

# Logics for Artificial Intelligence:

## Assignment 1

Leonard Botha

August 7, 2015

1. (a) Prove that  $Z \cap (X \cup Y) = (Z \cap X) \cup (Z \cap Y)$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Take } \alpha \in Z \cap (X \cup Y) &\Leftrightarrow \alpha \in Z \text{ and } \alpha \in X \cup Y \\ &\Leftrightarrow \alpha \in Z \text{ and } (\alpha \in X \text{ or } \alpha \in Y) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\alpha \in Z \text{ and } \alpha \in X) \text{ or } (\alpha \in Z \text{ and } \alpha \in Y) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \alpha \in Z \cap X \text{ or } \alpha \in Z \cap Y \\ &\Leftrightarrow \alpha \in (Z \cap X) \cup (Z \cap Y)\end{aligned}$$

(b)

- (c) Note that  $2^{\cup_{i \in I} X_i} \not\subseteq \cup_{i \in I} 2^{X_i}$ . This can be easily seen through example. Consider  $X_i = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}$ . Now  $\{1, 2, 3\} \in 2^{\cup_{i \in I} X_i}$  but  $\{1, 2, 3\} \notin \cup_{i \in I} 2^{X_i}$ . Therefore  $2^{\cup_{i \in I} X_i} \not\subseteq \cup_{i \in I} 2^{X_i}$ .

- (d) Prove that  $X \times (Y \cup Z) = (X \times Y) \cup (X \times Z)$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Take } (x, y) \in X \times (Y \cup Z) &\Leftrightarrow x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y \cup Z \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in X \text{ and } (y \in Y \text{ or } y \in Z) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x, y) \in (X \times Y) \cup (X \times Z)\end{aligned}$$

(e) (4.2.1)

$$\begin{aligned}(R^{-1})^{-1} &= (\{(y, x) \in Y \times X : xRy\})^{-1} \\ &= \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : xRy\} \\ &= R\end{aligned}$$

(4.2.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{dom}(R^{-1}) &= \{y \in Y : (\exists x \in X) xRy\} \\
 &= \text{range}(R) \\
 \text{range}(R^{-1}) &= \{x \in X : (\exists y \in Y) xRy\} \\
 &= \text{dom}(R)
 \end{aligned}$$

2. Interpretations are represented by an ordered pair,  $(p, q)$ , where the first element is the truth value of  $p$  and the second the truth value of  $q$ .

- (a)  $\text{Mod}(p \vee \neg p) = \{(T, T), (T, F), (F, T), (F, F)\}$
- (b)  $\text{Mod}(p \vee q) = \{(T, T), (T, F), (F, T)\}$
- (c)  $\text{Mod}(p \vee \neg q) = \{(T, T), (T, F), (F, F)\}$
- (d)  $\text{Mod}(\neg p \vee q) = \{(T, T), (F, T), (F, F)\}$
- (e)  $\text{Mod}(\neg p \vee \neg q) = \{(T, F), (F, T), (F, F)\}$
- (f)  $\text{Mod}(p) = \{(T, T), (T, F)\}$
- (g)  $\text{Mod}(q) = \{(T, T), (F, T)\}$
- (h)  $\text{Mod}(p \leftrightarrow q) = \{(T, T), (F, F)\}$
- (i)  $\text{Mod}(\neg(p \leftrightarrow q)) = \{(T, F), (F, T)\}$
- (j)  $\text{Mod}(\neg q) = \{(T, F), (F, F)\}$
- (k)  $\text{Mod}(\neg p) = \{(F, T), (F, F)\}$
- (l)  $\text{Mod}(p \wedge q) = \{(T, T)\}$
- (m)  $\text{Mod}(p \wedge \neg q) = \{(T, F)\}$
- (n)  $\text{Mod}(\neg p \wedge q) = \{(F, T)\}$
- (o)  $\text{Mod}(\neg p \wedge \neg q) = \{(F, F)\}$
- (p)  $\text{Mod}(p \wedge \neg p) = \emptyset$

3. There are no formulas that are not logically equivalent to one of the formulas in question 2. This is obvious as the union over the models in question 2 is exactly the power set of all possible interpretations for  $(p, q)$ . Thus any formula with variables  $p$  and  $q$  will be logically equivalent to one of the formulas in question 2.

4. First consider:

$$\begin{aligned}\{A \wedge B \rightarrow C\} &\Leftrightarrow \neg(A \wedge B) \vee C \\ &\Leftrightarrow \neg A \vee \neg B \vee C\end{aligned}$$

Now consider:

$$\begin{aligned}(A \rightarrow C) \vee (B \rightarrow C) &\Leftrightarrow \neg A \vee C \vee \neg B \vee C \\ &\Leftrightarrow \neg A \vee \neg B \vee C\end{aligned}$$

So clearly:

$$\{A \wedge B \rightarrow C\} \models (A \rightarrow C) \vee (B \rightarrow C)$$

However consider below where (A,B,C) is an ordered tuple representing the respective truth values:

$$(T, F, F), (T, F, T) \in \{A \wedge B \rightarrow C\}$$

$$\text{But: } (T, F, F) \notin A \rightarrow C$$

$$\text{Therefore: } \{A \wedge B \rightarrow C\} \not\models A \rightarrow C$$

and

$$(F, T, F), (F, T, T) \in \{A \wedge B \rightarrow C\}$$

$$\text{But: } (F, T, F) \notin B \rightarrow C$$

$$\text{Therefore: } \{A \wedge B \rightarrow C\} \not\models B \rightarrow C$$

5. (a) Consider  $Mod(\alpha) \cap Mod(\beta)$ . These are the interpretations where both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are satisfied, i.e.  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are both satisfied, hence  $Mod(\alpha) \cap Mod(\beta) = Mod(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ .
- (b) The models of  $\neg\alpha$  are all interpretations where  $\alpha$  is false, or all interpretations where  $\alpha$  is not true. In other words all interpretations in  $W - Mod(\alpha)$ .
- (c) Note that if  $\alpha$  is valid then it is true in all interpretations. Therefore it is true for all interpretations in  $Mod(K)$ . Therefore  $Mod(K \cup \{\alpha\}) = Mod(K)$  and is therefore satisfiable.
- (d) If  $\alpha \equiv \beta$  then all interpretations that are true for  $\alpha$  are also true for  $\beta$ , and vice versa. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}Mod(\alpha) = Mod\beta &\Leftrightarrow Mod(\alpha) \subseteq Mod(\beta) \text{ and } Mod(\beta) \subseteq Mod(\alpha) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \alpha \models \beta \text{ and } \beta \models \alpha\end{aligned}$$

(e)

6. (a) Consider  $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} Mod(\alpha) = Mod(\alpha) &\Rightarrow Mod(\alpha) \subseteq Mod(\alpha) \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha \models \alpha \end{aligned}$$

(b) Consider  $Mod(K \cup \{B\})$ :

$$Mod(K \cup \{B\}) = Mod(K) \cap Mod(\{B\}) \subseteq Mod(K) \subseteq Mod(\alpha)$$

Therefore  $K \cup \{B\} \models \alpha$

(c) If  $p, \neg p \in K$  then  $K$  is unsatisfiable and  $Mod(K) = \emptyset$ . Since  $\emptyset$  is contained in all sets  $Mod(K) = \emptyset \subseteq Mod(\gamma)$  for every  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$ . Therefore  $K \models \gamma$  for every  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$ .