

**Homework 3**

刘禹熙

2020 年 10 月 27 日

---

- 3.1. Consider a data set in which each data point  $t_n$  is associated with a weighting factor  $r_n > 0$ , so that the sum-of-squares error function becomes

$$E_D(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N r_n \{t_n - w^T \phi(x_n)\}^2$$

Find an expression for the solution  $w^*$  that minimizes this error function. Give two alternative interpretations of the weighted sum-of-squares error function in terms of (i) data dependent noise variance and (ii) replicated data points.

*Solution.*

$$\begin{aligned} E_D(w) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N r_n \{t_n - w^T \phi(x_n)\}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\phi w - t)^T R (\phi w - t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (w^T \phi^T R \phi w - w^T \phi^T R t - t^T R \phi w + t^T R t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (w^T \phi^T R \phi w - 2t^T R \phi w + t^T R t) \end{aligned}$$

and we defined  $R = \text{diag}(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N)$ . Taking the gradient of the error function

$$\nabla E_D(w) = \phi^T R \phi w - t^T R \phi$$

$$\begin{aligned} w^* &= (\phi^T R \phi)^{-1} t^T R \phi \\ &= (\phi^T R \phi)^{-1} \phi^T R t \end{aligned}$$

If  $R = I$ , we get the standard solution  $w^* = (\phi^T \phi)^{-1} \phi^T t$ , and  $r_n$  can be seen as a precision parameter.  $r_n$  can also be regarded as an effective number of replicated observations of data point  $(x_n, t_n)$

- 3.2. We saw in Section 2.3.6 that the conjugate prior for a Gaussian distribution with unknown mean and unknown precision (inverse variance)

is a normal-gamma distribution. This property also holds for the case of the conditional Gaussian distribution  $p(t|x, w, \beta)$  of the linear regression model. If we consider the likelihood function,

$$p(t|X, w, \beta) = \prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{N}(t_n | w^T \phi(X_n), \beta^{-1})$$

then the conjugate prior for  $w$  and  $\beta$  is given by

$$p(w, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(w | m_0, \beta^{-1} S_0) \text{Gam}(\beta | a_0, b_0)$$

Show that the correspondent posterior distribution takes the same functional form, so that

$$p(w, \beta | t) = \mathcal{N}(w | m_N, \beta^{-1} S_N) \text{Gam}(\beta | a_N, b_N)$$

and find expressions for the posterior parameters  $m_N$ ,  $S_N$ ,  $a_N$ , and  $b_N$ .

*Solution.*

$$\begin{aligned} \ln p(w, \beta | t) &= \ln p(w, \beta) + \sum_{n=1}^N \ln p(t_n | w^T \phi(x_n), \beta^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{M}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{1}{2} \ln |S_0| - \frac{\beta}{2} (w - m_0)^T S_0^{-1} (w - m_0) \\ &\quad - b_0 \beta + (a_0 - 1) \ln \beta \\ &\quad + \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \{w^T \phi(x_n) - t_n\}^2 + \text{const} \end{aligned}$$

$$p(w, \beta | t) = p(w | \beta, t) p(\beta | t)$$

$$\ln(w | \beta, t) = -\frac{\beta}{2} w^T [\phi^T \phi + S_0^{-1}] w + w^T [\beta S_0^{-1} m_0 + \beta \phi^T t] + \text{const}$$

we can see that  $p(w | \beta, t)$  is a Gaussian distribution with mean and covariance given by

$$m_N = S_N [S_0^{-1} m_0 + \phi^T t]$$

$$\beta S_N^{-1} = \beta (S_0^{-1} + \phi^T \phi)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln p(\beta | t) &= -\frac{\beta}{2} m_0^T S_0^{-1} m_0 + \frac{\beta}{2} m_N^T S_N^{-1} m_N \\ &\quad + \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - b_0 \beta + (a_0 - 1) \ln \beta - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N t_n^2 + \text{const} \end{aligned}$$

We recognize this as the log of a Gamma distribution. And we have

$$a_N = a_0 + \frac{N}{2}$$

$$b_N = b_0 + \frac{1}{2}(m_0^T S_0^{-1} m_0 - m_N^T S_N^{-1} m_N + \sum_{n=1}^N t_n^2)$$

3.3. Show that the integration over  $w$  in the Bayesian linear regression model gives the result

$$\int \exp\{-E(w)\} dw = \exp\{E(m_N)\} (2\pi)^{M/2} |A|^{-1/2}$$

Hence show that the log marginal likelihood is given by

$$\ln p(t|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{M}{2} + \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - E(m_N) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |A| - \frac{N}{2} (2\pi)$$

*Solution.* Using  $p(t|\alpha, \beta) = (\frac{\beta}{2\pi})^{N/2} (\frac{\alpha}{2\pi})^{M/2} \int \exp\{-E(w)\} dw$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln p(t|\alpha, \beta) &= \frac{M}{2} (\ln \alpha - \ln(2 * \pi)) + \frac{N}{2} (\ln \beta - \ln(2\pi)) + \ln \int \exp\{-E(w)\} dw \\ &= \frac{M}{2} (\ln \alpha - \ln(2\pi)) + \frac{N}{2} (\ln \beta - \ln(2\pi)) - E(m_N) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |A| + \frac{M}{2} \ln(2\pi) \end{aligned}$$

which equals  $\ln p(t|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{M}{2} + \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - E(m_N) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |A| - \frac{N}{2} (2\pi)$ .

3.4. Consider real-valued variables  $X$  and  $Y$ . The  $Y$  variable is generated, conditional on  $X$ , from the following process:

$$\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$Y = aX + \varepsilon$$

where every  $\varepsilon$  is an independent variable, called a noise term, which is drawn from a Gaussian distribution with mean 0, and standard deviation  $\sigma$ . This is a one-feature linear regression model, where  $a$  is the only weight parameter. The conditional probability of  $Y$  has distribution  $p(Y|X, a) \sim N(aX, \sigma^2)$ , so it can be written as

$$p(Y|X, a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(Y - aX)^2)$$

Assume we have a training dataset of  $n$  pairs  $(X_i, Y_i)$  for  $i = 1 \dots n$ , and  $\sigma$  is known. Derive the maximum likelihood estimate of the parameter  $a$  in terms of the training example  $X_i$ 's and  $Y_i$ 's. We recommend you start with the simplest form of the problem:

$$F(a) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (Y_i - aX_i)^2$$

*Solution.*

$$\begin{aligned} p(Y|X, a) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(Y-ax)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \\ L(a) &= \prod_{i=1}^n P(Y_i|X_i, a) = (2\pi\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - aX_i)^2} \\ \ln L(a) &= -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi\sigma) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - aX_i)^2 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \ln L(a) &= -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (aX_i^2 - X_iY_i) \\ \hat{a} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_iY_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2} \end{aligned}$$

- 3.5. If a data point  $y$  follows the Poisson distribution with rate parameter  $\theta$ , then the probability of a single observation  $y$  is

$$p(y|\theta) = \frac{\theta^y e^{-\theta}}{y!}, \text{ for } y = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

You are given data points  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  independently drawn from a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\theta$ . Write down the log-likelihood of the data as a function of  $\theta$ .

*Solution.*

$$\begin{aligned} L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n|\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta^{y_i}}{y_i!} e^{-\theta} = e^{-n\theta} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta^{y_i}}{y_i!} \\ \ln L &= -n\theta + \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i \ln \theta - \ln y_i) \\ \frac{d \ln L}{d\theta} &= -n + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{\theta} \end{aligned}$$

$$\theta = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

3.6. Suppose you are given  $n$  observations,  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , independent and identically distributed with a  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, \lambda)$  distribution. The following information might be useful for the problem.

- (a) If  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \lambda)$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{\lambda^2}$
- (b) The probability density function of  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \lambda)$  is  $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^\alpha x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda x}$  where the function  $\Gamma$  is only dependent on  $\alpha$  and not  $\lambda$ .

Suppose, we are given a known, fixed value for  $\alpha$ . Compute the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\lambda$ .

*Solution.*

$$p(x_n) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^\alpha x_n^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda x_n}$$

$$\ln p(x_n) = \alpha \ln \lambda - \ln \Gamma(\alpha) + (\alpha - 1) \ln x_n - \lambda x_n$$

$$L(x; \alpha, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln p(x_i) = n\alpha \ln \lambda - n \ln \Gamma(\alpha) + (\alpha - 1) \ln \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} L(x; \alpha, \lambda) = \frac{n\alpha}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{n\alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$