

**Homework 1**

Lin Zejin

March 5, 2025

- 
- **Collaborators:** I finish this homework by myself.
- 

**Problem 1.** Assume there exists  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n+1} \in [a, a + 2\pi)$  s.t.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \cos x_i \\ \sin x_i \\ \vdots \\ \cos nx_i \\ \sin nx_i \end{pmatrix}$$

linearly dependent.

i.e.  $\exists a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} a_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \cos x_i \\ \sin x_i \\ \vdots \\ \cos nx_i \\ \sin nx_i \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Since  $e^{ix} = \cos x + i \sin x$ , we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (a_{2j-1} + a_{2j}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ e^{ix_1} \\ \vdots \\ e^{ix_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is impossible since we know that the Vandermonde determinant is invertible. (In this equation,  $a_{2n+2} = 0$ )

**Problem 2.** Assume  $\exists a = x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_N \leq b$  such that  $|\epsilon(x_i)| = \Delta(P)$ ,  $\epsilon(x_j) = (-1)^{j-1} \epsilon(x_1)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$

Then  $\forall Q \in \text{Span}\{g_1, \dots, g_N\}$ , if  $\Delta(Q) < \Delta(P)$ , let

$$\eta(x) = P(x) - Q(x) = (P(x) - f(x)) - (Q(x) - f(x))$$

Then

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\eta(x_j)) = \eta(P(x_j) - f(x_j)) = \eta(\epsilon(x_j)) = (-1)^{j-1}, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$$

So  $Q$  has at least  $n$  roots on  $[a, b]$ . Since  $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$  satisfies the Haar condition,  $Q \equiv 0$ .

So  $P$  is the best approximation of  $f$ .

Conversely, if  $P$  is the best approximation. If the result is not true, then we can divide  $[a, b]$  into

$$[a, \zeta_1], [\zeta_1, \zeta_2], \dots, [\zeta_N, b]$$

such that on each interval  $\Delta(P)$  satisfies  $N \leq n - 1$  and

$$-\Delta(P) \leq \epsilon(x) < \Delta(P) - \alpha$$

or

$$-\Delta(P) + \alpha \leq \epsilon(x) < \Delta(P)$$

Denote  $\Phi(x)$  as an element with roots  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N$ . (The existence because of Haar condition)

Then  $Q(x) := P(x) + \omega\Phi(x)$  with difference

$$Q(x) - f(x) = P(x) - f(x) + \omega\Phi(x)$$

On  $[a, b]$ ,  $\Phi(x)$  is bounded. Take  $|\omega|$  sufficiently small, and choose the signature of  $\omega$  properly, we have

$$\Delta(Q) < \Delta(P)$$

which causes contradiction.

Here we end the proof.

**Problem 3.** Replace  $f$  with  $f - p_n$ . WLOG we assume the best approximation polynomial is 0.

If  $\exists q_n$  such that

$$\|f - q_n\| < \|f\| + \lambda\|q_n\|$$

where  $\lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ .

For  $\omega > 1$ , if  $|f(x)| < |q_n(x)|$ , then  $q_n(x), f(x)$  have different signature or  $q_n(x) - f(x), f(x), q_n(x)$  have the same

signature. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(x) - \omega q_n(x)| &= \begin{cases} \omega|q_n(x)| - |f(x)|, & \text{sgn}(f(x)) = \text{sgn}(q_n(x)) \\ \omega|q_n(x)| + |f(x)|, & \text{sgn}(f(x)) \neq \text{sgn}(q_n(x)) \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \omega|f(x) - q_n(x)| + (\omega - 1)|f(x)|, & \text{sgn}(f(x)) = \text{sgn}(q_n(x)) \\ \omega|f(x) - q_n(x)| - (\omega - 1)|f(x)|, & \text{sgn}(f(x)) \neq \text{sgn}(q_n(x)) \end{cases}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Now if  $\forall \lambda_m = \frac{1}{m}, m \geq 2, \exists q_m$  such that

$$\|f - q_m\| < \|f\| + \lambda_m \|q_m\|$$

Since  $\|f - q_m\| \geq \|q_m\| - \|f\|$ , we have  $\|q_m\| < \frac{2}{1-\lambda_m} \|f\| < 4\|f\|$ .

So  $\|q_m\|$  are uniformly bounded. Hence,  $\{q_m\}$  is precompact in the polynomial space, or equivalently, there exists  $q \in \mathbb{P}_n$  such that some subsequence  $\{q_{m_i}\}$  converges to  $q$ .

As  $m \rightarrow 0, \lambda_m \rightarrow 0$ , then

$$\|f - q\| \leq \|f\|$$

So  $q \equiv 0$ .

So  $\exists N > 0$  such that  $\forall i \geq N, \|q_{m_i}\| < \|f\|$ .

Now for  $x^i = \arg \max |f(x) - q_{m_i}(x)|$ , since  $|f(x^i) - q_{m_i}(x^i)| \geq \|f\|$ ,  $q_{m_i}(x^i)$  and  $f(x^i)$  have different signature. So  $|f(x^i)| \geq \|f\| - |q_{m_i}(x^i)|$

By (3.1), we have for  $\omega > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(x^i) - \omega q_n(x^i)| &= \omega|f(x^i) - q_n(x^i)| - (\omega - 1)|f(x^i)| \\
 &< \omega(\|f\| + \lambda_{m_i}\|q_n\|) - (\omega - 1)(\|f\| - |q_{m_i}(x^i)|) \\
 &= \|f\| + \lambda_{m_i}\|\omega q_n\| + (\omega - 1)|q_{m_i}(x^i)|
 \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 4.** For  $x \in [a, b]$ , WLOG assume  $x \neq x_i$ . ( $x = x_i$  is trivial) Define

$$G(t) = R_{2n+1}(t) - \frac{\omega_{n+1}^2(t)}{\omega_{n+1}^2(x)} R_{2n+1}(x)$$

Then

$$G(x_i) = 0, G(x) = 0$$

So there are  $n + 2$  roots on  $[a, b]$ .

By Rolle's theorem, there are  $n + 1$  roots on  $[a, b] \setminus \{x_0, \dots, x_n, x\}$ .

Since  $G'(t) = R'_{2n+1}(t) - \frac{\omega_{n+1}(t)\omega'_{n+1}(t)}{\omega_{n+1}^2(x)} R_{2n+1}(x)$ ,  $G'(x_i) = 0$ .

So there are at least  $2n + 2$  roots on  $[a, b]$  of  $G'$ .

Apply  $2n + 1$  times of Rolle's theorem to  $G'$ , we obtain there is at least one root on  $[a, b]$  of  $G^{(2n+2)}$ .

$$\text{So } \exists \zeta \in [a, b], 0 = G^{(2n+2)}(\zeta) = f^{(2n+2)}(\zeta) - \frac{(2n+2)!}{\omega_{n+1}^2(x)} R_{2n+1}(x).$$

$$\text{So } \exists \zeta \in [a, b], R_{2n+1}(x) = \frac{f^{(2n+2)}(\zeta)}{(2n+2)!} \omega_{n+1}(x)$$