In this homework, we define |x - y| := d(x, y), if there is a metrc space with d.

E1 Both of them are convergent.

Proof. (a) when $x \to \infty$, $e^x \to \infty$. Then

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(e^x)}{e^x} de^x = \int_1^\infty \frac{\sin(e^x)}{e^x} dx \quad \text{converges(ex in the Analysis16)}$$

so

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \sin(e^{x}) dx = \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(e^{x})}{e^{x}} e^{x} dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(e^{x})}{e^{x}} de^{x} \qquad converges$$

(b)

$$\int_0^\infty \sin(x^2) dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(x^2)}{2x} 2x dx$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(x^2)}{2x} dx^2$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(x)}{2\sqrt{x}} dx \qquad \text{converges(use Abel-Dirichlet test)}$$

$\mathbf{E2}$

Proof. For ϕ is a continuous 1-1 mapping, then ϕ is monotonic , and $\phi(c)=a\Rightarrow \phi$ is increasing. Then for each partition $P=a=x_0,x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_n=b$ of [a,b] , we can find uniquely parition $Q=c=y_0,y_1,\cdots,y_n=d$ s.t. $\phi(y_i)=x_i\,\forall\,i=0,1,\cdots,n$ then

$$\Lambda(\gamma_1) = \sup_{p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\gamma_1(x_i) - \gamma_1(x_{i-1})| = \sup_{Q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\gamma_2(y_i) - \gamma(y_{i-1})| = \Lambda(\gamma_2)$$

which implies that γ_1 is rectifiable iff γ_2 is rectifiable. And the lenth is the same.

E3 (a)

Proof. $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon, \forall x \in E$ Then

$$|f(x)-f(y)| \le |f(x)-f_n(x)|+|f_n(x)-f_n(y)|+|f_n(y)-f(y)| < 2\epsilon+|f_n(x)-f_n(y)|$$

 f_n is continuous implies $\lim_{y\to x}|f(x)-f(y)|\leqslant 2\epsilon+\lim_{y\to x}|f_n(x)-f_n(y)|=2\epsilon$. Let $\epsilon\to 0$, Then $\lim_{y\to x}|f(x)-f(y)|=0$

Which implies f is continuous; Then $\forall \delta > 0$, exits $N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t

$$\forall n \geqslant N, |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{2}\delta, \quad \forall n \geqslant N, x \in E$$

$$\forall n \geqslant N, |f(x_n) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{2}\delta$$

Then

$$\forall n \ge N, |f_n(x_n) - f(x)| \le |f_n(x_n) - f(x_n)| + |f(x_n) - f(x)| < \delta$$

i.e.
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x_n) = f(x)$$

(b) The answer is no. Let we choose E be a set of isolated points. $E=x_1,x_2,\cdots$ Then (1) equals to $\lim_{n\to\infty}f_n(x)=f(x),\quad \forall x\in E.$ However we can't know $\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly on E from the previous condition.(Let $|f_n(x_m)-f(x_m)|<\epsilon$ iff $n\geqslant m\epsilon$. then we can't fing a exactly N s.t $|f_n(x_m)-f(x_m)|<\epsilon$ $\forall n\geqslant N$ and $m\in\mathbb{N}$)

(c) The answer is yes.

lemma. In the condition of (1), for each $x \in E$, we can find a neibourhood $N_r(x)$ in E such that $\{f_n\}$ uniformly converges in $N_r(x)$.

Proof. First, for $x \in E$, choose $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence whose terms are all x, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$. If x is an isolated point of E, then we can find a neibourhood of x which contains only x. $\{f_n\}$ certainly converges uniformly in $N_r(x) = \{x\}$.

If x is a limit point of E, we assume that the lemma is not true for x.

i.e. we can't find r > 0 s.t. $\{f_n\}$ uniformly converges in $N_r(x)$. Then there exists ϵ such that $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, r > 0$ there exists $n, m \ge N$, and $y \in N_r(x)$, $|f_n(y) - f_m(y)| < 2\epsilon$ (use Cauchy criterion)

Then we try to construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ (converges at x) and increasing sequence $\{N_i\}$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_+, |f_{N_n}(x_n) - f(x)| \ge \epsilon$. Then we can expand $\{x_n\}$ to $\{y_n\}$ such that

$$y_n = \begin{cases} x_i & n = N_i, i \in \mathbb{N} \\ x_{i-1} & N_{i-1} < n < N_i, i \geqslant 2; x & n < N_1; \end{cases}$$

 $\{y_n\}$ contradicts with (1), so the assumption is impossible;

First,if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, y \in E$, $|f_n(y) - f(x)| < \epsilon$, then $|f_n(y) - f_m(y)| \le |f_n(y) - f(x)| + |f(x) - f_m(y)| < 2\epsilon$, $\forall n, m, \in \mathbb{N}, y \in E$, which implies the lemma is right. so with the assumption we can find $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}, x_1 \in E$, such that $|f_{N_1}(x_1) - f(x)| \ge \epsilon$. Let $r := |x_1 - x|$

If we have constructed $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \in E$ and increasing sequence $\{N_i\}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_+$) such that $|f_{N_i}(x_i) - f(x)| \ge \epsilon$, $\forall 1 \le i \le n$ and $|x_i - x| \le \frac{r}{2^{i-1}}$, $\forall 1 \le i \le n$. Then if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > N_n, y \in N_{\frac{r}{2^n}}(x)$, $|f_n(y) - f(x)| < \epsilon$, then $|f_n(y) - f_m(y)| \le |f_n(y) - f(x)| + |f(x) - f_m(y)| < 2\epsilon$, $\forall n, m, \in \mathbb{N}, n, m > N_n, y \in N_{\frac{r}{2^n}}(x)$, which imples the lemma is right. so with the assumption we can find $N_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N}, N_{n+1} > N_n, x_{n+1} \in E$, such that $|f_{N_{n+1}}(x_{n+1}) - f(x)| \ge \epsilon$ and $|x_{n+1} - x| < \frac{r}{2^n}$.

So the construction exits, which cause the contradiction. \Box

With the lemma, define $\phi(x), x \in E$ be the neibourhood of x satisfying the lemma's condition. Then $E = \bigcup\limits_{x \in E} \phi(x)$. With E is compact we know exists a finite set $K \subset E$ s.t. $E = \bigcup\limits_{x \in K} \phi(x)$. Then for $\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly in each $\phi(x), x \in K$ and K is finite, then $\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly in $\bigcup\limits_{x \in K} \phi(x) = E$.

$\mathbf{E4}$

Proof. From Cauchy criterion we know (a) implies $\forall \delta > 0 \,\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \forall n,m \geqslant N,n < m \quad |\sum\limits_{i=1}^m f_i(x)| < \delta \text{ Then}$

$$\left| \sum_{i=n}^{m} f_i(x)g_i(x) \right| = \left| \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} (g_i(x) - g_{i+1}(x)) \sum_{j=n}^{i} f_j(x) + g_m(x) \sum_{j=n}^{m} f_j(x) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} |g_i(x) - g_{i+1}(x)| \left| \sum_{j=n}^{i} f_j(x) \right| + |g_m(x)| \left| \sum_{j=n}^{m} f_j(x) \right|$$

$$< \delta(g_n(x) - g_m(x))$$
(*)

Then (b) implies $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\exists M \in \mathbb{M}$ s.t. $g_n(x) < \frac{\epsilon}{\delta} \quad \forall x \in E$, with (*) we can know $|\sum_{i=n}^m f_i(x)g_i(x)| < \epsilon$ for $n, m \geqslant \max\{N, M\}$ use Cauchy criterion we know $\sum f_n g_n$ converges uniformly on E.

E5 (a) we try to prove that the set of all discontinuities of f is \mathbb{Q} .

step 1. f are discontinuous at All of rational numbers.

Proof. for $x = \frac{q}{p} \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $\forall \epsilon > 0$, choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\epsilon > \frac{1}{n-1}$ then choose r be the minimum distance(except 0) between x and the number that have a form

 $\frac{m}{n!}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. then for each $y \in (x-r,x)$,

$$|f(y) - f(x)| = |\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(my) - (mx)}{m^2}|$$

$$= |-\sum_{p|m,m < n} \frac{1}{m^2} + \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \frac{(my) - (mx)}{m^2}|$$

$$\geqslant |\sum_{p|m,m < n} \frac{1}{m^2}| - \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} |\frac{(my) - (mx)}{m^2}|$$

$$\geqslant |\sum_{p|m,m < n} \frac{1}{m^2}| - \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2}$$

$$> |\sum_{p|m,m < n} \frac{1}{m^2}| - \frac{1}{n+1}$$

$$> |\sum_{p|m,m < n} \frac{1}{m^2}| - \epsilon$$

Let $\epsilon \to 0$, then $|f(y) - f(x)| > |\sum_{p|m,m < n} \frac{1}{m^2}| > \frac{1}{p^2}, \forall y \in (x - r, x)$. i.e. $f(x-) \neq f(x)$. So f is discontinuous at x.

step 2. f are continuous at all of irrational numbers.

Proof. then $\forall \epsilon > 0$, choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\epsilon > \frac{1}{n-1}$ then choose r be the minimum distance between x and the number that have a form $\frac{m}{n!}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}$, then for each $y \in (x-r,x), \ (my)-(mx)=0 \ \forall m \leqslant n$. And

$$|f(y) - f(x)| = |\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(my) - (mx)}{m^2}|$$

$$= |\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \frac{(my) - (mx)}{m^2}|$$

$$\leq \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} |\frac{(my) - (mx)}{m^2}|$$

$$\leq \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n-1}$$

$$< \epsilon$$

Then let $\epsilon \to 0 \Rightarrow f(x-) = f(x)$.

Similarly, we can prove f(x+) = f(x). Then f is continuous at x.

(b)

Proof. We need to prove that f is Rieman-integrable on $[a,b],\ a,b\in\mathbb{R}$. For $\epsilon>0$, choose $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\epsilon>2\frac{(Nb)-(Na)}{N!}+\frac{b-a}{N-1}$. Then let $x_i=a+\frac{i}{N!},i=1,2,\cdots,M$ M:=(b-a)N!. partition $p=x_0,x_1,\cdots,x_M$. So for $r_i,t_i\in[x_{i-1},x_i]$ $i=1,2,\cdots,M$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(nr_i) - (nt_i)}{n^2} \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(nr_i) - (nt_i)}{n^2} \frac{1}{N!}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{M} (\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{(nx_i) - (nx_{i-1})}{n^2} \frac{1}{N!} + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{1}{N!})$$

$$< \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\frac{(nb) - (na)}{n^2}) \frac{1}{N!} + M \frac{1}{N-1} \frac{1}{N!}$$

$$< 2 \frac{(Nb) - (Na)}{N!} + \frac{b-a}{N-1}$$

$$< \epsilon$$

i.e.

$$U(p,f) - L(p,f) = \sup_{r_i, t_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(nr_i) - (nt_i)}{n^2} \Delta x_i < \epsilon$$

and from it we know f is Rieman-integrable.

E6

Proof. For a closed interval E=[a,b] $f_n\to f$ uniformly in E, so for $\forall \epsilon>0$, we can choose $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n\geqslant N, |f_n(x)-f(x)|<\frac{\epsilon}{b-a}, \forall x\in E$. Then $\int_a^b f_n(x)-f(x)\,\mathrm{d} x<\int_a^b \frac{\epsilon}{b-a}\,\mathrm{d} x=\epsilon.$ i.e. $\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_a^b f_n(x)\,\mathrm{d} x=\int_a^b f(x)\,\mathrm{d} x$ (*)

$$|\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^\infty f_n(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_0^\infty f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x| \leqslant \lim_{n\to\infty} \left[\lim_{a\to 0^+, b\to +\infty} (|\int_a^b f_n(x) - f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x| + |\int_0^a f_n(x) - f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x| + |\int_b^\infty f_n(x) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x\right]$$

$$+ |\int_b^\infty f_n(x) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \left[\lim_{a\to 0^+, b\to \infty} (|\int_0^a f_n(x) - f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x| + |\int_b^\infty f_n(x) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x\right]$$

$$+ |\int_b^\infty f_n(x) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$+ |\int_b^\infty f_n(x) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$\leqslant \lim_{n\to\infty} \left[\lim_{a\to 0^+, b\to \infty} (|\int_0^a 2g(x) \, \mathrm{d}x| + |\int_b^\infty 2g(x) \, \mathrm{d}x|)\right] \quad \mathbf{use}(|f_n - f| \leqslant 2g)$$

The last equal sign holds because $\int_0^{+\infty} g \, \mathrm{d}x < +\infty$ implies $\lim_{a \to 0^+} \int_0^a g \, \mathrm{d}x = \lim_{b \to \infty} \int_b^\infty g \, \mathrm{d}x = 0$

E7 Each $(x_0, y_0) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ has the form :

$$x_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} a_{2n-1}, y_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} a_{2n}$$

with each $a_i \in 0, 1$

Let $t_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 3^{-1-i}(2a_i)$ Then we only need to prove $f(3^n t) = a_n, n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, which implies that $x(t_0) = x_0$ and $y(t_0) = y_0$.

Actually, $3^n t_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 3^{n-i-1} (2a_i) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} 3^{n-i-1} (a_i) + 3^{-1} (2a_i)$. Notice

that $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 3^{n-i-1}(2a_i)$ is even, then $f(3^n t_0) = f(\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} 3^{n-i-1}(a_i) + 3^{-1}(2a_i))$.

However, $\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} 3^{n-i-1}(a_i) \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$. With the definition of f we know that

$$f(3^n t_0) = \begin{cases} 1 & a_n = 1 \\ 0 & a_n = 0 \end{cases} = a_n$$