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Table 2. Newcastle Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale

| Assessment | Cohort Rating / \nCase-control Rating | Barry et al (2018) | Binswanger et al (2013) | Coffey et al (2003) | Dirkzwager et al (2012) | Elonheimo et al (2017) | Haglund et al (2014) | Jones et al (2017) | Joukamaa (1998) | Lim et al (2012) | Lindqvist et al (2007) | Nieuwbeerta et al (2008) | Pratt et al (2006) | Pridemore (2014) | Putkonen et al (2001) | Rosen et al (2008) | Spittal et al (2014) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Selection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Representativeness of the exposed cohort / Is the case definition adequate? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Selection of the non exposed cohort / Representativeness of the cases | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Ascertainment of exposure / Selection of the cases | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at the start of study / Definition of controls | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Comparability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis / Comparability of cases and controls on the basis of the design or analysis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Outcome / Exposure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Assessment of outcome / Ascertainment of exposure | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur / Same method of ascertainment for cases and controls | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Adequacy of cohorts / Non-response rate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |