## HOMEWORK 1 MATH 270B, WINTER 2020, PROF. ROMAN VERSHYNIN

## PROBLEM 1 (POISSON CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM)

Let  $X_i$  be i.i.d. random variables each having the Poisson distribution with mean 1, and consider  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that if k = k(n) is such that  $(k-n)/\sqrt{n} \to x$  as  $n \to \infty$ , we have

$$\sqrt{2\pi n} \mathbb{P} \left\{ S_n = k \right\} \to \exp(-x^2/2).$$

(Hint: first show that  $S_n$  has Poisson distribution with mean n. Then use Stirling's formula to analyze the limiting behavior of the probability mass function of  $S_n$ .)

sol: the Ch.f for a poisson random variable is

$$\psi(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{iXt}] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} e^{ikt} = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda e^{it})^k}{k!} = e^{\lambda(e^{it}-1)}$$

Then, by independence, we have

$$\psi_{S_n}(n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \psi(t) = e^{n\lambda(e^{it}-1)}$$

which is the Ch.f of poisson distribution with mean  $n\lambda$ . Hence, we have  $S_n$  is a poisson distribution with mean n. By Central Limit Theorem, we have

$$\frac{S_n - n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, 1)$$

We note that  $\mathbb{P}\left\{S_n = k\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{k - \frac{1}{2} < S_n < k + \frac{1}{2}\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{k - n - \frac{1}{2}}{\sqrt{n}} < \frac{S_n - n}{\sqrt{n}} < \frac{k - n + \frac{1}{2}}{\sqrt{n}}\right\}$  and  $\frac{k - n}{\sqrt{n}} \to x$ .

Then, 
$$\forall \epsilon > 0$$
,  $|\sqrt{2\pi n}\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{k-n-\frac{1}{2}}{\sqrt{n}} < \frac{S_n-n}{\sqrt{n}} < \frac{k-n+\frac{1}{2}}{\sqrt{n}}\right\} - \sqrt{n}\int_{x-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}}^{x+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}} e^{\frac{-t^2}{2}} dt| \leq \epsilon \text{ for large}$ 

enough n. Let  $n \to \infty$ , by Lebesgue differentiation theorem, we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt{n} \int_{x-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}}^{x+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}} e^{\frac{-t^2}{2}} dt = e^{\frac{-x^2}{2}}$ . Then, we have

$$\sqrt{2\pi n}\mathbb{P}\left\{S_n = k\right\} \to e^{\frac{-x^2}{2}}$$

Problem 2 (Weak convergence without convergence of densities)

Find an example of random variables  $X_n$  with densities  $f_n$  so that  $X_n$  converge weakly to the uniform distribution on [0,1] but  $f_n(x)$  does not converge to 1 for any  $x \in [0,1]$ .

sol: Let X = U([0,1]) with C.D.F F(x) = x.

Define 
$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in \left[\frac{2k}{2^n}, \frac{2k+1}{2^n}\right) \\ 2 & x \in \left(\frac{2k+1}{2^n}, \frac{2k+2}{2^n}\right] \end{cases}$$
 for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots 2^{n-1} - 1$ . Let  $X_n$  and  $\mu_n$  be the

associated random variables and probability measures. Then, we that  $\forall (a,b) \subseteq [0,1]$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n(a,b) \to b-a$  as  $n\to\infty$ . Consequently, we have  $\forall x, F_n(x) = \mu_n(a,x) \to x = F(x)$  as  $n\to\infty$ . Hence,  $X_n$  converges to X weakly, but  $f_n(x)$  does not converges to X for any X.

## Problem 3 (Extreme values)

Let  $X_i$  be i.i.d. random variables each having exponential distribution with mean 1, and consider  $M_n := \max_{i \le n} X_i$ . Show that  $M_n - \log n$  converges weakly to the standard Gubmel distribution, i.e. the distribution with cumulative distribution function  $F(x) = \exp(-e^{-x})$ .

sol: fix any x,  $\{M_n - \ln n \le x\} = \{\bigcap_{i=1}^n X_i \le x + \ln x\}$ . Since they are independent,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{M_n - \ln x \le x\right\} = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}\left\{X_i \le x + \ln x\right\} = (1 - e^{-(x + \ln n)})^n = (1 - \frac{e^{-x}}{n})^n \to e^{e^{-x}}$$

Since the C.D.F converges pointwisely, we conclude the convergence in distribution.

# PROBLEM 4 (CONVERGENCE TO A CONSTANT)

Let  $X_n$  be random variables and c be a constant. Prove that weak convergence of  $X_n$  to c is equivalent to convergence of  $X_n$  to c in probability.

sol: Let 
$$X = c$$
, the  $F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < c \\ 1 & x \ge c \end{cases}$ 

If  $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} X$ , then  $F_n(x) \to F_X(x) \ \forall x \neq c$ . Fix any  $\delta > 0$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{|X_n - X| > \delta\right\} \le \mathbb{P}\left\{X_n > c + \delta\right\} + \mathbb{P}\left\{X_n \le c - \delta\right\} = 1 - F_n(c + \delta) + F_n(c - \delta)$$

Since  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(c + \delta) = F_X(c + \delta) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(c - \delta) = 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{ |X_n - X| > \delta \right\} = 0$$

Hence,  $X_n \to X$  in probability.

Conversely, we know that convergent in probability implied convergence in distribution.

## PROBLEM 5 (CONVERGENCE TOGETHER)

Consider the following statement:

if 
$$X_n \to X$$
 weakly and  $Y_n \to Y$  weakly then  $X_n + Y_n \to X + Y$  weakly. (1)

- (a) Find an example showing that that implication (1) is false in general.
- (b) Prove that if Y is a constant, then implication (1) is true.
- (c) Prove that if  $X_n$  and  $Y_n$  are independent, then implication (1) is true. sol:
- (a). Let  $\Omega = [0,1]^2 = \{(\omega_1, \omega_2) | (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in [0,1]^2\}$  with Borel measure. Let  $X(\omega) = Y(\omega) = \begin{cases} 0 & \omega_1 < \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & else \end{cases}$ . Define  $X_n = X, \forall n$ , and  $Y_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \omega_2 < \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & else \end{cases}$ ,  $\forall n$ . Then, we see

$$X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} X$$
 and  $Y_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y$ . However,  $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} X + Y$ , as  $X + Y = \begin{cases} 0 & \omega_1 < \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 & else \end{cases}$  and  $X_n + Y_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \omega_1 < \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & else \end{cases}$ 

(b). Suppose Y = c. Since we know that  $Y_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y \Rightarrow Y_n \to c$  in probability. Let  $F_X(x)$  be the C.D.F. of X. Then,  $F_X(x) = F_{X+c}(x+c)$  and  $F_X$  continuous at x if and only if  $F_{X+c}$  continuous at x+c. Fix any x s.t. F(x) is continuous at x, then  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , we can find a neighborhood B(x) such that  $|F_X(y) - F_X(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall y \in B(x)$ . Since  $F_X$  can only have countable many discontinuities, we can find  $x - \delta_1$ ,  $x + \delta_2 \in B(x)$  s.t  $F_X$  is continuous at these two points. Then, we have the set relations:

$$\{\omega|X_n(\omega)\leq x-\delta_1\}\setminus\{\omega||Y_n(\omega)-c|\geq \delta_1\}\subseteq\{\omega|X_n(\omega)+Y_n(\omega)\leq x+c\}\subseteq\{\omega||Y_n(\omega)\leq x-\delta_2\}\cup\{\omega|X_n(\omega)\leq x+\delta_2\}$$

Take probability of the sets with a few operations:

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{X_n \leq x - \delta_1\right\} - \mathbb{P}\left\{|Y_n - c| > \delta_1\right\} \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{X_n + Y_n \leq x + c\right\} \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{Y_n \leq c - \delta_2\right\} + \mathbb{P}\left\{X_n \leq x + \delta_2\right\}$$
 which is:

$$F_{X_n}(x - \delta_1) - \mathbb{P}\left\{|Y_n - c| > \delta_1\right\} \le F_{X_n + Y_n}(x + c) \le F_{Y_n}(c - \delta_2) + F_{X_n}(x + \delta_2)$$

Since  $F_X$  is continuous at  $x - \delta_1$  and  $x + \delta_2$  (so the pointwise convergence holds),  $F_Y$  is continuous at  $c - \delta_2$ , also  $Y_n \to c$  in probability, so  $\exists N$  s.t. for all n > N:

$$F_X(x - \delta_1) - \epsilon - \epsilon \le F_{X_n + Y_n}(x + c) \le \epsilon + F_X(x + \delta_2) + \epsilon$$

Finally, by continuity of  $F_X$  at x, we have:

$$F_X(x) - 3\epsilon \le F_{X_n + Y_n} \le F_X(x) + 3\epsilon$$

Then we conclude that  $F_{X_n+Y_n}(x+c)$  converges to  $F_X(x) = F_{X+c}(x+c)$  pointwisely when  $F_{X+c}$  is continuous at x+c. Hence, it converges weakly.

(c). If  $X_n$ ,  $Y_n$  converges to X and Y, where X and Y are independent. Then, we have  $\forall t$ ,  $\psi_{X_n}(t) \to \psi_X(t)$  and  $\psi_{Y_n}(t) \to \psi_Y(t)$ , then we have  $\psi_{X_n+Y_n}(t) = \psi_{X_n}(t)\psi_{Y_n}(t) \to \psi_X(t)\psi_Y(t) = \psi_{X+Y}(t)$ , both equalities from independence. Since both  $\psi_X(t)$  and

 $\psi_Y(t)$  as characteristics functions are continuous at 0,  $\psi_{X+Y}$  is continuous at 0. Then, by Levy's continuity theorem, we have  $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} X + Y$ .

#### PROBLEM 6 (PROJECTION OF THE SPHERE IS GAUSSIAN)

- (a) Prove the following implication: if  $X_n \to X$  weakly,  $Y_n \ge 0$  and  $Y_n \to c$  weakly where c is a constant, then  $X_n Y_n \to c X$ .
- (b) Let  $Z_n$  be a random vector uniformly distributed on the unit Euclidean sphere of radius  $\sqrt{n}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Prove that the distribution of the first coordinate of  $Z_n$  (and actually, of any given coordinate) converges weakly to the standard normal distribution.

(Hint: let  $X_n$  be standard normal random vector, and consider  $Z_n = X_n \cdot \sqrt{n}/\|X_n\|_{2}$ .)

(a). Let C > 0, then we first note that  $Y_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y \Rightarrow CY_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} CY$ , because  $\psi_{Y_n}(t) \rightarrow \psi_Y(t)$  pointwisely  $\Rightarrow \psi_{CY_n}(t) = \psi_{Y_n}(Ct) \rightarrow \psi_Y(Ct) = \psi_{CY}(t)$  pointwisely.

Then, assume c > 0, we have  $\frac{Y_n}{c} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\longrightarrow} 1$  (so  $\frac{Y_n}{c} \to 1$  in probability). If  $F_X$  continuous at x, then  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , we can find a neighborhood B(x) s.t.  $|F_X(y) - F_X(x)| < \epsilon \ \forall y \in B(x)$ . Since  $F_X$  can only have countable many discontinuities, we can find  $x + \delta_2 \in B(x)$  ( $\delta_2 > 0$ ) s.t.  $F_X$  continuous at  $x + \delta_2$ , then we pick  $\delta_1 > 0$  very small s.t.  $x\delta_1 + \delta_1\delta_2 < \delta_2$ . Now, we have  $(1 - \delta_1)(x + \delta_2) > x$ , so

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{X_n \frac{Y_n}{c} \le x\right\} \le \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{Y_n}{c} \le 1 - \delta_1\right\} + \mathbb{P}\left\{X_n \le x + \delta_2\right\}$$

For large enough n, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{X_n Y_n}{c} \le x\right\} \le \epsilon + F_X(x + \delta_2) + \epsilon$$

By continuity, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{X_n Y_n}{c} \le x\right\} \le F_X(x) + 3\epsilon$$

Now, pick  $\delta_3 > 0$  s.t.  $x - \delta_3 \in B(x)$  and  $F_X$  is continuous at  $x - \delta_3$ . Pick  $\delta_4 > 0$  small s.t.  $\delta_3 \ge \delta_4(x - \delta_3)$ . Now, we have that  $(x - \delta_3)(1 + \delta_4) \le x$ , so we have

$$\{X_n \le x - \delta_3\} \setminus \{\frac{Y_n}{c} > 1 + \delta_4\} \subseteq \{\frac{X_n Y_n}{c} \le x\}$$

Then, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{X_n \le x - \delta_3\right\} - \mathbb{P}\left\{Y_n > 1 + \delta_4\right\} \le \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{X_n Y_n}{c} \le x\right\}$$

Similarly, by pointwise convergence of  $F_{X_n}$ , continuity of  $F_X$  at x, and convergence of  $\frac{Y_n}{c}$  in probability, we have

$$F_X(x) - 3\epsilon \le \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{X_n Y_n}{c} \le x\right\}$$

so  $\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{X_nY_n}{c} \leq x\right\} \to F_X(x)$  where  $F_X$  is continuous, which implies the weak convergence.

(b). Define  $X_n = (X_{n1}, \dots, X_{nn})$  and  $Z_n$  as above. Then let  $S_n = \frac{X_{n1} + \dots X_{nn}}{n}$ . By strong law of large number,  $S_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 1$ . Then by continuity of  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{x}}$  around x = 1, we have  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{S_n}} = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\|X_n\|_2} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 1 \Rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\|X_n\|_2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} 1$ . Clearly,  $X_{n1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0,1)$  since they all have distribution N(0,1). Then, by part (a) we have  $Z_{n1} = X_{n1} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\|X_n\|_2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0,1)$ 

## PROBLEM 8 (OPERATIONS ON CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTIONS)

Prove that if  $\phi$  is a characteristic function of some random variable, then Re $\phi$  and  $|\phi|^2$  are, too.

sol: Let  $\phi(t)$  be Ch.f of random variable X. Let Y be identical and independent to X, the  $\phi_{X-Y} = \phi_X(t)\phi_{-X}(t) = \phi(t)\overline{\phi(t)} = |\phi(t)|^2$ 

Now, suppose that we have a sample space  $\Omega$  that X lives in. Let Z be a random variable independent to X s.t.  $\mathbb{P}\{Z=0\}=\mathbb{P}\{Z=1\}=\frac{1}{2}$ . Then consider random variable ZX+(Z-1)X

$$\tilde{\phi}(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{it(ZX + (Z-1)X)} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{Z=1\}} + e^{it(ZX + (Z-1)X)} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{Z=0\}}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{itX} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{Z=1\}} + e^{-itX} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{Z=0\}}]$$

By independence of X and Z, we have

$$= \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{Z=1}]\mathbb{E}[e^{itX}] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{Z=0}]\mathbb{E}[e^{-itX}] = \frac{1}{2}(\phi(t) + \phi(-t)) = Re\phi(t)$$

#### PROBLEM 9 (POINT MASSES FROM CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTION)

Let X be a random variable with characteristic function  $\phi$ . Prove that for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{X=a\right\} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{T}^{-T} e^{-ita} \phi(t) dt.$$

(Hint: imitate the proof of the inversion formula.)

sol:

$$\frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} e^{-ita} \phi(t) dt = \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} \int e^{-ita} e^{itx} d\mu dt$$

since  $\forall \theta | e^{i\theta} | \leq 1$ , by Fubini's Theorem,

$$= \frac{1}{2T} \int \int_{-T}^{T} e^{it(x-a)} dt d\mu = \frac{1}{2T} \int \int_{-T}^{T} \cos[(x-a)t] + i \sin[(x-a)t] dt d\mu$$

Since  $i \int_{-T}^{T} \sin[t(x-a)] = 0, \forall x$ 

$$= \int \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\cos\left[(x-a)t\right]}{2T} dt d\mu$$

define  $f_T(x) = \int_{-T}^T \frac{\cos[(x-a)t]}{2T} dt$ , then we have  $|f_T(x)| \leq 1, \forall x, \forall T$ , and  $f_T(a) = 1, \forall T$ . Also,  $\forall x \neq a$ ,  $\lim_{T \to \infty} f_T(x) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\sin[T(x-a)]}{T(x-a)} \to 0$  as  $T \to \infty$ . Hence,  $f_T(x) \to \delta_a(x)$  as  $T \to \infty$ . By bounded convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \int f_T(x) d\mu = \int \delta_a(x) d\mu = \mu(\{a\})$$

## Problem 10 (CLT for a random number of terms)

Let  $X_i$  be i.i.d. random variables with mean zero and unit variance. and let  $S_n := X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ . Let  $N_n$  be a sequence of nonnegative integer-valued random variables and  $a_n$  be a sequence of nonnegative integers such that  $a_n \to \infty$  and  $N_n/a_n \to 1$  in probability. Show that

$$S_{N_n}/\sqrt{a_n} \to N(0,1)$$

weakly.

(Hint: use Kolmogorov's maximal inequality to conclude that if  $Y_n = S_{N_n}/\sqrt{a_n}$  and  $Z_n = S_{a_n}/\sqrt{a_n}$ , than  $Y_n - Z_n \to 0$  in probability.)

sol: Define  $Y_n$  and  $Z_n$  as above. Let  $b_n = \max\{N_n, a_n\}$  and  $c_n = \min\{N_n, a_n\}$ . we have that  $\frac{b_n}{a_n} \to 1$  in probability, since fixed  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{b_n}{a_n} - 1 > \delta\right\} \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{N_n}{a_n} - 1\right| > \delta\right\} \to 0$  and, similarly,  $\frac{c_n}{a_n} \to 1$  in probability as  $\mathbb{P}\left\{1 - \frac{c_n}{a_n} > \delta\right\} \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{N_n}{a_n} - 1\right| > \delta\right\} \to 0$ . Now, again fix any  $\delta > 0$ , by Kolmogorov's maximal inequality, we have  $\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|Y_n - Z_n\right| > \delta\right\} \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_{k=c_n}^{b_n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{X_k}{\sqrt{a_n}}\right)^2\right] = \frac{b_n - c_n}{\delta^2 a_n}$ . We have that  $\frac{b_n - c_n}{\delta^2 a_n} \to 0$  in probability.

Now, if we have  $N_n$  is independent to  $X_i$ . Let the space of  $\{X_i\}$  be  $\Omega_1$  with probability  $\mathbb{P}_1$  and the space of  $N_n$  be  $\Omega_2$  with  $\mathbb{P}_2$  (so  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$  and  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_2$ ). Then, fix  $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$ , we have  $\mathbb{P}_1\{\omega_1||Y_n - Z_n| > \delta\} \leq \frac{b_n(\omega_2) - c_n(\omega_2)}{\delta^2 a_n}$ , then  $\mathbb{P}\{|Y_n - Z_n| > \delta\}\} = \int_{\Omega_2} \mathbb{P}_1(|Y_n - Z_n| > \delta) d\omega_2 \leq \mathbb{E}[\frac{b_n - c_n}{\delta^2 a_n}] \to 0$ , so  $Y_n - Z_n \to 0$  in probability. By Central Limit theorem, we have  $Z_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0,1)$ , then by part, then by problem 5(b) we have  $Y_n = Z_n + (Y_n - Z_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0,1)$ 

#### PROBLEM 11 (A NON-EXAMPLE FOR LINDEBERG-FELLER CLT)

Consider independent random variables  $X_k$  such that  $X_k$  takes values  $\pm k$  with probability  $k^{-2}/2$  each and values  $\pm 1$  with probability  $(1-k^{-2})/2$  each. Show that, although  $\operatorname{Var}(S_n)/n \to 2$ ,  $S_n/\sqrt{n}$  does not converge to N(0,1) weakly. Why does this example not contradict Lindeberg-Feller central limit theorem?

I think the Lindeberg-Feller theorem states that  $\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n}} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\to} N(0,1)$  $\forall n, \operatorname{Var}(X_n) = 2, \operatorname{Hence}, \frac{\operatorname{Var}(S_n)}{n} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \operatorname{Var}(X_k)}{n} = 2$  by independent of  $X_k$ . Let  $s_n = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n \operatorname{Var}(X_k)} = \sqrt{2n}$  and  $\mu_k = \mathbb{E}[X_k]$ . The condition for Lindeberg-Feller theorem is

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{s_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_k - \mu_k)^2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_k - \mu_k| > \epsilon s_n\}}] = 0$$

Now take  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_k - \mu_k)^2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_k - \mu_k| > \epsilon s_n\}}] = \begin{cases} 1 & \sqrt{n} < k \le n \\ 0 & k \le \sqrt{n} \end{cases}$$
 Then,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{s_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_k - \mu_k)^2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_k - \mu_k| > \epsilon s_n\}}] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2n} (n - \sqrt{n}) = \frac{1}{2} \neq 0$$

Now, we define  $\tilde{X}_k(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & X_k(\omega) > 0 \\ -1 & X_k(\omega) < 0 \end{cases}$ , and let  $\tilde{S}_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{X}_k$ . By borel-cantelli

lemma, we see that for a.s. every  $\omega$ ,  $X_k(w) \neq \tilde{X}_k(\omega)$  for finitely many k. Then, for some  $N(\omega)$ , we have that  $\frac{S_n(\omega) - \tilde{S}_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N(\omega)} X_k(\omega) - \tilde{X}_k(\omega)}{\sqrt{n}} \to 0$  a.s. Note that  $\tilde{X}_k$  are i.i.d, so by CLT we have  $\frac{\tilde{S}_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0,1)$ . However, we have that  $\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{\tilde{S}_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$ , so  $\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} 0$ . Then, by Problem 5 part(2), we have

$$\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\tilde{S}_n}{\sqrt{n}} + \left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{\tilde{S}_n}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} 0 + N(0, 1) = N(0, 1)$$

Hence, we see that  $\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, \frac{1}{2})$ . I think for this problem, since the condition fails, the theorem gives a wrong normalization.