## Logics for Artificial Intelligence:

## Assignment 1

Leonard Botha

August 7, 2015

1. (a) Prove that  $Z \cap (X \cup Y) = (Z \cap X) \cup (Z \cap Y)$ 

Take 
$$\alpha \in Z \cap (X \cup Y) \Leftrightarrow \alpha \in Z$$
 and  $\alpha \in X \cup Y$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \alpha \in Z$  and  $(\alpha \in X \text{ or } \alpha \in Y)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow (\alpha \in Z \text{ and } \alpha \in X) \text{ or } (\alpha \in Z \text{ and } \alpha \in Y)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \alpha \in Z \cap X \text{ or } \alpha \in Z \cap Y$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \alpha \in (Z \cap X) \cup (Z \cap Y)$ 

- (b)
- (c)
- (d) Prove that  $X \times (Y \cup Z) = (X \times Y) \cup (X \times Z)$

Take 
$$(x, y) \in X \times (Y \cup Z) \Leftrightarrow x \in X$$
 and  $y \in Y \cup Z$   
  $\Leftrightarrow x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  or  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Z$   
  $\Leftrightarrow (x, y) \in (X \times Y) \cup (X \times Z)$ 

- 2. Interpretations are represented by an ordered pair, (p,q), where the first element is the truth value of p and the second the truth value of q.
  - (a)  $Mod(p \lor \neg p) = \{(T, T), (T, F), (F, T), (F, F)\}$
  - (b)  $Mod(p \lor q) = \{(T, T), (T, F), (F, T)\}\$
  - (c)  $Mod(p \vee \neg q) = \{(T, T), (T, F), (F, F)\}$
  - (d)  $\operatorname{Mod}(\neg p \lor q) = \{(T, T), (F, T), (F, F)\}$

- (e)  $Mod(\neg p \lor \neg q) = \{(T, F), (F, T), (F, F)\}$
- (f)  $Mod(p) = \{(T, T), (T, F)\}$
- (g)  $Mod(q) = \{(T, T), (F, T)\}$
- (h)  $\operatorname{Mod}(p \leftrightarrow q) = \{(T, T), (F, F)\}$
- (i)  $\operatorname{Mod}(\neg(p \leftrightarrow q)) = \{(T, F), (F, T)\}\$
- (j)  $Mod(\neg q) = \{(T, F), (F, F)\}$
- (k)  $Mod(\neg p) = \{(F, T), (F, F)\}$
- (1)  $\operatorname{Mod}(p \wedge q) = \{(T, T)\}\$
- (m)  $\operatorname{Mod}(p \wedge \neg q) = \{(T, F)\}\$
- (n)  $\operatorname{Mod}(\neg p \wedge q) = \{(F, T)\}\$
- (o)  $\operatorname{Mod}(\neg p \wedge \neg q) = \{(F, F)\}\$
- (p)  $\operatorname{Mod}(p \wedge \neg p) = \emptyset$
- 3. There are no formulas that are not logically equivalent to one of the formulas in question 2. This is obvious as the union over the models in question 2 is exactly the power set of all possible interpretations for (p,q). Thus any formula with variables p and q will be logically equivalent to one of the formulas in question 2.
- 4. First consider:

$$\{A \land B \to C\} \Leftrightarrow \neg (A \land B) \lor C$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \neg A \lor \neg B \lor C$$

Now consider:

$$(A \to C) \lor (B \to C) \Leftrightarrow \neg A \lor C \lor \neg B \lor C$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \neg A \lor \neg B \lor C$$

So clearly:

$${A \land B \to C} \models (A \to C) \lor (B \to C)$$

However consider below where (A,B,C) is an ordered tuple representing

the respective truth values:

$$(T,F,F),(T,F,T)\in \{A\wedge B\to C\}$$
 But:  $(T,F,F)\notin A\to C$  Therefore:  $\{A\wedge B\to C\}\nvDash A\to C$  and 
$$(F,T,F),(F,T,T)\in \{A\wedge B\to C\}$$
 But:  $(F,T,F)\notin B\to C$  Therefore:  $\{A\wedge B\to C\}\nvDash B\to C$ 

- 5. (a) Consider  $Mod(\alpha) \cap Mod(\beta)$ . These are the interpretations where both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are satisfied, i.e.  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are both satisfied, hence  $Mod(\alpha) \cap Mod(\beta) = Mod(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ .
  - (b) The models of  $\neg \alpha$  are all interpretations where  $\alpha$  is false, or all interpretations where  $\alpha$  is not true. In other words all interpretations in  $W Mod(\alpha)$ .
  - (c) Note that if  $\alpha$  is valid then it is true in all interpretations. Therefore it is true for all interpretations in Mod(K). Therefore  $Mod(K \cup \{\alpha\}) = Mod(K)$  and is therefore satisfiable.
  - (d) If  $\alpha \equiv \beta$  then all interpretations that are true for  $\alpha$  are also true for  $\beta$ , and vice versa. Therefore:

$$Mod(\alpha) = Mod\beta \Leftrightarrow Mod(\alpha) \subseteq Mod(\beta) and Mod(\beta) \subseteq Mod(\alpha)$$
  
  $\Leftrightarrow \alpha \models \beta \text{ and } \beta \models \alpha$ 

(e)

6. (a) Consider  $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then:

$$Mod(\alpha) = Mod(\alpha) \Rightarrow Mod(\alpha) \subseteq Mod(\alpha)$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \alpha \models \alpha$ 

- (b) Consider  $Mod(K \cup \{B\})$ :  $Mod(K \cup \{B\}) = Mod(K) \cap Mod(\{B\}) \subseteq Mod(K) \subseteq Mod(\alpha)$  Therefore  $K \cup \{B\} \models \alpha$
- (c) If  $p, \neg p \in K$  then K is unsatisfiable and  $Mod(K) = \emptyset$ . Since  $\emptyset$  is contained in all sets  $Mod(K) = \emptyset \subseteq Mod(\gamma)$  for every  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$ . Therefore  $K \models \gamma$  for every  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$ .