

Testing the limit of Minchev 2018 method:

Assumptions:

$$\nabla[\text{Fe}/\text{H}](\tau) = a \ln(1 - \tau/\tau_0) + \nabla[\text{Fe}/\text{H}](0)$$

$$[\text{Fe}/\text{H}](R_0, \tau) = b \ln(1 - \tau/\tau_0) + [\text{Fe}/\text{H}](R_0, 0)$$

define: $C_m := \nabla[\text{Fe}/\text{H}](0)$
 $C_b := [\text{Fe}/\text{H}](R_0, 0)$

Let's assume $\tau_0 = 15 \text{ Gyr}$ ($\tau_0 \gg \tau$ for both fcn. to converge)

$$R_b = \frac{[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] - [\text{Fe}/\text{H}](R_b, \tau)}{\nabla[\text{Fe}/\text{H}](\tau)}$$

$$\therefore [\text{Fe}/\text{H}](R_b, \tau) = [\text{Fe}/\text{H}](R_0, \tau) - \nabla[\text{Fe}/\text{H}](\tau) R_0$$

$$= C_b - \nabla[\text{Fe}/\text{H}](\tau) R_0 + b \ln(1 - \tau/\tau_0)$$

$$\therefore R_b = \frac{[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] - C_b + \nabla[\text{Fe}/\text{H}](\tau) R_0 - b \ln(1 - \tau/\tau_0)}{a \ln(1 - \tau/\tau_0) + C_m}$$

$$= \frac{[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] - C_b}{a \ln(1 - \tau/\tau_0) + C_m} - \frac{b}{a + C_m / \ln(1 - \tau/\tau_0)} + R_0$$

for $\tau \ll \tau_0$, $\ln(1 - \tau/\tau_0) \sim -(\tau/\tau_0) - (\tau/\tau_0)^2 \frac{1}{2}$

$$R_b \sim \frac{[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] - C_b}{C_m} \left(1 + \frac{a}{C_m} (\tau/\tau_0) + \left[\left(\frac{a}{C_m} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{a}{2C_m} \right) \right] (\tau/\tau_0)^2 \right) + \frac{b}{C_m} (\tau/\tau_0) + \frac{b(2a + C_m)}{2C_m^2} (\tau/\tau_0)^2 + R_0$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{C_m} [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] + \frac{a}{C_m^2} [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] (\tau/\tau_0) + \left(\frac{b}{C_m} - \frac{C_b a}{C_m^2} \right) (\tau/\tau_0) + \left[\frac{b(2a + C_m)}{2C_m^2} - \frac{C_b}{C_m} \left[\left(\frac{a}{C_m} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{a}{2C_m} \right) \right] \right] (\tau/\tau_0)^2 + R_0 - \frac{C_b}{C_m}$$

$$\therefore \partial[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = 1/C_m; \partial R = 0; \partial \tau = \left(\frac{b}{C_m} - \frac{C_b a}{C_m^2} \right); \partial[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]^2 = 0;$$

$$\partial[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] R = 0; \partial[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \tau = a/C_m^2; \partial R^2 = 0; \partial R \tau = 0;$$

$$\partial \tau^2 = \left[\frac{b(2a + C_m)}{2C_m^2} - \frac{C_b}{C_m} \left[\left(\frac{a}{C_m} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{a}{2C_m} \right) \right] \right]; \partial C = R_0 + \frac{C_b}{C_m}$$

for $\tau \sim \tau_0$, $\ln(1 - \tau/\tau_0) \sim -\infty \rightarrow R_b \sim R_0 + b/a \rightarrow$ perturbation from R_0

Testing limit of Frankle 2018 paper:

from equation 8:

$$R_b = \frac{[Fe/H] - F_m + (F_m + \nabla[Fe/H] R_{[Fe/H]=0}^{now}) f(\tau)}{\nabla[Fe/H]} \quad \checkmark R_n$$

where $\nabla[Fe/H] = C_m$, $F_m = [Fe/H](0, T_0)$, $f(\tau) = (1 - \tau/T_0)^\gamma$

$$\therefore R_b = \frac{1}{C_m} [Fe/H] - \frac{F_m}{C_m} + \left(\frac{F_m}{C_m} + R_n \right) (1 - \tau/T_0)^\gamma \quad \gamma \text{ not the same } T_0$$

$R_n = -\frac{C_b}{C_m} + R_0 \rightarrow$ defining same variables as used before
for $T \ll T_0$: $(1 - \tau/T_0)^\gamma \sim [1 - \gamma(\tau/T_0) + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma-1)\gamma(\tau/T_0)^2]$

$$R_b = \frac{1}{C_m} [Fe/H] - \gamma \left(\frac{F_m}{C_m} + R_0 - \frac{C_b}{C_m} \right) (\tau/T_0) + \gamma(\gamma-1) \left[\frac{F_m}{2C_m} + \frac{R_0}{2} - \frac{C_b}{2C_m} \right] \left(\frac{\tau}{T_0} \right)^2 + R_0 - C_b/C_m$$

$$\partial[Fe/H] = 1/C_m; \partial R = 0; \partial \tau = -\gamma \left(\frac{F_m}{C_m} + R_0 - \frac{C_b}{C_m} \right), \partial[Fe/H]^2 = 0;$$

$$\partial[Fe/H]R = 0; \partial[Fe/H]\tau = 0; \partial R^2 = 0; \partial R\tau = 0;$$

$$\partial \tau^2 = \gamma(\gamma-1) \left[\frac{F_m}{2C_m} + \frac{1}{2} \left(R_0 - \frac{C_b}{C_m} \right) \right], \partial_c = R_0 - \frac{C_b}{C_m}$$

$\rightarrow \partial[Fe/H], \partial_c$ ~~that~~ are the same as Minchev 2018

for $T \sim T_0$: $(1 - \tau/T_0)^\gamma \sim 0$ for $\gamma > 0$, also $\gamma \neq 0$

$$R_b = \frac{1}{C_m} [Fe/H] - \frac{F_m}{C_m}$$

Comparing the two:

① for $T \ll T_0$ case; ① $\partial[Fe/H]$ and ∂_c are the same

② Frankle 2018 doesn't have $\partial[Fe/H]\tau$, the rest are the same (there is value)

$$\textcircled{3} -\gamma \left(\frac{F_m}{C_m} + R_0 - \frac{C_b}{C_m} \right), -\left(\frac{b}{C_m} + \frac{aC_b}{C_m^2} \right), \text{ for } \partial \tau$$

$\downarrow \quad R_n$
 Frankle Minchev

$$\textcircled{4} \gamma(\gamma-1) \left[\frac{F_m}{2C_m} + \frac{1}{2} R_n \right], -\frac{C_b}{C_m} \left[\left(\frac{a}{C_m} \right)^2 + \frac{a}{2C_m} \right], \text{ for } \partial \tau^2$$

\downarrow
 Frankle Minchev.

for $\tau \sim \tau_0$ case :

① Frankle 2018 is $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ dependent, Minchev 2018 is const.

OK... so the limit works for both cases, can be reproduced from quadratic solution. what's the point???

Maybe : $\partial_{[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]}$ represents the slope now for $\tau \ll \tau_0$?
→ obviously...

→ ∂_c represents the location where $\mathcal{R}([\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = 0)$
→ so it is pretty much saying

R_b = perturbation around the location where $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = 0$,
for $\tau \ll \tau_0$