  $w, \alpha, \beta$ , N and  $\lambda$ .

|1.b| /2

Solution:-

$$E[w_R] = \frac{2w\alpha - N\lambda}{2\alpha}$$

**1.c**  $\hat{\theta}$  is said to be an unbiased estimator of the true parameter  $\theta$  if  $E[\hat{\theta}] = \theta$ . For what value of  $\lambda$  will  $w_R$  be an unbiased estimator of w? Justify your answer.

**1.c** /1

Solution:-  $\lambda = 0$ 

**2.** Consider the following objective function  $L(\mathbf{w})$  parameterized by a weight vector  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$L(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{U} \mathbf{w}$$

where

$$\mathbf{U} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{array} \right]$$

Say we want to minimize this loss function using gradient descent. If  $w^t$  is the weight vector after t iterations of gradient descent, write down the gradient descent update equation for  $\mathbf{w}^{t+1}$  using a learning rate of  $\eta = \frac{1}{5}$ . Reduce this expression so that  $w^{t+1}$  is in the form  $\mathbf{w}^{t+1} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{w}^t$  where  $\mathbf{V}$  is a matrix.

2.a Calculate matrix V?

**2.a** /1

Solution:

$$\mathbf{w}^{t+1} = \mathbf{w}^t - \eta \nabla L \left( \mathbf{w}^t \right)$$

$$\nabla L \left( \mathbf{w}^t \right) = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{w}^t$$

$$\mathbf{w}^{t+1} = (I - \eta \mathbf{U}) \mathbf{w}^t$$

$$= \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \mathbf{w}^t$$

$$= \left( \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{5} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{5} \end{bmatrix} \right) \mathbf{w}^t$$

$$\mathbf{V} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{5} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{3}{5} \end{array} \right]$$

**2.b**  $L(\mathbf{w})$  is minimized at  $\mathbf{w}^* = 0$ . Show that we can converge to the optimal  $\mathbf{w}^*$  using gradient descent starting from any arbitrary initialization for the weight vector  $w_0$ .

**Solution:** The optimal  $w^* = 0$  and **V** is diagonal, ensuring that in the limit (i.e.,  $t \to \infty$ , the non-zero diagonal values will converge to 0.

**2.c** Instead of **U**, say we use a diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & z_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  where  $z_{11}$  and  $z_{22}$  are both greater than 0. What value of  $\eta$ , in terms of  $z_{11}$  and  $z_{22}$ , would lead to fastest convergence?

**Solution**: The diagonal entries after the weight update rule will be  $|1 - \eta z_{11}|$  and  $|1 - \eta z_{22}|$ . We want to minimize max  $(|1 - \eta z_{11}|, |1 - \eta z_{22}|)$ . Let  $\alpha = \min(z_{11}, z_{22})$  and  $\beta = \max(z_{11}, z_{22})$ . Then, max  $(|1 - \eta z_{11}|, |1 - \eta z_{22}|) = \max(|1 - \eta \alpha|, |1 - \eta \beta|)$ , where  $\alpha \leq \beta$  Now,

$$(|1 - \eta \alpha|, |1 - \eta \beta|) = \begin{cases} (1 - \eta \alpha, 1 - \eta \beta) & \text{if } \eta \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \\ (1 - \eta \alpha, \eta \beta - 1) & \text{if } \frac{1}{\beta} \leq \eta \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \\ (\eta \alpha - 1, \eta \beta - 1) & \text{if } \eta \geq \frac{1}{\alpha} \end{cases}$$

Also, note that  $1 - \eta \alpha > 1 - \eta \beta$ , and  $\eta \beta - 1 > \eta \alpha - 1$ . Hence we have

$$\max(|1 - \eta \alpha|, |1 - \eta \beta|) = \begin{cases} 1 - \eta \alpha & \text{if } \eta \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \\ \max(1 - \eta \alpha, \eta \beta - 1) & \text{if } \frac{1}{\beta} \leq \eta \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \\ \eta \beta - 1 & \text{if } \eta \geq \frac{1}{\alpha} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\eta_0$  be such that  $1 - \eta_0 \alpha = \eta_0 \beta - 1$ : i.e.,  $\eta_0 = 2/(\alpha + \beta)$ . Then for  $\eta \in \left[\frac{1}{\beta}, \eta_0\right]$  we have  $1 - \eta \alpha \ge \eta \beta - 1$  and for  $\eta \in \left[\eta_0, \frac{1}{\alpha}\right]$  we have  $\eta \beta - 1 \ge 1 - \eta \alpha$ . Hence, we have

$$\max(|1 - \eta \alpha|, |1 - \eta \beta|) = \begin{cases} 1 - \eta \alpha & \text{if } \eta \le \eta_0 \\ \eta \beta - 1 & \text{if } \eta \ge \eta_0 \end{cases}$$

Finally, we note that  $1 - \eta \alpha$  is a decreasing function, and  $\eta \beta - 1$  is an increasing function of  $\eta$ . Hence the above function is minimized at  $\eta = \eta_0 = 2/(z_{11} + z_{22})$  (where it attains the value  $\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\beta + \alpha} = \frac{|z_{11} - z_{22}|}{|z_{11} + z_{22}|}$ ).

Total: 10